RĀMĀNUJA ON THE BHAGAVADGĪTĀ

J. A. B. VAN BUITENEN

RĀMĀNUJA ON THE BHAGAVADGĪTĀ

A CONDENSED RENDERING OF HIS GITABHASYA WITH COPIOUS NOTES AND AN INTRODUCTION

MLSU - CENTRAL LIBRARY

MOTILAL BANARSIDASS

Bungalow Road, Jawaharnagar, Delhi-7 Chowk, Varanasi, (U. P.) Ashok Raj Path, Patna. (Bihar)

> IST REPRINT 1968 Rs. 20.00

FOREWORD TO THE SECOND EDITION

It is a matter of great gratification to the author that Messrs Motilal Banarsidass have decided to issue a reprint of the present book. The original limited edition has been out of print for many years and as scholars and students have seemed to find it useful in their study for Rāmānuja and Višiṣtādvaita, it is hoped that a reprint will be welcomed.

The limitations of reproduction by off-set have the disadvantage that no changes can be made in the body of the text. Apart from the title page, the table of contents and this foreword, which replaces the original Dutch foreword, the book therefore is identical with the original.

The author would like to record once more here his great debt to Dr. J. Gonda, Professor of Sanskrit at the University of Utrecht, under whose guidance this study was begun and completed.

As in the Introduction I mention the comparative neglect of Rāmānuja and Višiṣṭādvaita in modern scholarship, this might be a good opportunity to record with a great deal of pleasure the upsurge in such studies since 1953 and to add here a selected bibliography that should supplement the one that is given in the book.

Several general works have appeared, notably:

Frederick K Lazarus, The Metaphysics of Rāmānuja and Bowne (Thesis Boston University 1957)

M.A. Ayyanger, The Philosophy of Ramanuja (New Delhi 1958)

K. D. Bhardwaj, The Philosophy of Rāmānuja (New Delhi 1958) A. Hohenberger, Rāmānuja: ein Philosoph indischer Gottesmystik (Bonn

A. Hohenberger, Ramanuja: em Paulosoph maisener Gollesmysin. (Bohn 1960)

Rāmānuja's Vedārthasamgraha in particular has been studied widely. Almost simultaneously three different scholars worked on it and their research fructified in a critical edition, translation and introduction by the present author (Poona 1956), a translation by M. R. R. Ayyangar (Kumbhakonam 1956), and an edition and translation by S. S. Raghavacari (1956). The last scholar published separately an *Introduction to the Vedārthasangraha* (Mangalore 1957).

It is to be hoped that this recent development in Rāmānuja studies will prove the harbinger of a long and sustained interest in India as well as the West.

New Delhi, April 24, 1968

J. A. B. van Buitenen

PREFACE

There is no doubt that Rāmānuja's Commentary on the Vedāntasūtras, or śrībhāṣya, is the most important work of this great Indian thinker. Yet, its very importance has led modern scholars to neglect the study of his other writings: for the interest of Rāmānuja's Commentary was derived, not from his own original genius, but from that of his famous antipode, Sankara. Rāmānuja's interpretations of the Sūtras and his general views on Advaita were studied too exclusively in juxtaposition with the monistic system of Sankara. However elucidating such a iuxtaposition may be, it will give the student only a limited view of Ramanuja's complete personality; while focusing the light on the intellectual aspects of his philosophical system, it cannot but obscure the aspects of devotion and religion. It seems to me that the study of his commentary on the Bhagavadgītā will, perhaps not increase but certainly deepen, our knowledge of his profoundly religious system. In the Gītābhāsya the great religious and moral significance of the Gītā is set forth with the philosophical subtlety of the author of the Śrībhāsya and the pastoral fervour of the priest of the temple of Śrīranga.

It has been my object to present this commentary on the Gita to the public in as lucid a form as possible. In a short introduction I have tried to give an account of the various aspects of this text. In the first chapter the basic assumptions of Rāmānuja's doctrine are sketched in outline and the attention is drawn to the parallelism between the relation of the Gītā to the earlier upanisads and that of Rāmānuja to Sankara. The second chapter deals with the place of the Gita in Ramanuja's system and with his indebtedness to Yāmuna, whose Gitārthasamgraha is included in an appendix together with a verbatim translation into English. In the third chapter I have sought to determine the relation of the Gitabhasya to the Śribhasya and their relative dates. In the fourth chapter I have given a brief account of Rāmānuja's views on the doctrines of the Gita, dealing in greater detail with the relation between karma- and jñānayoga and with the position of prapatti. In the fifth chapter, finally, I have attempted a short exposition of Rāmānuja's methods in commenting on his text.

The introduction is followed by a condensed rendering of the Gita-

introduction by the present author (Poona 1956), a translation by M. R. R. Ayyangar (Kumbhakonam 1956), and an edition and translation by S. S. Raghavacari (1956). The last scholar published separately an *Introduction to the Vedārthasangraha* (Mangalore 1957).

It is to be hoped that this recent development in Rāmānuja studies will prove the harbinger of a long and sustained interest in India as well as the West.

New Delhi, April 24, 1968

J. A. B. van Buitenen

LIST OF ABBREVATIONS

ĀS. Yāmuna's Siddhitraya, part one: Atmasiddhi;

8ee Siddhitraya. BhG. Bhagavadgītā.

Dasgupta* Surendranath Dasgupta, D. Litt., A History of Indian Philosophy, 4 vols. (3d vol. Cambridge

1940).

Edgerton, G(ītā) Franklin Edgerton, The Bhagavad Gītā, trans-

> lated and interpreted, 2 vols. (Harvard Or. Ser. vols. 38-39; Cambridge, Mass., 1946).

Falk, Nāma-rūpa Nāma-rūpa and Dharma-rūpa (Calcutta 1943).

G(ītā) Bhagavadgītā.

Gonda, Brahman

Gov.

GAS. Yāmuna's Gītārthasamgraha, included in an appendix to the present work; numbers refer to

stanzas.

GBh. 1. Rāmānuja's Gītābhāṣya, edited with the Tätparyacandrikā of Venkatanātha, by V. N. Apte (Anandasrama Sanskrit Ser. vol. 92;

Bombay 1923).

2. śańkara's Gitabhasya, edited by V. N. Apte (Anandaśrama Sanskrit Ser. vol. 34, 3d ed.;

Bombay 1936).

Gonda, Bhakti J. Gonda, Het begrip Bhakti (Tijdschrift voor Philosophie, Vol. 10, Nov. 1948).

J. Gonda, Notes on Brahman (Utrecht 1950). Alkondaville Govindācārya, Śrī Bhagavad-Gita, with Ramanuja's commentary in English (Ma-

dras 1898). *)

Govindācārya, Life of Rāmānuja Alkondaville Govindācārya, The life of Rāmā-

nujāchārya (Madras 1906). *) Rāmānuja's Introduction to his Gitābhāsya. Intr. Chapter of the Introduction to the present work. Intr. Ch. Jha

Dr. Sir Ganganatha Jha, Pürva-Mimāmsā in its

sources (Benares 1942).

bhāsya, based upon a complete translation of the text into Dutch When this translation was all but completed, an old rendering of the bhāsya by the Indian scholar A Govindacārya came to my notice Although I often had occasion to differ from his interpretations, I wish to acknowledge the help which his translation has given me In general I have benefitted much by publications of Indian scholars, especially the writings of Mr P N Srinivasachari, in whom modern Visistādvaita has found a learned and ardent interpreter, have been a constant inspiration to me

I have but hesitantly ventured upon this study, fully conscious not only of my limited knowledge, but also of the great, almost insuperable difficulties which a Western student will meet in interpreting an Indian spirituality. Yet gradually I have been confirmed in my belief that in many respects the study of Ramanuja's system, in its theistic tenets so frequently and so intimately akin to Christianity, may lead a Western student to a deeper comprehension of the Indian genius. I do sincerely hope that Ramanuja's interpretations of the Bhagavadgita will find their way alike to those who abhor, and to those who admire "the Indian philosophy—as the richly varied trends of Indian thought and religion are usually styled without discrimination—for its unmitigated monism

LIST OF ABBREVATIONS

XS. Yāmuna's Siddhitraya, part one: Atmasiddhi;

see Siddhitraya.

BhG. Bhagavadgītā. Dasgupta* Surendranath Dasgupta, D. Litt., A History of

Indian Philosophy, 4 vols. (3d vol. Cambridge

1940).

Edgerton, G(ītā) Franklin Edgerton, The Bhagavad Gita, translated and interpreted, 2 vols. (Harvard Or. Ser.

vols. 38-39; Cambridge, Mass., 1946).

Falk, Nāma-rūpa Nāma-rūpa and Dharma-rūpa (Calcutta 1943).

Bhagavadgītā. G(ītā)

Yāmuna's Gītārthasamgraha, included in an GAS. appendix to the present work; numbers refer to

> stanzas. 1. Rāmānuja's Gītābhāsva, edited with the

GBh. Tātparyacandrikā of Venkatanātha, by V. N. Apte (Anandāśrama Sanskrit Ser. vol. 92;

Bombay 1923).

2. Śańkara's Gītābhāsya, edited by V. N. Apțe (Anandāśrama Sanskrit Ser. vol. 34, 3d ed.;

Bombay 1936).

Gonda, Bhakti I. Gonda, Het begrip Bhakti (Tijdschrift voor

Philosophie, Vol. 10, Nov. 1948).

J. Gonda, Notes on Brahman (Utrecht 1950). Alkondaville Govindācārya, Śrī Bhagavad-Gita,

with Rāmānuja's commentary in English (Ma-

dras 1898). *)

Govindācārya, Life of Rāmānuja

Gonda, Brahman

Gov.

Alkondaville Govindācārya, The life of Rāmā-

nujāchārya (Madras 1906). *)

Rāmānuja's Introduction to his Gitābhāsya. Intr. Chapter of the Introduction to the present work. Intr. Ch. Dr. Sir Ganganatha Jha, Pürva-Mimämsä in its Jha

sources (Benares 1942).

Kumarappa Bharatan Kumarappa, M A, Ph D, The Hindu

conception of the Deity as culminating in Ra-

manuia (Thesis London 1934)

Lacombe, ASV Olivier Lacombe, L'Absolu selon le Védânta

(Paris 1937)

Lacombe, Notes Olivier Lacombe, La doctrine morale et méta-

physique de Rāmānuja, traduction (accompagnee du texte sanskrit) et notes (Paris 1938);

numbers refer to the notes to his translation Lamotte, Notes

Etienne Lamotte. Notes sur la Bhagavadgîtā (Paris 1929)

M Brhadaranyaka-Upanisad in the Mādhyamdina

recension

NK Nyayakosa, or Dictionary of Technical Terms of Indian Philosophy, by Bhimicarya Ihalakikar

and Vasudeva Sāstrin Abhvankar (Poona 1928) (in Sanskrit)

R Ramānuja

Radhakrishnan, G S Radhakrıshnan, The Bhagavadgita2, (London Radhakrishnan,

Ind Phil S Radhakrishnan, Indian Philosophy⁶, 2 vols

(London 1951)

Renou, Terminologie Louis Renou, Terminologie grammaticale du

sanskrit, 3 vols (Paris 1942)

s Sankara

SRb Ramanuja's Sribhasya, ed by Vasudeva Sastrin Abhyankar (Bombay, Nirnayasagara Press,

1915),

NB references to SBh 1, 1, 1 are specified by the numbers of pages in this edition, all references to sBh are specified by the numbers of the corresponding pages in Th's translation

Siddlutrava Yamuna's Siddhitraya ed by S S A S T S P S M M Rama Misra Sastrin (Chowkhamba

Sanskrit Ser vol 36, Benares 1900) *)

Sinha Jadunath Sinha Indian Psychology Perception (London 1934)

Srinivisachari, Rhedābhedavāda

P. N. Srinivasachari, M. A., The Philosophy of

Bhedābheda (Madras 1934).

Srinivisachari. **Einite Self**

P. N. Srinivasachari, M. A., Rāmānuja's idea of

Srinivasachari, G(ītā)

the Finite Self (Calcutta 1928). P. N. Srinivasachari, M. A.. The ethical philo-

sophy of the Gītā2 (Madras 1948).

P. N. Srinivasachari, M. A., The Philosophy of

Srinivasachari, VA. Visistādvaita (Adyar 1946). Th.

George Thibaut, Vedanta-Sutras with Ramanuja's commentary (SBE. XLVIII: Oxford

1904).

Veńkatanātha's Tātparyacandrikā, if not speciv.

fied, refers to the corresponding parts of his commentary on GBh.

Visistādvaitakosa, ed. by D. T. Tatacharya VAK. (Madras 1951).

Varadachari.

Theory of knowledge

Dr. K. C. Varadachari, Sri Ramanuja's Theory of knowledge (Tirupati 1943).

Ved

Rāmānuja's Vedārthasamgraha, ed. by S.S.P.S. Rāma Miśra Śāstrin (Reprint from the Pandit, 2nd edition: Benares 1924); numbers refer to

pages.

Y.

Yāmuna.

YID.

śrinivāsa's Yatindramatadīpika, ed. by H. N. Apte (Anandasrama Sanskrit Ser. vol. 50: Bom-

bay 1906); numbers refer to pages.

In the notes the capital \hat{S} is rendered by C because this type was N.R. not available.

^{*)} kindly sent me on loan by the Librarian of the Indian Office Library, Commonwealth Relations Office, London.

CONTENTS

page

Prefac	ce														•						IX
List o	f a	bbr	evi	atio	ons														•.		XI
Introd	luc	tior	1										٠								1
Chapt																					
Chapt	er	11																			5
Chapt	ter	H	Ι.																		12
Chapt	ter	ΙV	٠.																	•	18
Chap	ter	v															•		•	٠	28
Note	on	m	y C	Con	der	ıse	d F	len	der	ing	٠,				٠		•	•		•	40
A Co	A Condensed Rendering of the Gnabharya														٠	43					
Appe	Appendix: Text and translation of Yāmuna's Gītārthasamgraha														ıa	177					
Inde.	x c	of c	uo	tati	ons	s .												•	•	•	183
			-																		106

Index of terms

INTRODUCTION

Ŧ

Rāmānuja is indisputably the greatest philosopher of the visistādvaita school of Vedāntamīmāmsā. Moreover, he was the first Indian thinker who succeeded in creating a philosophical system out of the data of popular emotional religion. At the beginning of one of his earlier treatises, the Vedārthasamgraha, he states his position thus: "True knowledge of the jīvātman and the Supreme Ātman, applied to the performance of the obligations imposed by the dharma of the various stations and stages of life, accompanying humble and pious acts of reverence for and meditation on the Supreme Person — these and similar acts being held most dear by the devotee — will result in the attainment of God."

In this succinct statement the main principles of his religious philosophy are implied. True knowledge may be had of the living, embodied, individual ātman and of the supreme, animating, personal God, who are distinguishable and not identical. From the individual existence of a plurality of embodied ātmans results, on the one hand, the importance of personal activity within the boundaries of an organized society in which the embodied ātmans occupy individual positions, and, on the other hand, an individual relationship of the embodied ātman to the Deity. The ātman's relation to God is marked by religious worship and pious representation and animated by a spirit of love for these very religious acts which have such an exalted object. These acts performed

oy constituting His body.

2 anterior at least to the CBh; the passage runs (Ved. 5) jitoforamāl2 anterior at least to the CBh; the passage runs (Ved. 5) jitoforamāl1 anayālhālmyajiānapārrakaturņāframadharmatikartaryajāhaparamapurnjacarawaji
1 anayālhālmyajiānapārrakaturņāframadharmatikartaryajāhaparamapurnjachapara

galadhyanarcanapranamadir atyarthapriyas tatpraptishalah.

the term is often incorrectly interpreted as a karmadhāraya comp "quahlied, modified non-dualism"; but it is a tatpurusa: viāijtaryādvoitom, or, as Venkatamadi (Nyāyasiddhāñjana, quoted by Kuppuswami in Srinivasachari, Finite Sell) puts it, viāijtavya viāijtarājom advaitam "monism of the differenced, unity of the universe's spiritual and non-spiritual substances with and in God whom they modify by constituting His body".

In His absolute form He is knowledge and bliss, antagonistic to all evil, comprising all that is good. His divine Personality takes more shape with His beautiful qualities and glorious manifestation, yet he is maccessible to perception, inexpressible by speech, inconceivable by thought Nevertheless, all perception speech and thought are concerned with God, for He is the divine reality underlying all and everything Everything forms part of his glorious supernal manifestation, his seigniory and dominion ⁴ So everything forms a mode of God, a prakara which is attributable to Him, subservient to Him and effected by Him. And God and his modes are indissolubly connected in a perfect and everlasting unity

This is the truth which Rāmānuja distils from the upanisads, the same scriptures from which Sankara derives a very different view. The question of whose interpretation is the correct one need not concern us here be What we are concerned with is the important fact that Rāmanuja had to justify his interpretation and build his system against Sankara's. Before he could start on developing and elaborating within the pales of the Vedānta school of thought the views set forth by his predecessors, he had to refute Sankara's entire philosophy and to prove that the great revelations of the upanisads admitted of a very different interpretation. That he was fully aware of this is clear, the first doctrine he summarizes in the Vedarthasamgraha after summing up his own position is Sankara's, the purvapaksin to his great Siddhānta is again Sankara

Ramānuja was, though not literally, a pupil of Yāmuna who was the first to introduce the conception of bhakti into the Vedānta Much of what we see blossoming into full maturity in Rāmanuja's works we see budding in Yāmuna's writings And Yamuna was the grandson and successor of Nāthamuni, the first of the ācāryas who tried to give a philosophical expression to the thoughts scattered throughout the inspired hymns of the Ālwārs, and these hymns were the answer of the South-Indian Vaisnavas to latter-day Buddhism, just as in its way šankara's system was also an answer

Rāmānuja, carrying on what Yamuna had only just begun to do,

5 the less so because the upanisads forming no units whatever, do not admit of one systematic interpretation.

⁴ tabhuti , cisturya and myamana-, the rendering "supernal manifestation" s Edgerton's

⁶ Ved 12 14 7 tradition has it that R never met Yamuna before the latter's death (Srimi Yasachari, VA, p. 515, Govindacărya Life of Rămânuja, p. 55 ff.)

attempted to give the Bhagavadgita its legitimate place among the authoritative texts of the Vedanta With this attempt the wheel of Indian thought had swung around once more The earlier upanisads, at the same time carrying on the quest of the brahmanas for a stable unitative principle and reacting against the paramount importance given by them to ritual acts, found a tentative answer in the conception of a Supreme Principle, identified with brahman and atman, beyond the phenomena of the world of perception and activity, only attainable by immediate intuitive comprehension, identical with this comprehension, sole ground of all being, sole being, ulti reality in which man submerges by knowing it and thereby escapes from samsara A reaction against this tendency of speculative thought which could only end in divorcing religion from its natural object became clearly marked in some later upanisads 8 but found its most remarkable expression in the Bhagavadgita The Gita, without pretending to correct or supersede the 'monistic' conclusion of the upanisads, stresses once more the necessity of action for the proper functioning of world and society and opens to all and sundry the way to release by emphasizing the personal character of the Deity who can be attained not magically or mystically through knowledge, but devoutly through bhakti

Sankara, then, started where the upanisads had stopped Their ultimate answer neti neti is his postulate from which he develops a philosophy that explains the perfect by explaining away the imperfect The sole real being is the absolute, everything else is unreal, sprung from an mexplicable imagination which itself is neither real nor unreal There is no room here for reason, let alone emotion Action is purposeless, religion impossible, there is nothing but knowledge. Against this there is again a reaction, born from the same need as was felt by the poet of the Gita, the need to take into account common sense and common experience, common religion and common God There is indeed a striking parallelism between the Gita's reaction against the monism of the upanisads and Visistadvaita's reaction against the monism of Advaita Just as the Gītā seeks to reconcile - within orthodox Brahmanism - monim and theism, stresses the importance of ritual acts and upholds the claims of emotional religion, so Visistadvaita seeks to reconcile - within orthodox Vedanta - unity and distinctness in the universe, stresses the importance of acts prescribed by the sastras and upholds the claims of the religious emotions felt by the devout believer in relation to a personal God However, just as Advaita brought only

⁸ esp ÇvetUp, on R. s indebtedness to this text, cf Kumarappa, p 207 f

a certain tendency of the upanisads to its logical conclusion, so did Visistādvaita elaborate only a certain, though most important, tendency of the Gītā. Henceforth there is no tentativeness, no side-by-side, no fluency of opposites; the positions are clear-cut, the conclusions decisive, the truths definitive. Groping speculation and poetical inspiration have given way to well-defined systems.

11

This remarkable parallelism between certain tendencies in the Bhagavadgītā and in Viśistādvaita naturally leads to the question if the tenets of the Gītā have influenced Rāmānuja's system, and if so, to what extent. It is not easy to give a complete answer to the question. Generally speaking, of course, the answer is an emphatic yes; but as soon as we start to investigate the exact manner in which this influence was exerted and precisely to what extent any direct influence is perceptible, we meet with difficulties. For it is impossible to distinguish between Ramanuja as the independent and original thinker who created a philosophical system and who in his philosophic solitude could have been influenced directly by the Gītā, and Rāmānuja as the faithful Vaisnava who succeeded Yamuna at the temple of Śrīranga and who from his boyhood lived in a devout milieu where the tradition of centuries of Vaisnavite sentiments, conceptions and mythology was interwoven with advaitic illusionism and monism, pañcaratric cosmology and bhagavata mysticism. In this milieu the teachings of the Gita played traditionally an important rôle, and any influence they exercized on Rămānuja must have been indirect, often unrecognizable, expressing itself in a spirit of religion and devotional actions rather than in a clear system of ethical and metaphysical truths. More than anything it was this spirit which formed Râmanuja and which in due time would be reformed by him

Philosophically speaking, tradition was adrift. After the great revival of the Alwārs and the new religious fervour which stirred the South-Indian Vaiṣṇavas, the Alagīyas could no longer acquiesce in a sterile sectarianism in which the exalted thoughts of the ecstatics would eventually drift off and vanish, no more than they could acquiesce in the not less sterile Vedāntic system of Sankara which threatened to cut their thinkers off from the revivifying inspiration of personal religiosity.

It was the sentiment of bhakti in which Indian, and especially

Vaisnavite, religiosity had expressed itself of old," and it was this bhakti, grown richer and deeper in the course of time. 10 which at all costs should be saved This bhakti, a completely unintellectual devotion, a continuous flow of emotional religiosity, ranging from the worship of idols to the most exalted mysticism of love for and surrender to the supreme Deity, and as such an essentially theistic spirituality, pervaded and animated the religious life of the Vaisnavas, ever since it had found its superb expression in the poetry of the Gita, and possibly even before The tradition of bhakta theism, side by side with a more or less upanisad-inspired monism, was continuated by popular texts like the Visnu- and Bhagavatapurana and by the agamas of the Pancaratra which coupled the cosmogonic doctrines of the Samkhya with the ancient devotion of Nārayana 11 The typically Vaisnavite doctrine of avatāra widened the scope of man's religious possibilities. At the same time the orthodox Karmamimāmsa with its exclusive attention for ritual action persisted, although the actions as such were no longer recognized as the only aim but were given a subordinate place in man's progress to salvation

All these currents of religious belief existed since the Gita side by side, and all of them exerted influence on Ramanuja But it is hard to say what was the influence which the Gita, in which most of them can be found, exerted on them The extraordinary popularity of the Gita should not make us forget that it was just one of the texts in which certain religious and 'philosophical' trends expressed themselves in passing Its popularity grew with the influence of these trends themselves I think we may say that many tenets of Ramanuja's system were not so much directly inspired by the Gita than by the very unde current of popular religiosity by which the Gita itself had been inspired

But in the meantime the Gita had become an authoritative text and every thinker who attempted to justify the devotional theism of the Vaisnavas against the monistic doctrines of advantic Vedanta could find in it his authority Even Sankara could not pass the Gita by but had to demonstrate that his system was perfectly in keeping with the purport of that celebrated text 12 But Sankara's interpretations were dangerous,

la bhaktı est la forme specifiquement hindoue du sentiment religieux que lon peut retrouver et que lon retrouvera toujours dans tout culte et toute secte, quels qu' ils soient Lamotte Notes p 20

tte, queis qui ils someni Lanioure Profes p 20 10 for a historic survey see Gonda Bhakti 11 on Pancaratra see O Schrader Introduction to Pancaratra Madras 1916 on Çankara's commentary on the G see B Faddegon Çankara's Gıtabhāşya toegelicht en beoordeeld thesis Leyden 1906

he interrupted the continuity of religious thought as it had developed since the Gitā by returning directly to the monism of the older upanişads. And by erecting a clear-cut system he provoked automatically the opposition of all Vedāntins who would not exclude from philosophy the rich inspiration of religion. To the challenge of śańkara's system they could only respond by another, more synthetic, system.

Ît was Yāniuna who laid the foundations of such a system. In his Siddhitraya ¹³ he demonstrated that the ātman had an individual existence apart from Îśvara, the supreme personal Deity, and, borrowing his arguments from Nyāya and Sāmkhya, maintained the separate existence of God as the cause and of the conscient ātmans and the phenomenal world as effects, Besides he composed a summary of the Gītā in which he set forth that its principal object is to teach bhakti as the sole means of attaining God, thereby opening the possibility that the Sāmkhyan dualism of God, souls and matter, which still persisted in his doctrine, might be solved in a higher unity. It was left to Rāmānuja to build a synthetic system on both foundations.¹⁴

The frame-work of Rāmānuja's system is the great conception of śarīraśarīrībhāva, the doctrine that God stands to the world of ātman and prakṛti in the relation of soul to body which forms its indissoluble attribute. This doctrine satisfied the demands of philosophy; but religion demands more. It demands a way out of the bondage of embodied life to salvation in release. And to satisfy this demand Rāmānuja expounded the teaching of the Gītā coherently with the tenets of Viśiṣṭādvaita.

Now the Gita exerts direct influence. It is not only the text whose teachings have imperceptibly found their way to purana and agama, to the every-day life of temple and hermitage, but also the authoritative smrti text whose prestige should warrant the workable truths of Visistadvaita. The position of the Gita as an authoritative text is an ambiguous one. It is called an upanisad, but forming part of itihasa it is smrti, albeit a smrti which has more prestige than many a sruti text. What does the Gita mean to Ramanuja? Being smrti 15 its task is to support the sruti, that is to clarify the purport of the Vedas — which

edited by S. Rāma Miśra Çāstri in the Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series, Benares 1900, kindly sent me on Ioan by the India Office Library, Comm. Rel. Office, London; discussed by Dasgupta, III, pp. 138-155.

¹⁴ It would be interesting to study the exact relation between the views of the Siddhitraya and those of the Cribhasya; too little is still known about the early history of Visistadvaita.

¹⁵ that the G. is smrti for R. appears from many instances, e.g. CBh. 1,1,1 p. 65 (Th. p. 86).

is already known - by means of the teachings of those sages who have complete knowledge of the Vedas and their contents and who have in virtue of their own efforts arrived at an intuitive understanding of the true meaning of the Vedas 16 Therefore, it necessarily must be in accord with sruti A quotation of the Gita can never suffice to prove a point without the corroboration of sruti 17 But not seldom the meaning of sruti is made to agree with the Gita so that the Gita might agree with sruti 18 It is God himself who chants the Gita, and God is omniscient so that his teaching needs be true 19 We may remember that Rāmānuja has written a complete commentary on the Gita but none on the upanisads, not even on the Svetasvatara and the Katha, and that he has contented himself with sketching in outline the manner in which the conflicting statements of the various stutis should be reconciled 20 It is on the strength of the authority of the Gita that he sets forth the necessity of ritual action, performed not to achieve a personal end but for the glory of God, as a first step to ultimate beatitude in the intuitive vision of God through exclusive and perfect bhakti, and this doctrine is the raison dêtre of his whole system which was born from the need to account philosophically for the redeeming value of religion and devotion

On the whole we may say that it was the Gita and all it stood for, the long tradition of love inspired theism, of devout Vaisnavism of the worship of avataras and the importance of ritual action, which provided Ramanuja if not with the frame work of his religious philosophy, at ieast with its main inspiration and that it was the Gita's doctrine of salvation which was elaborated and re-integrated in Ramanuja's reformation of Vedanta which was thereby lifted from the 'intellectual' sphere to the plane of religion Just as Sankara, after the decline of Buddhism, restored the continuity of Brahmanism by reaching back to the upanisads, so Ramanuja restored the continuity of Hinduism by making room

¹⁶ CBh 111 p 72 (Th p 91) assarthassethdsapuranabhsan upabrinhanam karsan iti judsate l upabrinhanam nama unditasakalaxedatedathanam svasogamalinasakkalikranxedatati urtha am -aksanli s-d upata-eda-aksartha saktikaranam

¹¹ ex in CBh 111 (Th p 78 ff) R quotes first several srutis then the G Cankara's sense

¹⁸ a striking example is the vedana upāsana and dhyana of the upanisads which is equated with the bhakti of the G, cf also GBh ad 7

²⁰ viz. in the Ved and ÇBh 111 (Th p 138 ff) in the ÇBh. the G is quoted not less then 140 times following in number immediately after BAU and ChUp

in Vedanta for the Bhagavadgītā This is already amply proved by the śrībhāsya; but by composing the Gītābhāsya Rāmānuja gave full expression to the cardinal significance of the Gītā in Vedānta

So Rāmānuja acquitted himself of the task left to him by Yāmuna, and, we may add, entirely in Yāmuna's spirit For Yāmuna left his successor not only a task, but also a scheme, the Gītārthasamgraha

Rāmānuja's indebtedness to Yāmuna's Gītās thasama aha

Several authors contend that Rāmānuja was in a large measure indebted to Yamuna's Gitārthasamgraha for his interpretations of the Gītā,²¹ but, as far as I know, no attempt has as yet been made to determine the exact measure in which Rāmānuja was influenced by his predecessor

Yamuna's GAS ²² is a very concist summary of the G in 32 slokas. It may conveniently be divided into four parts, I the doctrine of the G (st 1); 2 the subject-matter of the three satkas into which Y divides the eighteen Lectures (st 2-4), 3 the subject-matter of the eighteen Lectures separately (st 5-22), 4 definitions and discussions of the main points of the G (st 23-32)

We do not exaggerate when we say that practically all the salient features of the GBh are contained in Y's scheme Rāmānuja himself leaves no doubt as to his indebtedness to Yāmuna he not only dedicates his commentary to Yāmuna with the significant remark that he owes everything to his illustrious predecessor, 25 bit he also quotes some of the slokas of the GAS and most important of all, makes a point of using Yāmuna's own words, as often as the occasion arises

The following notes may suffice to illustrate this

1 The doctrine of the G according to Y svadharmajñanavairāgya-sadhyabhaktyekagocarali Nārāyanah param Brahma (vs. 1), and to R paramabrahmabhūtah Nārāyano svavisayam jñānākarmānugrhītam bhaktiyogam avatarayāmāsa,²⁴ and Gitāsāstrasya sārartho bhaktiyogah (18,0)

²¹ eg Radhakrishnan, G p 17, Srinivasachari, VA p 512, and Kumarappa,

text and translation of the Gitarthasamgraha in Appendix. in the title of the Vedarthasamgraha we hear a courteous echo of that of the Gitarthasamgraha

²⁴ This passage in Intr , ata Vir is borrowed from GAS 5

2 R borrows from Y the tripartition of the eighteen Lectures in 3 satkas, a 1-6, b 7-12, c 13-18

a 16 Y jñānakarmātmike nisthe yogalakse ātmānubhūtisiddhyai the (vs 2), and R prathamenādh āya-atkena ātmajñānapūi vaka-karmānusthānasādhyam praptuli pratyagatmano yāthātmyadarsanam uktam (7,0), R uses throughout Y's term -nisthā 25 where G has -yoga- in jñāna- and karmayoga- ātmadarsana- corresponds to Y.'s atmadarsti- (vs 25), Y's yoga- to R's "contemplation of the ātman" (ātmadar-ana-, -avalokana-), cf 253 and 68-72, 6, 27-29

b 7-12 Y bhagavattattvayathātmvavaptisiddhaye jñanakarmābhinirvartyo bhaktiyogah, and R jñanakarmānugi hītam bhaktiyogam (Intr), and madhyame ca paramaprap, abhūtabhagavattattvayāthātmyajñanapūrvakaikāntikātyantikabhaktiyoganisthā pratipāditā (Intr and 13,0)

c 13-18 Y supplementary discussion of 1 pradhāna 2 purusa, 3 vyakta, 4 īsvara, 5 karma, 6 dhī, 7 bhaktı, and R uparıtanasatke (1) prakrtı- (2) purusa- (3) tatsamsargaprapañca- (4) īsvarayāthatmya- (5) karma- (6) jūana- (7) bhaktısvarūpatadupādānaprakārās ca satkadvayodītā visodhyante (13,0)

3 R follows in his own abstracts of the Lectures the summary of the GAS often to the point of literalness

So, eg, Y prasangāt svasvabhavoktih karmano 'karmatāsya ca / bhedā jñānasya māhātmyam caturthādhyaya ucyate // (st 8) and R karmayogasya kartavyatam dradhayitvantargatajñānatajācaturthe syaiva jñānayogākāratām pradarsya karmayogasvarūpam tadbhedāli karmayoge jñānāmsasyaiva prādhanyam cocyate, prasangāc ca bhagavadavatārayathātmyam ucyate (4,0), and Y (1) svavāthātmyam (2) prakrtyāsya tirodhih (3) saranagatih / (4) bhaktabhedah (5) prabuddhasya śraisthyam saptama ucyate // (st 11), and R. saptame tāvad (1) upāsyabhūtaparamapurusasvarūpayāthātmyam (2) prakrtyā tirodhānam (3) tannıvrtta) e bhagavatprapattır (4) upāsakavıdhābhedo (5) jñāninah sraisthyam cocyate (7,0), and Y svakalyānagunānantyakrtsnasvādhīnatāmatih / bhaktyutpattivivrddhvarthā vistīrnā daśamoditā // (st 14) and R bhakty utpattaye tadvivrddhaye ca bhagavato kalyānagunaganānant) am krtsnasya jagatas tatpravartyatvam ca prapañcyate (10,0), and Y. (1) dehasvarūpam (2) ātmāptihetur (3) ātmavisodhanam / (4) bandhahetur (5) vivekas ca trayodasa udiryate // (st 17). and R: trayodase (1) dehātmanoh svarūpam dehayāthātmyasodhanam

²³ borrowed, of course, from G 3,3

(2) dehavı) uktātmaprāptyupāja- (3) viviktātmasvarūpasamsodhanam (4) tathīvidhas jātmanas cācitsambandhanahetus (5) tato vivekānusanidhānaprakāras cocjate (13,0); and, finally, Y (1) īsvare kartrtābuddhih (2) sattvopāde jatāntime / (3) svakarmaparinamas ca (4) sāstrasārārtha ucjate // (st 22), and R · (1) bhagavati sarvesvare ca sarvakarmanām kartrivānusamdhānam (2) sattvagunas vāvasvopāde yatvam (3) karmanam paramapurusārādhanabhūtānām paramapurusaprūptimirvartanaprakārah (4) . Gītāsastrasya sārārtho bhaktiyogah (18,0) 20

4 Most of the definitions and discussions with which Y deals in GAS 23-32 return in one form or the other throughout the GBh. In fact, there is hardly a word in Y's summary which does not reappear in the corresponding context of the GBh in the same or a slightly different form.

To Rāmānuja's free use of Yāmuna's terminology corresponds a not less striking fidelity to the main points of the GAS Both Y and R regard jāāna- and karmayoga — whatever their exact relation ²⁷ — only as preparatory stages they result in the "contemplation of the ātman", but not in the attainment of God himself God can only be attained through bhakti, to which the former disciplines of jāāna and karman are the indispensable means, and both agree that this doctrine is the essence of the Gita As to their views on the subject-matter of the separate Lectures, the above quotations will sufficiently prove that they almost literally concur

On the whole we may say that Yāmuna provided Rāmānuja with the frame-work of his Gitabhasya Yamuna established the general sense of the Gīta and left to Ramanuja the task of adapting detailed interpretations to the general lines sketched by him in the Gitārthasamgraha We may even regard the GAS as a satisfactory index to the Gītābhasya Sometimes, however, the text of the GAS is not clear enough to allow a definite translation and we have to invoke the aid of Rāmānuja's paraphrases to understand its exact meaning But then the concise character of the GAS makes it difficult to find out whether Rāmanuja explains the text in the sense of Yāmuna For example, in GAS it 8 we cannot be sure that Yāmuna considered akarmatā (corresponding to akarman- in G 4,18) to mean knowledge and

²⁶ these examples may suffice the summaries of all 18 Lectures are repeated by R with greater or lesser fidelity in his own abstracts at the beginning of each Lecture or the next one

²⁷ see Chapter IV

jñanasya mahātmyani to signify the 'importance of the component of knowledge in action" as R interprets it, nor are we sure that in st 6 Yāmuna meant by yoga "contemplation of the atman" Personally speaking, I am under the impression that Yamuna attached more importance to jñanayoga as a separate way to salvation than Rāmānuja does, but to my mind it is impossible to deduce a positive conclusion from the insufficient data of the Gitārthasanigraha 28

Many of Ramanuja's ideas seem to derive directly from the Gitārtha-samgraha, for instance, that acts — which always are ritual acts — are propitiations of God, 29 that the contemplation of the atman is ancillary to the attainment of God through bhakti, 40 and that there are three groups of bhaktas the ai-varyārthins, the kaivalyārthins and the jñānins, but that only the last attain God 31

Summing up we may say that before Rāmānuja set himself to the task of commenting on every verse of the Bhagavadgītā most of the interpretations which found their way to his bhāsya were already accepted among South-Indian Vaisnavas of Yāmuna's school But as Rāmānuja in his Śrībhāsya proves himself to be such an original and subtle thinker, we may safely assume, though direct evidence is lacking, that in his Gitābhasya, too, the views of his predecessors and coreligionists not only found a more systematical expression, but were also rearranged and reinterpreted by his original and devout genius

III

Whereas there is direct evidence that the Vedārthasamgraha was written before the Śribhāsya,³² the relative date of the Gitābhāsya has not yet been established Tradition is silent about it ³³ The Śrībhāsya nowhere quotes the Gitābhāsya, nor does the Gitābhāsya ever quote the Śrībhāsya So, if we are to ascertain the relation between Rāmānuja's

²⁵ On the GAS compare also Srinivasachari, VA p 372 ff, in my opinion, however, there is no sufficient evidence to prove that in the GAS "the last or the drawadgati- of vs 11) to the Lord as the only means of salvation" (oc p 372), nor that 'the last chapter insists on absolute self-surrender (= prapatiti- p 372), nor that 'the last chapter insists on saranagat or surrender to the Lord as the supreme means of moksa" (o.e. p 374), of my remarks on prapatiti- with

GAS 25, at the root of this conception is G 18,46

³¹ GAS 27-28.

²² R. refers twice to his Vedårthasamgraha in ÇBh 1,1,1, viz Th. pp 78

as ef Govindšešrya, Life of Rāmānuja, p 134-136, Srinivasachari, VA., p 517

commentary on the Sūtras and that on the Gītā at all, we shall have to refer to internal evidence.

There can be no doubt that the SBh. and GBh. are written by the same author. Tradition is positive, and, indeed, the very terminology of practically every line of the GBh. reminds us very strongly of that of the SBh., more so in fact than the terminology of the Vedārthasamgraha does. R. not only uses the same expressions and definitions for fundamental concepts of his system, but he also uses literally the same terms when speaking of matters of detail in both commentaries. E.g., prabalapramāṇabādhitatvena occurs in the same form (and the same context!) in SBh. 1,1,1, p. 8 (Th. p. 13) and GBh. 2,12; when speaking of the Vedas it is said in the GBh. 2,45 that they are mātāpitṛsahasrebhyo 'pi vatsalataratayā pravṛṭtāṇ, and in SBh. 3,3,39 (Th. p. 662) mātāpitṛsahasrebhyo 'pi vatsalataratayā sāstram. These instances, which illustrate the direct relation between both texts (in which they occur only once), could be augmented.

A certain method of investigating the relation between both commentaries consists in studying the quotations of the Gitā in the śBh. as well as those of the Sūtras in the GBh. If we should discover some difference between the interpretations given in either text of the same stanza and the same sūtra, we may be justified in seeking in that difference a criterion by which we can decide on the exact relation of both texts.

1. The Gītā quotations in the Srībhāṣya.

The Gità is, after the BAU. and ChUp. the most quoted text in the śrībhāṣya (some 140 times). As far as I can see, the stanzas of the Gitā quoted are interpreted exactly in the same way as they are in the GBh. Frequently, of course, the stanzas are quoted in another connection in the śBh. than they are in the GBh.: they serve to illustrate a point of the demonstration of the śBh., 34 so that no more can be said than that the general trend of the interpretations is the same as in the GBh. But in dealing with some stanzas R. is obliged to enter into detail; in all these cases his explanations in the śBh. strikingly concur with those in the GBh.

For instance, in \$Bh. 1,1,21 (Th. p. 241) G. 4,6 is quoted . prakṛtim svām adhiṣṭhāya saṃbhavāmy ātmamāyayā, which is explained:

³⁴ so G. 2,12; 7,6; 10,8; 10,20; 10,39; 12,17; 14,4 and 15,18 are quoted to corroborate the view that consciousness of an individual self persists in release (CBh. 1,1,1 Th. p. 71).

prakrtih svabhāvah / svam eva svabhāvam āsthāya, na samsārinam svabhāvam ity arthah / ātmamāyayeti svasamkalparūpena jūānenety arthah "māyā vayunam jūānam" iti jūānaparyāyam api naighantukā adhīyate, cf GBh prakrtım svabhāvam / svam eva svabhāvam adhısthāya svenatva rūpena svecchayā sambhavāmīty arthah yātmajfiānena/"māyā vayunam jījānam ' iti 35 jījanaparyājo'tra māyāśabdah In sBh 1,1,1, p 102 (Th p 125) commenting on G 7,14 maya is equated with prakrti because it is called gunamayi, a discussion of the meaning of maya precedes this quotation nahi sarvatra mayasabdo mithyāvisayah / āsuraraksasāstrādisu satyesv eva māyāsabdaprayogāt / yathoktam (VP 1,19,20 is quoted), ato māyāśabdo vicitrārthasargakarābhidhāyī, cf GBh asyā (sc prakrtyā) māyāśabdavācyatvam āsurarāksasāstrādinām īva vicitrakāryakaratvena, 30 then the 'same smrti (VP 1,19,20) is quoted, and R proceeds ato māyāśabdo na mithyārthavācī, this theme is further elaborated 37 and it is concluded that the primary sense of maya is "miracle-working or illusioncreating influence" (this miracle and illusion being in itself real), and the secondary sense is "the illusion created" Another instance is \$Bh 4,2,20 (Th p 742) where G 8 23 is commented upon yatra kāle tv ıtı kalasabdah kalabhımanıdevatatıvāhıkaparah, agnyādeh kālatvāsambhavah, cf GBh atra kalasabdo margasyāhahprabhrtisamvatsarāntakäläbhımanıdevatäbhüyastayä märgopalaksanärthah Fınally SBh 1,1,1 p 118 (Th p 140) ad G 14,3 jagadyombhūtam mahad brahma madīyam prakrtyākhyam bhūtasūksmam acidvastu vasmims cetanākhyam garbham samyojayāmı / tato matkrtāc cidacitsamsargād devādisthāvarāntānām acınımısrānām sarvabhūtanām sambhavo bhavatīty arthah; cf acetanaprakrtyā bhogaksetrabhūtaya bhoktrvargapuñjabhūtām cetanaprakrtım 38 samyojayamīty arthah / tatas: tasmāt prakrtıdvayasamyogān matsamkalpakrtāt sarvabhūtānām sambhavo bhavati

These instances may suffice to show that the interpretations in GBh and sBh of certain Gitā stanzas often literally concur, so much so that I think we may assume direct borrowing But if both texts agree so completely, it is impossible to conclude which explanation was written down first Still it should be remarked that the GBh enlarges more

³⁵ V comments from a Nighantu

³⁶ V having the above CBh prissage in mind adds satyest asurarakşasastradışu mayasabdaprayoge na mithyatranıbandlıana iti bhazah

at to by adducing the instance of the illusionist (majarm-) who suggest to a person a certain idea (buddh:) which in itself is real enough the same instance is adduced in shorter form in CBh 1,1,1, p 56 (Th 75) 35 or the jivabhutapraktti of G 7.5

on the interpretations of 7,14, 8,23 and 14,3 than the \$Bh does GBh 7, 14 gives an important amplification of R's statement in the \$Bh on maya and elucidates his views \$Bh ad G 8,23 is too brief to be altogether clear, only by comparing the GBh we learn exactly why kala- is used in the sense of mirga- In itself, however, the concurrence of GBh with \$Bh provides no sufficient evidence to conclude definitely which text is anterior. The only conclusion is that before the \$Bh and the GBh were written down R's interpretation of the Gita, even in detail, was fixed.

2 Sūtia quotations in the Gitabhasya

With the Sūtra quotations in the GBh the matter stands differently Several times the Vedantasūtras are quoted (ten times in total), but only in a few cases the sense of the sutra concerned is commented upon Taking into consideration the importance of the Sutras in the Vedanta and the existence of Sankara's commentary, whose authoritativeness for the Vedantins is proved time and again by Ramanuja's polemic violence 30 it is a priori improbable that R would have referred to the Sutras in corroboration of his Gita interpretations if he had had no reason to consider his own views on these vital texts to be sufficiently known to his fellow-philosophers, only after having composed his bhasya on the Sutras he could refrain as he does from commenting in detail on the Sutras to which he refers

The interpretation of the Sutras quoted in the GBh is exactly the same as that in the SBh In some cases, again, the agreement is so literal that we must assume direct borrowing For instance VS 21,34 to is quoted in GBh 4,14, in the same context both SBh and GBh quote VP 1,4,51-52, the last line of which (myate tapasām srestha svasaktyā vastu vastutām) is explained svakarmanaiva devādivastutaprāptih, and svagatapracinakarmāsaktyaiva hi devadivastubhavam upaniyate respectively VS 2341 to squoted in GBh 18,14-15, in SBh this sūtra is introduced nanv evam vidhinisedhasastrinarthakyam prasajyetety uktam tatrāha (follows sūtra) and in GBh (after quotation of 2,3,40) to nanv evam paramatmayatte jinātmanah kartrive jinātmā karmany

no for a comparative study of R. and C on the VS see VS Ghate Le Ved inta Ftude sur les Brahma sutras et leurs cinq commentaires thesis Paris 1918

⁴⁰ vaişamyanairghenye na, sapekşat at

⁴¹ ketaprayatnāpeksas tu vihitapratis ddhāvaisārthsādibhyah

⁴² parat tu tac chruteli

anıyojyo bhavatiti vidhinisedhasastrany anarthakını syuh / idam api codyam sutrakarena parihrtam (follows 23,41), this sutra is commented on in sBh sarvasu kriyasu purusena krtam prayatnam udyogam apeksyantaryamiparamatma tadanumatidanena pravartayati, paramatmanumatim antarenasya pravrttir nopapadyate, and in GBh matmana dattais tadadharais ca karanakalevaradibhis 43 tadahitasakti bhih syayam ca jiyatma tadadharas tadahitasaktih san karmanispattaye svecchaya karanadyadhisthanakaram prayatnam carabhate / tadantaravasthitah paramatma syanumatidanena tam pravartayatiti jivasyapi svabuddhyaiva pravrttihetutvam asti. Here more than in SBh. full stress is laid upon the intention of the individual atman, but this is only one aspect of the question the problem how God can permit sin in the atman is not touched upon ⁴⁴ In SBh the relation between God and self and their agency is illustrated by the example of the joint property of two persons which cannot be disposed of by one person save with the permission of the other In GBh, too the relation is illustrated with an instance but as in the Gita not the relation between the agency of God and self but that of all six factors which cause an action 13 under discussion an entirely different example is provided, there, too, it is especially the responsibility of the individual atman which is emphasized The discussion of this sutra in GBh, which only throws light on one aspect of the problem may lead us to assume that R deliberately avoided touching on God's relation to the evil created by man an aspect which instantly calls for attention, this aspect is however fully dealt with in the SBh whereas the GBh more fully discusses the atman's responsibility May we assume, then, that R here implicitly refers to his demonstration in the sBh?

There is another, but dubious, indication that the GBh is posterior to the SBh In his detailed commentary on G 2,12 Rāmānuja refutes the objection of an advaitin that the bheda-doctrine implied by this stanza might be a case of badhitanuvrtti,45 the persistence of an erroneous notion which has already been sublated R shows by three examples that the argument is not appropriate, these examples are mirage and water, mirror and real person, one moon and double moon Now it is remarkable that the sequence of these examples exactly corresponds to

⁴³ sc. the body senses fivefold vital air, R's interpretation of G 184 stdnightane, keroma and cesta 44 as it is in CBh 2341 where it occupies the greater part of R's commentary (Th. p. 557 558) 45 ef also CBh. 111, p 7 (Th. p 12) and Varadachari, Theory of knowledge p 83

that in which they appear in the series of erroncous, though to some extent real, notions summed up in \$Bh. 1,1,1, p. 98 ff. (Th. p. 120 ff.), viz.silver and mother-of-pearl; dream and reality; white seen as yellow; crystal seen as red; mirage and water; firebrand; mirror and real person; mistaken direction; one moon and double moon. Unless one would consider this a curious coincidence, it creates the impression that R. went through his list in the \$Bh. to see in which case the objection of his adversary would hold good and selected three instances to incorporate in his refutation.

Moreover, a number of passages in GBh., dealing with fundamental concepts of Visistadvaita, not only presuppose a short expose like the Vedarthasamgraha,40 but also the detailed demonstrations of the śribhasya. For example, R.'s commentary on G. 2,12 is only comprehensible when seen against the background of his Great Siddhanta in the Sribhāṣya. We note in this passage that R.'s entire reasoning with regard to this important text departs from the axiomatic assumption that the Gītā is upadeśa-, i.e. instruction in truth; but the Gītā, however important its teaching, is only smrti,47 and R. would never have refuted the orthodox, upanisadic doctrines of advaitavada on the strength of smrti alone: his very reasoning here presupposes the scriptural evidence collected in \$Bh. 1,1,1, (Th. p. 78 ff.). The full import of his remarks on the vision of a double moon in the same passage becomes only clear when we compare them with his demonstrations in SBh., 1,1,1, p. 7 f and 99 (Th. p. 12 f. and 130 f.). These are only a few instances. Throughout his Gītābhāṣya Rāmānuja takes for granted certain notions of which he only treats in detail in his Śrībhāsya.

Considering all this, the narrow relation between GBh. and \$Bh., the amplified interpretations of the GBh. where the interpretations of the \$Bh. are enlarged upon, the implicit references to the \$Bh. when \$Stras are quoted in direct corroboration of his Gita commentary, and the a priori probability that the \$Bh, as an epoch-making commentary on a vital Vedānta text, preceded the Gitābhāṣya, in which moreover the most important visiṣtādvaita views, set forth in the \$rībhāṣya, are taken for granted, considering all this I feel justified in contending, though not one of the indications is in itself conclusive, that the Gitābhāṣya is posterior to the \$rībhāṣya.

this important text has not yet been studied in detail; it would be interesting to know the relation between Ved. and CBh.

47 sec Chapter II.

The Gītābhāṣya is in many respects very different from either the Vedārthasamgraha or the śrībhāsya. Here Rāmānuja does not act as the independent thinker who with polemic vehemence attacks and refutes the errors of advaitavadins and bhedabhedavadins and who in a concise and precise style expounds his doctrines systematically and organically, but he appears in the different rôle of commenting theologian whose views need no longer to be defended and advocated and who quietly applies them to the interpretation of every stanza. Very rarely he permits himself to polemize, and then only briefly; seldom he enlarges on the philosophical significance of the concepts in the terms of which he interprets his text. But readily he enlarges upon the devotional passages of the Gitā and then his style often approaches that of the ardent devotee who glorifies his God in fervid litanies. More than in his other works it is here the priest of the temple of Śrīranga who rises before our minds in the prose hymns of many passages. It is the priest who is in daily touch with God in his temple, who is familiar with the practices of ritual acts and the more exalted practices of meditation and loving representation. It is the devoted bhakta who dresses and adorns the image, who loves to dwell on the infinite perfections of the God it represents, on the beautiful shapes He assumes, the radiance of his countenance, the brilliance of his ornaments, the splendour of his attributes. Metaphysical speculation is bleached by the glorious light in which the Deity to whose service all acts should be dedicated and on whom all thoughts should be focused is revealed in the immediate visualization of bhakti.

IV

Rāmānuja, following Yāmuna, divides the 18 Lectures of the Bhagavadgitā into three ṣaṭkas, the last of which gives further explanations of topics already dealt with in the preceding ṣaṭkas. In the first 12 Lectures, then, the fundamental teaching of the Gitā is laid down, culminating in the essential doctrine of bhakti. The whole teaching of the Gitā is declared to be the exposition of the mumukṣu's progress to bhakti and the attainment of God. That progress is divided into two stages, the first of which, preparatory and ancillary to bhakti, is the subject-matter of Lectures 1-6

Departing from Arjuna's dilemma, at the root of which appears to lie an ignorance of the basic truths, the Lord begins by explaining the categorical difference between body and ātman. What is a body?

A conglomeration of elements of prakrti which has developed into a certain nature and structure, it is essentially non-spiritual or nonconscient and essentially transient Prakrti itself, one of the three categories which make up the universe, may be eternal as such, its corporeal forms are perishable Eternally it passes from one stage to another, from the stage of subtlety, where it is cause, to that of grossness, where it is effect. likewise its more developed forms, such as the body, pass from stage to stage, from birth to death and from death to birth, just as a clot of clay passes into the stage of jug and from that into the stage of grains Entirely different from this non conscient prakrti is the atman, an essentially spiritual and conscient principle whose essential attribute is knowledge. From time immemorial it has been implicated in samsara, seemingly dying and being born with the body to which it adheres Fundamentally, however, it is immortal and not subject to the body's vicissitudes. It only seems to be mortal, through a basic misconception which is the root of all evil, the misconception 48 that the atman is identical with the body in which it resides Once this misconception has been formed and struck root, the ātman is bound to the body Though its proper nature does not really change but remains essentially aloof from the body, the ātman is involved in matter. It acquires a mock nature, that of the empirical ego particularized by its body. Once the proper nature of the atman is no more recognized the embodied being regards the pleasures which it can derive from the body as the only pleasures within its reach. The embodied being or individual person acts upon his basic misconception in order to materialize his ambitions. But one action involves the next one, and his activity becomes a habit 49 and the habit becomes literally a second nature All actions are necessarily followed by results, and these results of past acts which a person has performed by his own free will 50 determine his future, henceforth he is trapped in the net of samsara

So all teaching of the ways by which a person may return to the recognition of his proper nature must start with explaining this nature. Pure knowledge of what the atman essentially 18, 18, however, not sufficient to break the bonds that tie the atman to its body. This know-

⁴⁸ On the important notion of abhimana in Visistadvaita see Varadachari's interesting paper on that subject (Appendix III to his R's Theory of Knowledge) on the Samkhyan aspect of the same problem see Radhakrishnan Ind Phil II, pp 303 ff

vasana so see eg GBh 1813 ff and Kumarappa's remarks p 271 ff

ledge must be lived, must be applied to every action and thought of the man in samsara. So the samkline buddhih, or the knowledge of the proper nature of the atman as an entity distinct from the body, is followed by buddhiyoga, the practical application of this knowledge to every day life.

If it is activity for the sake of results that keeps the ātman in bondage to prakrti, then the first step to the release of the ātman must be the relinquishment of the results of the acts, of all results even the rewards which man may enjoy in heaven after a virtuous life. All acts should be performed to achieve one end, the supreme end of man, Release. This release is the sole purpose of the sastras in all their various injunctions. Only by karmayoga the assiduous practice of disinterested activity in which the knowledge of the atman is integrated, one may eventually reach that exalted stage at which the alman can be perceived, for karmayoga in the above sense leads to Jūananistha and Jūananisthā leads to the contemplation of the atman or the atman's self experience.

Now what is this juananistha? The constant consciousness of the knowledge of the atman, which alone occupies the entire mind of the aspirant and which alone is the ground of his activity. The exact relation between karmayoga and jñanayoga is not altogether clear From 2,54 58, where four degrees of jūananistha (= jūanayoga) are enumerated, it would appear that jūananistha is a discipline of concentration mind has to be focused on the atman and the senses withdrawn from their objects, so that there seems no room for 'action', but in 72 it is explicitly stated that the sthitaprajña or jñananistha- is characterized by his firm devotion to disinterested activity or karmayoga. The problem is further discussed in 3,1 ff, but whereas according to 2,53 karmayoga leads to mannistha and the latter to the contemplation of the atman, it is now said that jñānamstha presupposes karmayoga, and further on, in 34, that for several reasons karmayoga is preferable to jñanayoga, even for one entitled to manayoga, nay, that manayoga is physically impossible (48) and that only a released atman can refrain from acting (4,17 f) So in 3 35 sreyān svadharmo vigunah paradharmat svanusthifat, see is made to refer to any person conjoined with praketi and para- to a released atman 51 In several passages (3 34, 37) jñanayoga is strongly advised against. Then the accent is shifted, it is said that karmayoga itself includes knowledge (418 ff), that knowledge is the most important aspect of karmayoga (4,33-34) The same problem of the exact relation between both nisthas is again tackled in 5,1 ff here

at but of GBh. 147

karmayoga and jñānayoga are equally autonomous means of attaining beatitude, i.e. the beatitude of the ātman's self-experience, and they are optional; immediately thereafter jñānayoga is declared impossible without karmayoga. Finally, the yoga described in 5,27-28 which is the last step to the atman's self-experience, is called the head, or the crown, of karmayoga.

How to account for these apparently conflicting statements? No doubt it is principally the ambiguity of the Gita itself which returns here: the poet of the Gita did not venture to set aside the timehonoured 'path of knowledge' of the upanisads, however partial he were to the 'path of disinterested action'. 52 It seems to me that Ramanuja attempted to reconcile both methods of the Gītā, and starting from the emphatically preferable karmayoga tried to reinterpret jñānayoga. By introducing an element of jñāna into karmayoga itself, both disciplines could be stated in the terms of a continuity: jñānayoga is now no longer a separate way - as such it is repeatedly condemned - but an advanced stage of karmayoga. From Rāmānuja's point of view karmayoga has two 'aspects', knowledge and action. That knowledge is originally the mediate, more or less 'abstract' knowledge of the ātman, corresponding with the sāmkhye buddhih of the Gita. This knowledge is acted upon: interested action becomes disinterested action. Gradually (the process may require several lives 53) knowledge and action interact more directly; knowledge, becoming more and more integrated in action, is concretized, action is spiritualized and interiorized, until at last both culminate in Yoga where the atman is recognized, karmayoga terminates in jñānayoga and the mediate, abstract knowledge is completely concretized in immediate intuitive perception (sākṣātkāra-).54

So both karmayoga and jñānayoga culminate in Yoga or the Contemplation of the ātman, the immediate cognition, or rather recognition, of the atman in oneself and all others. There are four degrees or stages, 1. the recognition of similar ātmans in all beings, which all have the

⁵² I may refer to Edgerton, Gitā II, pp. 62 ff.

essentially the same view in YID. 7, p. 62 karmayogo nāmopadešāj jīvaparayāthātmyajñānaśaktyanusārena phalasangarahitakarmayišesah / . . ayam tu jivagatakalmaşāpanayanadvārā jūānayogam utpādya taddvārā sākṣād vā (or, rather, v.l. sākṣātkāra-) bhaktyutpādako bhavati / jñānayogo nāma karmayogān nirmalāntahkaranasyešvarašesatvena prakṛtiviyuktasvātmacintāvišesah. For a modern interpretation of karma- and jūānayoga, tacitly along R.'s lines, see Srinivasachari, VA. ch. XIII and XIV (pp. 320 ff.) and Gitā, ch. IV and V (pp. 50 ff.).

same form, knowledge, which is their essential quality, 2. the recognition of similarity of God and atman, 3, the persistence of recognition not only in Yoga but in everyday life, and 4., the highest stage, the acting upon this recognition by never more distinguishing between one's own ātman and the ātmans of others.55

But this lofty end, which as such already means salvation,56 is not the end of man's possibilities; on the contrary, it is only a beginning, a necessary beginning of the attainment of the Supreme End, God himself, 6.47 marks the transition from the first to the second satka and announces the subject-matter of Lectures 7-12: bhakti as the sole means of attaining God.

What is bhakti? It is man's participating of God, at once 'intellectual' and devotional.⁵⁷ It is the constant rememorization of the ātman's total subservience to God, inspired and animated by a perfect love of worship in which the knowledge of God as the possessor of all perfections, as the merciful saviour and as the sole cause of the universe completely terminates. It culminates in a mystic ecstasy of love so ardent that the aspirant cannot live for a moment separated from God: all his happiness depends on his contact with God; his most humble act is an expression of his all-pervading love for God.

Rāmānuja's views on bhakti, as they appear in the Gītābāṣya, are essentially those of the Śrībhāsya; 58 but in his commentary on the Gītā he loves to dwell upon the devotional and emotional aspects of the 'continuous representation of God'. He sums these aspects up in his commentary ad 9,34 "in which God declares what bhakti essentially is": "Focus your mind constantly, uninterrupted like a flow of oil, 50 on Me, the Paramount Sovereign, antagonistic to all evil and solely comprising all that is good, on Me, the Omniscient, whose every will is truth, the sole cause of the universe, the Supreme Brahman, the Supreme Person,

Srinivasachari, Gitä, ch. V, pp. 76 ff.
 There is no doubt that R. considered that ātmāvalokana means release of the soul (following Yamuna, AS. p. 1 manmatam hi sarvasamayeşv ālmajnānam nihiregasahetur iti), but the relation between release in kaivalya and in bhakti is not clear; cf. Srinivasachari, Gitä, pp. 90 f.

57 for a systematic description of bhakti in R. I refer to Lacombe, ASV.

pp. 363 ff.; on bhakti generally see Gonda, Bhakti; R. does not appear to make the later distinction between bhajana- and bhakti-, see Gonda, o.c. p. 647; bhajana is used once (9,30) to explain bhakti.

is used once (9,40) to expiain tonacti.

\$\frac{8}{2}\$ compare e.g. GBh. 18,65 tedanof\(\frac{2}{2}\)sanddi\(\frac{1}{2}\)sanddi\(\frac same expression CBh. 1,1,1 p. 8 (Th. p. 13).

whose compassionate eye is long and immaculate like a lotus-leaf, whose appearance is like a transparant blue haze, whose glow is as brilliant as that of a thousand rising suns, on Me the indestructible ocean of beauty, on Me whose four arms are as bulky as bellies, whose garment is of an extraordinarily radiant yellow hue, who am adorned with immaculate diadems, makara-formed ear-rings, strings of pearls, golden bracelets and arm-rings etc, on Me the shoreless ocean of mercy, goodness, radiance, leniency and love, Me the Refuge of all inconceivably differenced worlds, Me the Master of all Be my bhakta while focusing your mind on Me be you filled with overwhelming love for me, and when you have cognized Me as the immeasurably and incomparably adorable One, devote yourself to worshipping Me 60 Glorify me do not stop at living merely a life of complete subservience to Me, a life which you would consider eminently desirable because you cognize Me as the immeasurably and incomparably adorable One, but decide to humiliate yourself as deeply as possible before Me who am your innermost atman Seek your support in Me because without Me your atman will be unsupported And, having by doing so prepared your mind 61 in immeasurable and overwhelming love to the attainment of Me, you shall attain Me to that end constantly performing the profane acts, required to sustain your body, as well as the periodical and occasional Vedic acts, while remembering that it is I who have brought about your sole delight in being subservient to Me and therefore performing all these acts to win My love, you should lovingly devote yourself to glorifications, sacrifices, worship etc for the glory of Me, and while realizing that I rule the world whose sole joy and essence it is to be subordinate to Me and meditating upon the multi tudes of My perfectly adorable perfections, you should practise this worship as described above and thereby attain Me"

So, in bhaktiyoga the acts are not less important than they were in atmayoga they now play their part in man's progress to God, they are indirectly means of attaining God Man's active life becomes thus dedicated to the One from whom he derives his acting power This dedication may work out in different ways, depending as always on man's intention he may dedicate himself to gain aisvarya-, to attain kawalya-, or to reach God himself The aspirant to aisvarya — prosper-

^{60 =} G madyāji which ace to R implies aupacārika acts (V waving lights before the idol etc.), sāmsparšika acts (V adorning the idol with garlands, incense etc) and ābhyavahārika acts (prostration etc)

ei ātmānam G = R. manah

ity in the world in the widest sense — will naturally remain in saṃsāra, for he forsakes release. The aspirant to kaivalya 62 — total isolation in the immediate visualization of the ātman in its pure form distinct from prakṛti — may be compared to the jūānayogin of the first saṭka; with this difference that the kaivalyārhin more or less takes advantage of God to realize his aspiration. The last one, the aspirant to God, or the jūānin — here again the aspect of knowledge in bhakti — is the complete bhakta, who does not seek God for the sake of worldly prosperity or solitary self-experience, but because God himself is his only aim, because he cannot be without God. His exclusive love for God is returned; lovingly God extends his Grace to his striving devotee; and while proceeding further and further along the way of love, his loving representation of God becomes ever more intensified and ultimately his memory terminates in a total visualization of God in his divine majesty: he has attained God

This progress of the aspirant to God by the way of karma-, jñāna-, and bhaktiyoga, which are not separate roads but successive stages of the same way culminating in the attainment of God, is for Rāmānuja the fundamental teaching of the Gītā. But we miss in this brief exposition of Rāmānuja's views that mystic doctrine which has grown so important in later Viśistādvaita, which has indeed divided the Vaisnavas into two schools which exist today, 65 the doctrine of prapatti. The doctrine of prapatti as a second, and indeed a preferable, way to the attainment of God beside bhakti is completely absent in Rāmānuja's Gītābhāsya But in view of the later development of prapatti, in view too of the rôle that prapatti played in the religion of the Ālvārs, it seems

by the time of Crinivāsa (see YID. 8, p. 76) when bhakti had become so all-important that everything else seemed negligible, kaivalya (originally a Sāṃkhyan term meaning "the ātman's isolation from the bonds of prakṛti") was reinterpreted in the sense of "isolation from God" and acquired a pejorative connotation which, as Lacombe (ASV. p. 372, n. 1) justly remarks, is not apparent in R.

The exact relation between the jñānayogin and the kaivalyārthin is not clear It seems one of the instances of original Sāṃkhyan notions which are not wholly integrated in R° system

⁶¹ on the rôle of God's grace I may refer to Kumarappa's remarks, p. 294 ff. the Southern School (Ten-galai) which avows the so-called mārjāranyāya (entaing the doctrine that God alone is active and carries the self-surrendering devotee to his goal like a cat carries a kutten), and the Northern School (Vadagalai) which holds the markata view (the devotee collaborates with God like a coung monker clings to the back of its mother); cf. A Govindacarya, Arthapañ-caka translated, JRAS 1910. II. no 555 ff

indicated to sketch here Rāmānuja's attitude with respect to prapatti in the Gita

The general meaning in which Rāmānuja takes pra-Vpad 1s "to take refuge in". 6 that may be in God in His human form by following his command (4,11), in God by considering Him to be the supreme end of all worship (7,19) - so it can also be applied to man's taking refuge in divinities as the principal objects of worship (7,20) - Besides it is called an activity which leads to bhakti (7,15), or it is paraphrased by "that 'approach' to Me which presupposes the true knowledge of the ātman that its sole essence is to be a sesa of God, while realizing that it is Vāsudeva who is man's supreme goal and who is all aspirations whatever that may make man reach that goal" (7,19), as such it is the only means for the aisvaryarthin, kaivalyarthin and jñanin to achieve their respective ends (14,27). by taking refuge in God one may attain the immediate presentation of the atman (15,4-5) GBh ad 14,26-27 is interesting; R explains these stanzas in this sense that, only if God is served (patd homage \sqrt{sev-}) through bhaktiyoga, a man will be able to conquer the gunas and qualify himself to become brahman-, 1e to attain the ātman in its pure form the immortal and imperishable ātman, for (st 27) God is the foundation alike of that immortal and imperishable as ātman (the end of the kaivalyārthin), of the eternal dharma, 1e the eternal aisvarya (the end of the aisvaryarthin), and the perfect happiness, ie of the attainment of God (the end of the jūānin) R proceeds because in 7,14 it has been established that prapatti to God is the only way to conquer the gunas, and to attain aisvarya, kaivalya and God, it follows that the conquest of the gunas and the attainment of the ātman 68 (which presupposes the former) can only be possible through that exclusive prapatti to God - From this passage we must conclude that, here at least, R considered prapatti equivalent with hhaktı

Finally I may point to 1862 where saranam gaccha (∞ pra-√pad) is explained by anutartasva "follow, obey", this obersance being the reason that the maja is stopped (etanināyānivrttihetum), with which

synonymous with (sam)a \sri (4,11, 7,20), saranam (upa) \squam

วา อ) ri blaga adupāsanāpādnim bhagaratprapatum, upāsanā is synonymous with (15,45)

R makes amriasia, iaiasia refer to the brahma of st 26 (brahmabhūjāja) bhaktı

 $^{^{00}=}R$ brahman, which in view of brahma in st 26 must be explained as which is there equated with sathacasthilam atmanan ātman

I may compare 7,14 mam eva ze prapadyante māyām etām taranti te

Therefore, the only conclusion, we are entitled to draw from the above passages is this prapatti is "taking refuge in God as the One who underlies everything, while cherishing the conviction that God is everything because he is the inner Ruler of all, so that, if one desires to achieve a certain end, be it prosperity, self-experience or the attainment of God himself, one has to follow Him, obey his commands and act according to his teaching" It is the nearest approach of the aisvaryārthin and the kaivalyārthin to bhakti, it is a first step in bhakti, for it is synonymous with the 'paying homage to God through bhaktiyoga' (14,27), it is presupposed by the attainment of ātmāvalokana (ib) and it is an activity which is presupposed by bhakti (bhagavadupāsanāpādinīm bhagaratprapattim (7,15)) Though it is intimated that prapatti provokes God's grace (7,18), there is no evidence that Rāmānuja believed that prapatti alone sufficed, that God would do the rest and that man's personal efforts in striving after prosperity, aspiring to ātmāvalokana and attaining God are forestalled by an act of grace Nowhere the word has the later sense of "complete self-surrender of the devotee to God who, moved by the devotee's utter desolation, lifts him to beatstude by a mere act of grace"

Kumarappa, though holding essentially the same view, 70 reads more ambiguity in Rāmānuja's pronouncements on the subject of prapatti He says 11 that Ramanuja's teaching in the GBh differs from that in the SBh in this important respect that in the former text the function of God's grace in man's work for salvation is more stressed to the detriment of the value of man's personal efforts, and that "the religion to which Rāmānuja belonged seems to lead him to assert that the Deity requires nothing from the soul beyond self-surrender (= prapatti)". First he notes the contradiction between sBh 1,3,32 (Th. p 338) where it is said that a sudra cannot be capable of knowledge of Brahman, this knowledge requiring the study of the Veda to which a sudra is not entitled, and GBh 9,29-34 where it is said that everyone is equal before God and that even a sudra by taking refuge to God (vyapairitya) may attain the supreme end But this does not necessarily imply that a sudra may attain God as a sudra by virtuously following God's commandments he may be reborn in a station in which he is better equipped to aspire to his end Again in 15.4 Kumarappa reads

To "It must be said that the general trend of Rāmānuja's teāching is certainly in favour of the Northern School" (p 311)
11 pp 305 ff.

that "all that is necessary for salvation is to flee to the Deity for Refuge and he will awake in the soul such tendencies as will lead it to release" But here, I think, Kumarappa attaches too much importance to a spurious reading: R. reads in G. 15,4 prapadyed (vulgate prapadye) in the first place; in the second place only he cites a variant reading which most probably is corrupted: prapadyeyatah 12 pravrttir (= prapadya iyatah pravrttir), while a variant reads prapadya yatah: it is this variant reading which Rāmānuja comments With the improbable iyatah the sense would be "solely by making prapatti to God the ancient pravrtti (= activity in sublating ignorance etc.) is possible"; whereas the reading prapadya, yatah would mean "...after having taken refuge in God, a man will, departing from that, be active in sublating ignorance etc."; this latter sense is in keeping with R.'s explanation of the reading prapadyed etc. which he apparently prefers.⁷³ Furthermore, Kumarappa cites R.'s commentary on the famous Caramaśloka 18,66. Here again, however, he only quotes half of it, the latter half, R.'s first explanation is perfectly in keeping with his general views: "while performing all dharmas, viz. karma-, jfiāna- and bhaktiyoga, as propitiations of God and therefore renouncing result, interest and agency, one should take refuge in Me; realizing that I alone am the agent 74 as well as the means by which you may attain me. If you do so, I will release you from all sins incompatible with your attainment of Me: do not worry." In his second interpretation he assumes that this stanza is meant to dispel Arjuna's fear that he may not be capable of bhaktiyoga, because of all his sins which would take such a long time to purify: "Dispense with all purificatory rites but take directly refuge in Me in order to succeed in bhaktiyoga; I will deliver you from all sins which prevent you to practise bhakti: do not worry." But to my mind even the latter explanation does not mean that taking refuge in God is quite enough: even if God by an act of grace has deigned to

this is not to be taken in the sense that God is the sole agent but that he

grants his permission (anumati-); cf. GBh. 18,14-15 and CBh. 2,3,41.

a rearrangement of the vulgate reading prapadye value. a rearrangement of the vulgate reading proposes young.

in total there are three readings: 1 R.'s first: proposed i yoloh which R. explains in detail; 2. the ('sectarian') reading: prapad systate of which no trace can be found in R's commentary, but which is given in Apte's text; 3. the 'variant' prapadya I satah, which R. explains in the second place. The only reading which Kumarappa discusses is the second and most suspect one. Further reading which Kumarappa discusses is the second and most suspect one. Furner corroboration for his view K. finds in 15,5 where he is lead astray by Gov.'s misleading translation "through my sole agency" where the text has mathrasadad "by my collaborating grace"; besides he refers to the Caranagatigadya ascribed to R., whose authorship is, however, not really established.

wash the incompatible sins away, Arjuna has still to practise bhakti Here too we may consider the first interpretation as the one preferred by Rāmanuja 15

On the whole I may say that there is not sufficient evidence to conclude that Ramanuja's attitude with regard to man's personal efforts in securing his lofty end is different from that displayed in the sribhasya. Whenever God's grace is mentioned the personal efforts of the aspirant are stressed too. There is certainly no trace of that importance given to prapatti by later Visistadvaitins. God's grace may crown the aspirant's efforts, but he first has to deserve it. Only when a man has devoted his life to exclusive bhakti towards God. He will elect him to his beatitude.

v

We have said before that in his Gitabhasya Ramanuja shows himself a priest rather than a critical and polemic thinker. Whatever this distinction is worth it may be justified in so far as it throws light on certain aspects of Ramanuja's personality which perhaps inevitably, often remain obscure in studies dealing with this typically Indian genius Most works trent of 'Ramanuja the Philosopher', with his theory of knowledge, his philosophical system, his differences with Sankara, etc., and Western scholars especially are apt to isolate him from his traditional background in order to shed light, almost exclusively, on those of his writings which appeal most to an abstract mind accustomed to evaluate philosophies rather than philosophers Whereas Rāmanuja's commentary on Vedantasutra 111 has been translated time and again, hardly any attention has been paid to his other writings, the study of the genesis of his ideas even of his relation to his immediate predecessors, esp Yamuna has positively been neglected But I would maintain that not only a just evaluation but also a right comprehension of Ramanuja's contribution to Indian and Vedantic thought cannot be possible unless we try to see him against his entire background and as part of a long tradition

The Gitābhasya sheds some light on other aspects of his religious activities we meet an Indian guru who explains a reverenced text to

¹³ It is curious enough that R. proposes two explanations for stanzas which in later times have grown so important there might be two reasons 1 that R though not subscribing entirely to the views of his contemporaries did not want to exclude these possible translations from his bhâşşa 2 that both explanations are added by a later hind On the possibility that R considered the doctrine of rapatit too secret to divulge see Lacombe ASV p 370 n 5

his disciples in the ancient and traditional manner, elucidating stanza after stanza, pausing at every word, enlarging upon every vital point and clarifying every difficulty. The great difference between his two commentaries on the Sūtras and the Gita is that properly speaking only the latter is a commentary at all. Unlike the Sutras whose concise and obscure style leaves all commentators ample scope for expounding the most divergent views, the Gita is a rather long poem, coherent in itself written in a simple style which seldom admits of more than one interpretation Still, when we study the various commentaries on the Gita we are struck not only by the divergence of one Indian commentary from the other, but also, and particularly so, by the divergence of all Indian commentaries together from the interpretations of modern scholarship. It may be worth while to occupy ourselves with this divergence.

The differences between traditional Indian and modern interpretat ion are determined by a basically different outlook. The modern scholar studies the texts from the historical point of view, the Indian sub specie aeternitatis For the former the texts are determined by their place in history, their date, their relations to other texts of the same age, their connections with older and younger texts etc, whereas for the latter they are determined by their eternity, their eternity with respect to the past in which they have existed side by side with essentially consonant with, forming a whole with, all other sacred texts, and their eternity with respect to the present, the eternal authority which they have carried through all ages until now His historical outlook leads the former to study the texts analytically so that by subtly weighing conformity and difference he may ultimately arrive at a well balanced interpretation of every historically unique text, whereas the latter arrives, or, rather, has already arrived beforehand, at his interpretation by the basic assumption of the characteristic conformity of every text to other similar texts Seldom, and then only hesitantly, a modern scholar will utilize younger texts to interpret older ones, while for the Indian commentator all texts are the one expression of the everlasting present truth, so that every text can be interpreted in the terms of every other text

The basic assumption is that of the aikārthya- of all sacred texts, a conception which can be traced back to the Karmamimamsā where it was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was intimately connected with the principle of syntactical unity or was interested with the principle of syntactical unity or was interested with the principle of syntactical unity or was interested with the principle of syntactical unity or was interested with the principle of syntactical unity or was interested with the principle of syntactical unity or was interested with the principle of syntactical unity or was interested with the principle of syntactical unity or was interested with the principle of syntactical unity or was interested with the principle of syntactical unity or was interested with the principle of syntactical unity or was interested with the principle of syntactical unity or was interested with the principle of syntactical

Mimāṃsāsūtra 2,1,46 arthatkattud ekam takyam, sakānkṣam ced vibhāge sydt "so long as a single purpose is served by a number of words which, on

meaning that when a number of words serve the same purpose only if read in connection these words form a syntactical unity, was soon extended 77 it was said that those groups of words which convey a single idea should be regarded as one sentence, or, in other words, when there is singleness of meaning there must of necessity be consistency in the words, or sentences, or texts, which convey this meaning In the Vedantamimamsa this principle became most important, whereas the Karmamimamsa, dealing with a great variety of rites could solve all contradictions between certain injunctions by assuming that the different enjoined rites were optional, the Vedantamimamsa, dealing with texts which set forth the knowledge of Brahman could not solve similar contradictions by assuming that there were optional kinds of knowledge, but was by the very unity of knowledge itself forced to maintain the absolute consistency of all texts treating of knowledge 78

For Rāmānuja, unlike Sankara all scriptures are equally authoritative 79 The artha- (at once "meaning, "idea", "purpose", and "end") of their injunctions being fundamentally the same, so it is the task of the commentator to find a method of interpretation by which all conflicting statements can be reconciled and given their proper place in a consistent explanatory system 81

We have seen that the task of smrt1 is to elucidate srut1, this, of course, is only possible if smrti agrees with sruti 82 The Gitabhasya provides several interesting instances of the manner in which this elucidation and this agreement was conceived and applied GBh 3,4 R states his view that inanayoga is ancillary to bhaktiyoga which alone leads to knowledge of God, he corroborates this view by referring to Prajapati's speech, ChUp 8,7-12, where, according to R, the knowledge of the individual atman is taught this knowledge corresponds with that of jfianayoga The ChUp passage 87-12 is connected with ChUp 8,1-3, which, again according to R, deals with the kno leage of God and

being separated are found to be wanting and incapable of effecting the said purpose they form one 'Syntactical Unit - one complete Yajush Mantra", Jha p 189

tr cf Jha p 190 f 18 cf Thibaut Vedanta Sutras (SBE, XXXIV) p xi

⁷⁹ Srinivaschari Finite Self p 3 f

a ci GBh 2,41 ckasma, mokşaphalaya hi mumukşoh sarvanı karınanı t idhtyonte l atah sästrärthasvaikatvat sarvakarma-nşaya buddhir ekana l etc.

et (CBh. 1.11 (Th. p. 138 ff), Ved p. 16 ff cf (CBh. 1.1, p. 72 (Th. p. 91), quoted supra n. 16, and CBh. 2.1.1 (Th. p 411) upabymhanam ca śrutspratsponnarthatsfadikaranam tac ca viruddharthataya smṛtyā na šakyate kartum

sets forth in 8,3,4 what is the fruit of knowledge of God, viz the atman's entering into the supreme light. So there is perfect agreement between the Gita progress jñānayoga → knowledge of God in bhaktiyoga → union with God, and ChUp 8,7-12 → 8,1-3 → 8,3,4 So R at once elucidates these sruti passages by interpreting them according to his views on the Gita and corroborates these views by adducing evidence from the sruti Another instance is GBh 8,3 where karman which has the sense of "creative act" is explained by "procreative act" with reference to the pañcagnividya of ChUp 5,4-10, where in 58 the sacrifice (= karman-, hence the connection) is described in the terms of cohabitation The reason of this connection becomes clear in GBh 8,23 ff where the two roads of light and darkness are spoken of this passage is connected with ChUp 5 10 and the conspicuous resemblance of the Gita with this sruti justify the connection But the sruti passage is interpreted in a curious way which we can only understand when we compare Gita there three groups of aspirants are discussed, the aisvaryarthins, the kaıvalyārthıns and the jūanıns, of whom only the first will return to samsara The parallelism of the two roads in Gita and ChUp 5,10 leads R to identify these three groups of aspirants with the persons mentioned in 5,10 tad ya ittham viduh correspond to the kaivalyārthins "who know this", viz the preceding paragraphs on karman, ye ceme 'ranye sraddhā tapa ity upāsate correspond to the jūānins or perfect bhaktas (upāsana- being synonymous with bhakti, hence the identification) and ya ime grama istapūrte dattam ity upāsate (5,103) to the ausvaryarthins, of whom it is said that they will return to samsāra, whereas the others will not return 83 So the sruti agrees perfectly with the Gita But how can R identify the kawalyarthuns with tad 30 illham viduh? Remember the kaivalyarthin is the one who strives after, and acquires eventually, true knowledge of the atman as distinct from prakrti Now, R contends, the srutis pañcamyam ahutav apah puruşavacaso bhavants 84 (ChUp 5,9,1) and 5,10,7 declare that karman causes a man to be born in a body which consists of elements (water etc.) and

he which, to accord with R., should be interpreted by karman the elements

(water etc.) are coupled with the purusa (atman).

³³ which R says appears from ChUp 510.2 so ends (so the two categories spoken of in 5101) brahma gamayali it is interesting to note that R. comples this passage with ChUp 4156 which corresponds to a great extent, however, in GBh 30 (discussed above) R maintained that this passage of the dohorardya or attainment of God corresponds with the ultimate attainment of God through bhakt as set forth in the G, but only the perfect bhakta or jhanin attains God on the kaivalyarthin so that there seems to be a contradiction.

that the atman is but enveloped by these elements so here the stuting lexpounds the difference between atman and body, it is this knowledge of the knivalyarthin which is resumed in tad in tad ya utham viduh so We may safely say that here the Gita has done more to corroborate the stuting than contrariwise. An instance of a different kind where a Gita passage is projected against the background of the stuting GBh 3,30-31, here R interprets may sartani karmani sannyasya in the sense that God is the atman of all beings and, as their antaryamin, actuates them all and so is to be regarded as the ultimate agent of their acts. This sense, he proceeds, is the essential doctrine of the upanisads, so the importance of 331 f stands suddenly out in rehef

The principle of aikārthya- bears not only on different texts, but also on each text itself. We have seen how this principle was applied to the ChUp where seemingly unconnected fragments were made to form a consistent whole The Gitabhasya in its entirety is another example we have seen that the whole Gita is so interpreted that it forms a consistent whole The different parts which make up this whole are, too, explained as consistently as possible A curious case is to be found in 3,36 ff where the influence of kama- is discussed, the author of the Gitā concludes his discussion in 41 by showing the way in which $k\bar{a}ma$ may be conquered, which is modified in 43 after a parenthetical Sānikhyan survey of the levels of psychical functions senses, manas, buddhi, beyond which is sale by which obviously the atman is meant, as is proved by 43 buddheh param atmanam R disregards the parenthesis of 42, and takes the senses in 42 to mean what they meant in 41: obstacles to be conquered, so that manas and buddhi are consistently taken to mean further obstacles, and sah the last one, which therefore is made to stand for kāma-, but this forces him in 43 to equate ātmānam with manah and ātmanā with buddhyā

The tendency to interpret the text as consistently as possible easily entails over-interpretation, by which I mean the over-emphasizing of an often far-fetched sense found in certain passages and stanzas, which thereby are given over-due significance and made to determine the general trend of interpretation For instance, the over-interpretation of G 2,12 which is treated of as a proof of the reality of the plurality and individuality of the atmans and of the reality of the difference between God and atman, or 2,17-18 where in a most ingenious way

but tad refers also to the karman described in 5.4-9, esp 9, which, we may remember, was identified with the karman of G 8,3 which was particularly to be known (and forsaken) by the kaivalyārthin.

nothing less than complete syllogisms are construed which prove the mortality of the body and immortality of the atman A clear example provides 4,18-19 in st 16 akarman- was given the sense of "knowledge" (akarman->jñānayoga-> jñana-) and karman-that of 'karmayoga" In st 19 R reads a proof for his interpretation that karmayoga implies knowledge this is deduced from tam ahuh panditam budhah "sages who know the truth call a man who practises karmayoga a knowing man" Which proves that karmayoga implies knowledge In the same trend 20 cd karmany abhipiavrtto pi naiva kinicit karoti sah is interpreted and na karoti given the sense of "he practises akarman- or knowledge' The conception that karmay oga itself implies knowledge of the atman plays a leading part in the interpretation of the first satka 86 Another example 15 that of the three groups of aspirants, aisvaryārthins, kaivalyarthins and jūanins which are first met with in 7, 16 where arta- and artharthin- are both explained as aisvary arthins differing only in degree (gunabhedamatram) jijnasu as the kaivalyarthin who wishes to acquire knowledge of the atman as distinct from prakrtı, and jūānin- as the complete bhakta These three groups play an important rôle they return in 7,28-29 and throughout 8, where esp the passage stt 8-15 is made to refer to them and interpreted in a way contrary to the obvious sense of these stanzas

Instances of similar forced explanations which overstress a not impossible, and often enough improbable sense of a passage or stanza in order to arrive at a consistent connotation or to make them agree with the general trond of interpretation are very numerous. In many cases they entail similar explanations of single words which are taken in an unusual sense so that they can be fitted into the sense of the whole passage. We have seen that by disregarding the parenthesis of 3,42 and by making sali refer to kāma- R was forced to explain almanam in 43 by manah, and that in 4,16 ff akarman- was taken in the sense of "knowledge" to account for certain ambiguities in the Gita text.

Generally speaking the same tendencies of which we have already spoken influence the sense in which a word is taken, viz the tendency spoken influence the sense in which a word is taken, viz the tendency staken to account of the historical meaning of a certain word the sense most common to it in a certain period and a certain text and the tendency to make its sense entirely dependent on the given context, the tendency to make its sense entirely dependent on the given context, the general sense of which has been established beforehand. It happens the general sense of which has been established beforehand it happens that in the same context the same word is explained in a different way

⁸⁶ see Ch IV

Eg, in GBh 4,18-24 the element of knowledge implied in karmayoga is under discussion. To retain a consistent sense st 24 is interpreted in the same terms. The commentary is preceded by a short introduction in the preceding stanzas it has been said that karmayoga implies knowledge of the atman, now it is said that it implies knowledge as it implies the realization that all action (sc ritual) is ensouled by the Supreme Person who is the Supreme Brahman thus we have to interpret brahman- in 24 as parabrahman or God as the antaryamin, but 24 cd brahmawa tena gantar yam brahmakarmasamādhinā is explained "a person who has formed the opinion that all acts consist of br in so far as they are ensouled by br is able to reach (not the Supreme Brahman or God the prerogative of the bhakta, but) the atman', 87 so that in c brahman = the atman, and in d brahman = the Supreme Brahman The instances of various explanations of brahman- are interesting here more than in other cases, its full repertory of meanings is used with some philosophical justification

The term brahman 88 is used to connote God, atman and prakrti In its primary sense 89 brahman denotes God, the Supreme Brahman, the Absolute One, the inner Ruler of the world the material cause of the world and as such its effect, 90 it is the underlying divine Reality (424-25), the sole End to be reached (824) Secondarily it connotes the atman but then the atman in its pure form released from its bondage to prakrti as an entity of its own kind (atmayastu), essentially the same in all beings which has unlimited knowledge as its form and the immediate contemplation of which is a source of perfect, everlasting happiness (272, 56,19 20 21, 24-26, 6,27-28, 7,29, 8,1,3, 13,30, 14 26 27 18 50,53 54) At the root of this connotation is the meaning of brahman- in Samkhyan philosophy, 91 but its Samkhyan meaning is given a new and religious significance by the fact that the ātman is a prakara of God as the Supreme Brahman, brahman- does not assume a completely different sense by connoting the atman but the connotation brahman- gives the atman itself a new significance, that of being utterly dependent on and internally ruled by the Supreme

⁸⁷ I have abbreviated this passage

ss on R s etymology of brahman CBh 112 (Th p 158) anat adhikatifayabrhad Irmhanan ca brahma | brhater dhatos tadarthat at br is immeasurably and incomparably large and all supporting for these are the meanings of \sqrt{brh} , see Gonda, Brahman p 20 and passim

⁸⁹ cf eg CBh 2,34 and passim compare also Gonda oc, p 12 90 GBh 13,2.

⁶¹ Gonda o.c. p 12 where further references

Brahman which is God 92 Another secondary 93 meaning of brahman- is prakrti (3,15; 5,10; 6,44; 14,3-4), at the root of which is the Samkhya sense "immense complexity of elements which is always changing, one, uncaused, independent, eternal and all-pervading",94 but this sense is again modified and elevated by the fact that the prakrti is a prakara of the Supreme Brahman who pervades, directs and animates it In this sense brahman- connotes the subtle elements 95 Still, even in the intimate unity of Brahman with spiritual and non-spiritual substances as the upādāna- of material cause of the world, all three entities remain categorically different and distinct from one another 96

Yet we wonder whether all these implications of the usage of brahman- were always considered by R , in some cases definitely not Eg, brahman- in G 13,12 (which R reads anādi matparam brahma, to avoid param brahma) means nothing but "atman". R declares atmany api brahmasabdah praynyyate and refers to 14,26-27 and 18,54 where the word has the same sense, this same brahman- is identified with ksetrajñatattva- "the category of ksetrajña-" with reference to its etymology brhattvagunayogı ksetrajñatattvam "ks can be called br because it possesses the property of brhattva- 'exceeding greatness' " or

It can easily be understood that when the insight in the semantic development of a word is wanting, the etymology of that word becomes very important: the etymology may provide the commentator with an

cf also Lacombe, ASV p 287 ff I cannot however agree with his opinion that ekarasa- in sesataikarasa- GBh 7,19, said of ātman and prakrti) would mean that "elle (the atman) est de meme essence en tant qu'elle forme une partie intégrante de son (brahman's) corps", the expression is synonymous with sessialakarati- (Intr.) and -sesatakasvabhāva- (1854) the ātman's sole delight, or sole essence, is to be a sesa of God a subordinate principle pervaded by God as its inner Ruler, an aprthaksiddhot iseşana- of God Rasa- has both connotations "109" and "essence" So, paramapurusasesatatkarasata (GBh 7,19) cannot mean "the being 'de même essence que la Personne Supreme parce qu'elle (atman) est un élement subordonnee de son être'", but rather "the having as its sole joy-andessence the being a sesa of the Supreme Person"

p3 cf CEh 2,3,4 brahmasabdas tasmād etad brahma nāmarāpam annom ca

jayate (MundUp 1,1,9) its aira pradhane gamataya prayuktah year (MundUp 1,1,9) its aira pradhane gannaraya prosperator 94 Gonda, oc, p 12 where further references, cf CBh 1,4,14 (Th p 375) sonua, oc, p 12 where jurtner reterences, in some symbol services of a samkhyan adversary is introduced who interprets brahmum- as pradhana-

onutadisüksma- GBh 132
86 alah sinalasüksmatidatiprakaram brahmativa karyam karanam celi bra-

hmopadanan jagat, GBh 13.2, cf CBh 1,4,23 (Th p 398 ff)
of CBh 1,1,2 where the same etymology of brahman (quoted supra n 88) is given, but there it refers to the Supreme Brahman The complete passage in GBh runs byhaltvagunayogi sarirad arihantarabhilam svatah sariradibhih paricchedorahitam kşetrajfiatativam itz arthak "the kşetrajfia is bihat- because it cannot be encompassed by bodies etc, for it is ufferent from the body"

appropriate meaning which is not to be found elsewhere but still has the dignity of its ancient source. Instances of etymological interpretations are numerous. In 2,18 the (pseudo?-) etymology of deha <\deltaha in the sense of "combination, augmentation" (upacaya-) is used to prove that a body is a combination of elements and therefore perishable, muni- is explained throughout by ātmamananasīla- because of the pseudo etymology <\deltama-, **s* in 2,44 samādhi- is explained by manas because it is in the manas that the knowledge of the ātman is contemplated (samā-\dhi-), in 11,10 deva- is rendered by dyotamāna-and in 11,15 divya- by dipta-, no doubt because they derive from \dyudw-, in 11,24 Visnu- is rendered by vyāpin- (\dangle viś- or vis-), loka- in 15, 17 and 18, and 16,6 is explained by "that which is seen" from \delta loka-, etc

Under the same head I would bring the explanations which are derived from the literal and radical meaning of a word <code>prajāpāti-in</code> 3,10 is not Prajāpāti but literally "the lord of the creatures, God", <code>brālimana-in 246 = bralimasambandhin-(braliman-in the sense of "Veda") = vaidika- (cf brālimana-in 17,13 qualified for braliman-or the Veda the first three varnas) Only when we keep in mind the radical meaning of <code>Vyij-</code> "to join, combine, connect etc" we understand the many different meanings of <code>yoga-</code>, even when that word occurs in expressions like <code>yogaksema-(2,45 yoga-=aprāptasya pra-ptili, kseina-=praptasya prarraksanam, whereas 9,22 yoga-=matpra-ptilaksana-, kseina-=apimarāvritirāpa-) and <code>yogeśvara-(11,4 "possessor of yoga-= jīnanātkalyanagunayoga-", vid 18,75, but 18,78 'lord of the yogas = krisnasyoccāvararūpenāvasthitacetanasyācetanasya ca svabhavayogāh)</code></code></code>

Usually the many equations go unexplained, very frequently atmanse equated with manas, but only once, not before 6,47, we learn on what grounds there antaratiman is equated with manas, for bahyabhyantarasakalavriturisesäsrajabhūtam manah from which we gather that ātman = manas inasmuch as the manas is, like the ātman, an āfraja- Often these equations will find their ground in specialized usages which we do not know but which for a Sanskrit-speaking Indian needed no explanation Sometimes an equation which at first seems fantastic is on further consideration found acceptable, e.g. in 4,6 māyā is equated with jīnāna- on the strength of a Nighantu, and jīnāna- again equated with sunhālpa-, but when we consider the creative aspect of jīnāna- and the etymologies of māyā (\sqrt{ma}-"to fashion") and samkalpa-

⁹⁸ cf CBh 3,446 (Th. p 710), quoted in n 103

(sam-\lambda klp- "to fashion"), we find that these equations are not as arbitrary as they seem I am certain that when similar equations in Indian commentaries are studied systematically, many of them which would seem to be wildly fantastic will prove to be acceptable enough

Sometimes we see that R arrives at a correct interpretation of a passage via a series of queer equations, e.g. sāml hya- in 2,39 which is explained to derive from samkhyā which is equated with buddhi-(< samvkhyā- "to reflect") "the organ by which we reflect" > sāmkhyā- "that on which we reflect by means of the buddhi", i.e. in this connection "the category of ātman", so that sāmkhyē buddhih is "the buddhi directed to the atman in order to know it', in contradistinction to yoge buddhih" "the buddhi applied to practice', which explanations are true at least to the general sense of this passage

Other equations can be understood when we consider the dogmatical background of the author Adhering to the satkaryavada doctrine he is justified in equating a word denoting a cause with its effect A clear instance is 2,14 matrasparsa- "contact with the matras", these matras comprise 1 the tanmatras with which they are synonymous, ie the subtle elements of sound etc and 2 their bases or asrayas, the latter meaning is justified taninatrakaryatvad 'the bases (ie the bhutas ākāsa etc and consequently all substances composed of these elements) can be called mātras or tanmatras because they are effects of the tanmātras" Another instance is 13,34 where moksa denotes, not release but that by which release is brought about ie the required virtues, and 17,8 where sattva- is equated with antahkarana- and the latter equated with its product knowledge An instance of the same kind is 18,30 where prayrtti- and nigriti are taken in the sense of the dharmas which bring about both effects, here the tendency of consistency plays a role too on account of the parallelism of this paragraph R makes pracretts- and nurtts- correspond to dharma in set 31 and 32 and so arrives at his interpretation alike of pracriti- and nuriti- and of dharma-

There are a number of cases in which a certain word is unde stood to be used pradarsanartham and to denote implicitly all other concepts of the series to which it belongs, e.g. 4,13 mayd srstam implies mayd raksyate and maya upasamhriyate, in 74 manas-implies the senses of raksyate and maya upasamhriyate, in 74 manas-implies the senses of raksyate and mayas is the co-ordinating organ, in 11 19 virya- in ananta-which the manas is the co-ordinating organ, in 11 19 virya- in ananta-virya- implies all six kalyanagunas, one of which is virya- or dhairya-, etc.

In some cases the meaning of a word is derived from or justified

by a śruti; e.g. akṣara- in 11,18 tvam akṣaram paramam veditavyam denotes God: R. connects this with MundUp. 1,1,4 dve vidye veditavye, the second of which is the Supreme One or akṣara- (1,1,5); but in 11,37 akṣara- is rendered by jivātman which is akṣara- because it does not perish (na kṣarath), as is proved by KaṭhUp. 2,18 (R. reads G. 11,37 d: tvam akṣaram (= jīvātman) sadasat (= prakṛti) tat-param yat (the Supreme Person beyond cit and acit)).

Finally, R. often interprets a pregnant meaning in a seemingly indifferent word; e.g. in 11,9 Harili / darśayāmāsa Pārthāya paramam rūpam aiśvaram, a subtle meaning is detected in the use of the metronymic Pārtha: Arjuna is the son of Prthā, the sister of Kṛṣṇa's father, and this intimate relationship may be one of the reasons that God's grace is shown to Arjuna.

* + *

These remarks and notes, desultory though they are, may give us some idea of the way in which Rāmānuja arrived at his interpretations which so frequently differ from those given by modern scholarship. If anything, they show how ample a scope an Indian commentator was allowed for explaining a celebrated text in accordance with the views of the religious milieu he represented. The two principles which determine the interpretation, viz. the basic assumptions of the consistency and of the eternity of the sacred texts, run counter to the fundamental principles of modern scholarship and we have to reject them. But it should be remembered that both assumptions, which are closely related, have saved the texts from oblivion. A text was never located in a historic past and thereby saved from being fossilized in a too exclusive connection with that past. Its very eternity brought it directly into the present and made it a living force which could keep inspiring the Indian thinkers. Being fundamentally consistent with all other sacred texts, each text was more than itself alone; the lofty thoughts of all texts together could inter-act, complete one another, shed light on one another. We should remember that these texts were never for an Indian what they are for us: historically unique books, belonging to a remote and dead past, gravely to be consulted by scholars with a penchant for history and tradition, but that they were living memories: they were known by heart and consequently by fragments. Every age and every milieu spontaneously made its own selection: those revelations which at a given place and time were most vivid to the mind determined the views on and the interpretations of the complete texts. So, however eternal and eternally true they were, they were liable to change in aspect: ever new facets were discovered and though the new light shed on those facets inevitably obscured others, it renewed the illuminating power of a text which was made a many-sided crystal ready to reflect every light with a light of its own. So many fragments could be connected in so many ways, and spontaneously an illuminating combination jumped to the eye and a new interpretation was born. This interpretation was elaborated, more pieces were fitted in the new pattern, and finally it was given the finishing touch by a commentator who set himself to the task of completing the work of generations. Often he would have to force the pieces together, but it was only the pattern that mattered and this pattern was indicated by a few single fragments.

So it can happen that while we differ in the interpretation of almost every stanza of the Gita we can agree with the general trend of Rāmānuja's interpretations. For Rāmānuja's bhāsya does fully justice to the intentions of the author of the Gita: to reconcile the barren absolute of monistic thought with the living God of devotion and to show a new and supreme way to attain relase, the way of exclusive devotion to a merciful personal God, endowed with all perfections, who can be fully known only through love. It is by this agreement that we should evaluate Rāmānuja's commentary. We cannot judge it by our own scholarly principles, for we see that just by diverging from these principles Rāmānuja was able to fulfil what he conceived to be his only task: to restore the Bhagavadgitā not only in the hearts but also in the minds of the Indian thinkers and thereby to restore the unity of religion and philosophy. In this respect we may say that he has been truer to the spirit of the Gita than modern scholarship could ever be-He has completed the task which the poet of the Gitā had begun, the reconciliation of thought and religion.

Note on my condensed rendering of the Gitabhasya.

The form in which I present my English rendering of Rāmānuja's Gītābhāsya needs some explanation and justification. Right from the start it has been my object to render this important commentary as accessible as possible to the sanskritist as well as the student of comparative religion and philosophy. But an Indian communicary is something of its own kind; the commentators have their own style, technique and rules which make a literal translation into a Western language difficult if not impossible. The method of explaining the commented text verbum verbo ct nomen nomine, the elementary punctuation of the devanagari script and the want of an annotation system, due to the custom of Indian scribes to cover their palm-leaves length-wise and at a stretch, all make an Indian commentary somewhat forbidding to Western readers. Simple explanations, paraphrases, references, etymologies, digressions and disquisitions are put side by side and often in parenthesis in one long explanatory sentence. The sanskritist may soon find his way through this labyrinth, but it is out of place in a translation where full profit could be drawn from the resources of modern nunctuation and typography.

All this applies to Rāmānuja's Gītābhāsya. When I had finished a complete translation of this text into Dutch, I found it would be neither necessary nor desirable to have it printed in extenso. A translation of a Sanskrit text like this can never be meant to replace the original text, but only to make it accessible and to clarify it. A student who wishes to study the text itself could never use a translation instead of the original, however helpful it might be to his study of that original. Both for him and for one who is interested in the bhasya with respect to the Gītā, or Viśistādvaita, or Rāmānuja himself, a condensed rendering, in which all important points and all interesting details are given their due and all difficulties are discussed, will suffice. Moreover, in such a condensed rendering the superficial obscurities which all Indian commentaries present could more easily be disposed of, the full meaning of the text consequently more clearly stated and the continuity of the commentary better preserved than would be possible in a verbatim translation. On the other hand the bhasya should remain what it is, a running commentary to be consulted at the reading of the commented text

I found that the bulk of the complete translation, which in print would have comprised some 300 pages without notes, could easily

and justifiably be reduced to something less than half that size This could be achieved 1 by giving in notes a all minor details which have no direct bearing on the general interpretation of a certain verse, b all references to stuti and sinrit, and cross-references to Gita verses, 2 by omitting a all those explanations whose interest is didactic rather than scholarly, b all those remarks which have already been frequently made or whose purport is sufficiently clear from foregoing discussions, 3 by condensing in a concise style the direct material interpretations, using R imanuja's own words

A critic who would take the pains of comparing my condensed rendering with the Sanskrit original will, I trust, find it to be adequate, though he may note some discrepancies. Ramanuja retains throughout the direct speech of the Gita in his verbatim translations but switches over to indirect speech in his general remarks, for the sake of consistency I have used indirect speech throughout, except in Lecture XI where Arjuna is personally granted a vision of God Nowhere does R discriminate between Krsna and the supreme personal Deity, on the contrary he seldom misses an opportunity to emphasize that Krsna is God, so I have throughout called the Teacher of the Gita by the names of God As God addresses whole mankind in the person of Arjuna, I have given His direct exhortations a general bearing as indeed R explicitly and implicitly does. In a few isolated cases I have transported the interpretation of a verse to another paragraph so that R's meaning could more systematically be stated.

For the sake of clarity I have divided the subject matter into paragraphs where R explicitly and implicitly treats a group of verses as forming a unit. The short introductions which R prefaces to the explanation of a single verse or a group of verses either elucidating the transition from one verse to another or marking a new paragraph are given in the text. Frequently R explains a verse or group of verses as being an aswer to an implied question, this is clearly indicated by the words Question and Answer in the text. The same is done where Arjuna is questioning the Lord, a glance at the Gita will show which of the two is meant.

The method I have followed in my rendering has, as far as I know, not been tried before Perhaps some may think my treatment of the Gitabhasya Procrustean still whatever its imperfections may be, it certainly will show no want of respect for Ramanuja whose important interpretations I have earnestly tried to present in as lucid and accessible a form as possible

A CONDENSED RENDERING OF RĀMĀNUJA'S GĪTĀBHĀSYA

Dedicatory verse.

I greet the sage Yāmuna: 1 by meditating on his feet I have been purified of all impurities and become what I am.2

Introduction

Now, the Consort of Śrī, whose proper form,3 antagonistic to all that is evil and solely comprising all that is good, is nothing but knowledge and bliss:

the ocean of innumerable beautiful qualities, such as boundless and supreme knowledge, power, force, sovereignty, fortitude, mastery, etc., qualities proper to his nature;4

the treasury of numberless properties, such as brilliance, beauty, comeliness, youthfulness etc., which are in accord with his pleasure and which are unimaginable, divine and miraculous, impeccable and incomparable: 5

whose divine shape is adorned with manifold and maniform endless, wonderful, eternal, irreproachable, immeasurable divine ornaments and equipped with innumerable weapons which, being worthy of their bearer, are of inconceivable power, eternal, impeccable and incomparable: 6

- 1 Yamuna or Alavandar, predecessor of R. at the temple of Çriranga; on his
- ² vastutām upāyāto; on the expression cf. GBh. 4,14 and CBh. 2,1,34. influence on R. see Ch. II.

svarapa-; on this term see Lacombe, ASV. p. 48 f. 1. janua "immediate intuitive knowledge of everything" (sarvasakjātkārarapam); 2. fakti. "creative power" (aghafilaghalandsdmarthyam); 3. bala"power" (aghafilaghalandsdmarthyam); 3. bala-"power" (niyamanasamarthyam); 5 virya- or dhairya "the being not subject to vikaras or transformations" (arikāritvam); 6. tejas- "power to overcome others" (parabhibhavasamarihyam), cf. YID. 7, p. 60; these are the sadgunya- or the six kalyanagunas, perfect qualities proper to God's nature.

normagunas, perfect qualities proper to God's heatific per-frese, acc. to YID. 6, p 55, are the qualities proper to God's heatific personality (divyamangalarigrahagunah); Crinivasa (Ic.) adds tarya (sc. Itroraya) vadpakatrom gladigu prasiddhom "texts like the Gita prove that these qualities

delivery concomitant with God. are invariably concomitant with God". nadhyayoktasarropafrayah; the chapter referred to is VP. 22; the ornaments and manufacture of the chapter referred to is VP. 20; the fonch: and wapons are: the Kaustubha jewel; the Crivatsa curl; the Club; the Conch; the Rouse the Kaustubha jewel; the Crivatsa curl; the Club; the Conch; the Bow; the Sword; the Discus; the Arrows; and the Garland

whose perfections, glory and lordship, being essentially in accordance with his will, are eternal and unrivalled:

who holds Śrī 7 dear because of her boundless, perfect and numberless beautiful virtues such as faithfulness and the like:

whose feet are incessantly praised by numberless suris 8 with endless virtues of perfect, unsurpassed knowledge, actions etc., whose proper forms, maintenance and various activities 9 are dependent on his volition, and whose sole delight is to be completely subservient 10 to Him; 11

who, whereas his proper nature and beings are incomprehensible by speech and thought, reigns a boundless and wondrous dominion which abounds in numberless objects, means and places of experiences of all kinds and forms, and which suits his pleasure: 12

who resides in the Supreme Heaven 13 which is of immeasurable circumference, eternal, indestructible, irreproachable and imperishable;

whose sport 14 is to originate, develop and submerge the entire universe crowded with experiencing and experienced entities of all sorts and forms:

who is the Supreme Brahman; 15

Viṣṇu's or Nārāyana's consort, acc. to pāñcarātric cosmology, the active and creative aspect of the Supreme Being.

s sūri- or nityasūri- 'angelic' being eternally released from saṃsāra and possessed of a beautified body (YID. 4, p. 46 nityasūrinām ca svabhavikam Garudabhujagādirūpam; bhujaga- is the serpent Çeşa, Nārāyana's throne).

strasamkalpanuvidhāyisvarūpasthitipravrttibheda-; Lacombe (ASV. p. 278) interprets svarapasthiti + pravrttibheda- as a dvandva of two tatpuruşas ("constants en leur nature essentielle et diligents en la diversité des actions extérieures") which should be rejected in view of 10,42 idam ... jagat ... svarūpasadbhāve sthitau pravettibhede ca... matsanikalpam nātivartate; it is a three-membered dvandva (svarnpa-sthiti-pravyttibheda-) constituting the last member of a bahuvrihi.

10 aseşaseşaikaratirfipa-; seşa- "subsiduary: subordinate part," seşatva- "subordinateness and subservience of prakrti- and purusa- to God, utter dependence"; cf. Srinivasachari, Finite Self, p. 39 ff.

11 this line describes God's relation to the finite atmans.

this line describes God's relation to the non-spiritual world which provides the jivatmans with the objects, means and places of experience. Acc. to YID. 6, p. 53 the objects are: God's body etc. (sc. in devout worship of the ared or image); the means: sandal incense, flowers, robes, ornaments, weapons etc. (to adorn the idol); and the places: temple-gates (gopura-), enclosures, pavillions, palaces (vimana-), gardens, lotus-ponds etc.

paramatyoman-, one of the names of the Supreme Heaven or Vaikuntha-

which is described in detail in YID. 6, p. 55.

16 lid, a term usually rendered by "sport", denotes a, more or less creative, act which is, unlike karmon-, not performed to realize a desire or to achieve an end and is therefore not followed by retributing or recompensating results; cf. ÇBh. 2,1,33; Lacombe, Note 2, and ASV. p. 240 ff., esp. p. 246 f.

15 this against C. who reserves the term for the unqualified Absolute.

the Supreme Person,16 Näräyana.17

He has created the entire universe, from Brahma to minerals, and, although He is inaccessible to the meditation, worship etc. of gods -Brahmā etc - and men when He exists in his proper form, has by his own will (for He is a shoreless ocean of compassion, goodness, love and generosity) assumed a shape of the same structure 18 as theirs without giving op 10 his proper nature,20 and in that shape He has descended 21 repeatedly to various worlds in order that He might be worshipped by the beings who live in these worlds and so bring them nearer to the fruits of dharma, artha, kama 22 and release 23 in accordance with their desires; thus He has descended, seemingly to rule the earth but actually to alleviate the burdens of samsara even of the like of us, and so become visible to all mankind, and He has accomplished feats which drove away the sufferings of all people of all ranks so He has slain Pūtana, šakata, Yamala, Arjuna, Arista, Pralamba Dhenukāsura, Kālīya, Kesin, Kuvalayāpīda, Cānūra, Mustika, Kausala, Kamsa, etc; 24 then, slaking the thirst of all with the elixirs of his glances and words animated by boundless mercy, kindness and tenderness, He has made Akrūra, Mālākāra and others 25 the most ardent Bhāgavatas by revealing the multitudes of his unsurpassed virtues of beauty, goodness etc, until, at last, while pretending to exhort Arjuna to fight, He has revealed the bhaktiyoga, promoted by jñāna- and karmayoga, which in the Vedanta is declared to be the means of attaining man's supreme end, release, and of which He himself is the object

on the personality of God see Lacombe ASV p 277 ff on the personality of God see Lacombe ASV p 2/1 ii
R R's favourite name for God, denotes the Supreme Being in Pañcaratra

⁽cf CBh 22,43 (Th p 559 ff)) see Kumarappa pp 90 f and 99 ff
18 samsthana- or "generic structure of beings belonging to the same jati", see Sinha, p 49 f

¹⁹ cf CBh 1,32 (Th p 297)

²⁰ that described above

²¹ avatīrsa. ayatırşa,

the trivarga or puruşarthas, "the ends of human life'

apavarga- or paramapuruşartha- 'man's supreme end'

²⁴ see VP 5 25 see VP 5, 17 ff and 5,19

PART ONE

I THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE ATMAN

A INTRODUCTORY

1 Introduction of the Bhagavadgitā

1, 1 Dhrtarastra knows that Arjuna, on whose side Krsna, the Supreme Person, stands, has the upper hand but being blind in all respects ²⁰
 —19 he asks Samjaya how the battle is proceeding Samjaya relates that enemies and allies, preceded by Arjuna and Krsna blow their horns

 —25 Then Arjuna commands ²¹ Krsna his charioteer, to drive his chariot in between both armies Krsna obeys and then points to the enemy's commanders "Those are the men your people will defeat" But in spite of the treacherous attacks ²⁸ which Arjuna ²⁹ and his brothers have suffered from Dhrtarastra and in spite of the fact that the Supreme Person himself is on his side, Arjuna is struck by compassion and anxiety about his dharma and he refuses to enter into battle

2 Arjuna's dilemma

1—7 When admonished by Krsna not to avoid the battle, Arjuna formulates his dilemma I should not slay my gurus I do not consider it an objection that in that case they will slay me, for it is better that they, who are ignorant of dharma and adharma, kill us than that we, who know what dharma and adharma are, win a victory contrary to dharma by killing them Then Arjuna asks Krsna s advice

²⁶ sarvālmanāndhah, V na kevalam cakşusā (Dh being bo-n blind) paraireha ca hitam ajānatā manasāţi

²⁷ that Kṛṣṇa, God himself, has a subaltern position is explained by his love
28 R eg the treason of the lacquer house, for the story see MBh 1, 313
29 A is called drphobardhu-, V proposes two explanations the former of
which is preferable 'having a great number (long line) of relatives on the battlefield."

3 God's answer

God knows that Arjuna, urged by misplaced love and pity,30 looks 8-9 upon the ksatriya's highest dharma as adharma Arjuna has now resorted to him and He knows that Arjuna's perplexity cannot be helped unless he realizes 1 what the atman essentially is,31 and 2 that one's own dharma if observed disinterestedly, is a means of obtaining valid knowledge 12 of the essential being of the atman These are the reasons why God reveals 33 to Ariuna the doctrine of the atman

Arjuna is perplexed because he does not know what atman and 10 body are exactly, nevertheless he talks about dharma and adharma as though he knows that the atman is different from the body 34 So here is a contradiction Therefore God speaks 25 to Arjuna of

- 1 the exact knowledge of the atman and the Supreme Atman
- 2 karma-, jñāna- and bhaktiyoga as various means of obtaining this knowledge

There is, as has been said above, a contradiction between, on the 11 one hand, Arjuna's grief which makes him say 'I shall not kill them," which proves that Ariuna has no insight into the distinct natures of body and atman, for those who do have this insight do not mourn over bodies that have died or over atmans that have not died and on the other hand, his appeal to dharma and adharma when he says 'Their ancestors will fall down when the oblations of pindas and water are neglected," which could only result from the knowledge of the natures of body and atman 36 Hence it follows that Arjuna does not know

1 what the nature of the body is that it is subjected to developments and naturally involves birth and death so that it cannot cause any grief if it dies.

2 that the atman is different from the body and immortal that

ao R borrows his terminologi (asthānasamupasthitasnehakārunja) from Y,

GAS 5 cf Ch II 31 3āthātmanāna 'knowledge conform ble to the exact nature of Lacombe ASV p 52 compare GBh 332 cetahkaryam hi vastuyathatmyami scavali

²² gatharthyannana gatharthya validity of knowledge

³³ R sāstrā atarana borrowed from Y GAS 5 which is quoted

³⁴ dehatiriktātmajnānanimittam

God's exposition comprises 2 12 18 66 as projection comprises 212 1800 that a granded and a gran that A s words imply some sort of knowledge about body and atman (viz. that the 5 survives the body) but that this knowledge is contradicted by his behaviour f his refusal to fight)

it is not subjected to birth or death and so cannot cause any grief, because it cannot die,

3 that the dharma is a means of realizing the ātman that the battle, if disinterestedly entered upon, is a means of realizing what the atman exactly is

B DISCUSSION OF BODY AND ATMAN

1 Plurality of the individual atmans

12

God declares "I, the Lord, have always existed and will always exist, and likewise the individual atmans, Arjuna and all others, who are subject to My lordship, at have always existed and will always exist No doubt can be entertained that I who am the Lord, the Supreme Atman am immortal, likewise Arjuna and all others, though being mere ksetrajñas — nothing but atmans — should be considered im mortal"

This means that on the strength of the authority of God himself who is teaching Arjuna the truth 48 we have to admit

- 1 that there is difference between God and the individual ātmans,
- 2 that there is difference between the individual ātmans themselves,
 - 3 that this difference is absolutely real

To this admission however, various objections are raised

1 by those who hold the view that such a difference only exists as far as the atman is subjected to upadhis 39

Refutation The object of the text is the teaching of the truth So when in the course of this teaching the view is held that this difference really does exist, then it is not possible to contend that this difference does not exist Moreover, the view of the Gita that this difference exists is supported by the evidence of the sruti 40

at Isitavyāh kṣetrajñāh kṣetrajñā. "atman as the knower of the body", cf GBh 132 Isitavyā "subject to God the Israra."

as In the following demonstration R reasons from the assumption that G is upadesa "instruction in the truth

³⁹ viz the adherents of bhedabhedavada, upādhi "Inmiting adjunct on the bhedabheda view see CBh 114 (Th 192 195) where the doctrine is refuted and Ved 14 where it is briefly stated On the school see Srimvasachari Bhedabhedavāda

⁴⁰ CietUp 613 nitjo nitjānām cetanas cetanānām eko bahūnām 30 udadhāli kāmān

2 by those who consider the theory of difference a result of Nescience 41

Refutation In that case it would be absurd that the Supreme Person would hold that view and act consistently with it, e.g. by teaching this view It is preposterous to assume that this view is nothing but a result of Nescience, for there could not have been Nescience and consequently this view, because the Supreme Person, who naturally holds a view which is in harmony with the truth, would have known that the atman were non differenced, unchangingly eternal Consciousness, 42 in other words, it is absurd to suppose that the Supreme Person holds the wrong view while holding the true one

3 So, if one is consistent, one has to demonstrate that the Supreme Person is ignorant 43

But in that case his teaching of the truth cannot be truly Refutation called so, for his contention being rooted in ignorance and therefore false would be no less false than Arjuna's contention which it pretends to correct

4 Or it might be contended that the Supreme Person has eventually arrived at the true knowledge that no such difference actually exists, his view that such a difference does exist could be explained as a case of badhıtanuvrttı,44 the persistence of knowledge already sublated, then his view would not hold good as in the case of the burnt cloth 45

Refutation This argument is not appropriate as

a an example will show a mirage might be cognized as an oasis, 48 this cognition will be sublated by the superseding cognition that it is not an oasis but a mirage But even if this sublated cognition keeps persisting, it can never be acted upon, eg by fetching water from that mirage In the same manner the cognition of the existence of Difference which would be sublated by the superseding cognition of Non diffe-

⁴¹ the adherents of advantavada whose doctrine R sums up in ÇBh 111

² as it is acc to advaita R s reasoning is difference cannot be an effect of (Th p 38 39) and Ved 12 13 nescence, for God who teaches it, is not subject to nescience — N B Throughout andyd in the advantic sense is rendered by "nescience, in R's sense by

this is indeed a logical consequence only if God is subject to nescience ignorance

he can be expected to expound a theory effected by nesc ence 44 on badhitani rtti as a special feature of advantic argumentation, see

Variadachari Theory of knowledge p 93 where this passage is discussed in a burnt cloth the likeness of a serviceable cloth may persist though it

to ronger serviceable 49 this cognition, parenthetically is of the real of CBh 1,1,1, p 99 (Th is no longer serviceable p 122)

rence,47 might persist, but still it could never be acted upon, e.g. by teaching this cognition as the true one, because it would be positively known that the content of this cognition is false

- b It is not possible to contend that the Lord was originally nescient and that in his case there is an instance of persistence of sublated cognition, as He would have obtained the knowledge of the truth later on through the doctrine of Non difference, as it is, this contention is contradicted by sruti and smrti 48
- c Moreover it might be asked "If the Supreme Person and the succession of present gurus are certain that the atman is essentially non differenced - although their preceding erroneous cognition of Difference may persist -, to whom, then, do they teach that the atman is non differenced?' If one is to answer "To Arjuna etc whom one knows to be reflected images of oncself," then this is not tenable for nobody unless he were senseless, would, while recognizing images of himself reflected in mirrors and the like and knowing them to be absolutely identical with himself, attempt to teach them anything
 - d One is not even justified in calling this a case of persistence of sublated cognition, for Nescience and its consequences and therefore also the erroneous notion that the atmans are differenced, would have been annulled by the superseding cognition that they are non-differenced 49 Persistence of sublated cognition applies only to a case like that of the cognition that there are two moons for the source of such cognition, viz the defect of a real affliction of the eyes, cannot be annulled by the cognition that there is only one moon 50 To be sure, such an erroneous notion will, even if it persists, be devoid of all significance because it is sublated by very convincing evidence bi In our

48 R quotes MundUp 119 CvetUp 68 G 7,26

cf CBh 111 p 9 (Th p 14) discandrajnānādau tu bādhakasamnidhāv ap mithzājnānahetoh paramārthatimirādidosasza jnānabādhvatrābhāvenāvinasfatvān

mithyajñananurttir a iruddha

⁴⁷ ie assuming the advaita doctrine is true

⁴⁹ for badhitaning riti- it is required that the ground (hetu) of the erroneous notion however insignificant in itself, is real and persists Nescience not being real or at its best being neither real nor unreal, cannot be a sufficient ground for the persisting of an erroneous notion cf CBh 1,1,1, p 8 in the same connection manotpatta api mithyarūpāyās tasyā (sc vasanayā) anivitau nivariakantarabhazat kadacid api nassa zasanaza nivettih luasanakaryam bhedajnanam chunamulam atha canuvartata iti balisabhasitam

⁵¹ prabalapramanabadhitatzena same expression CBh 1,1,1 p 9 where Lacombe Notes 100 remarks, dapres le Pt V Sh Abhyankar lexpression praba se justifie par la force (non pas epistemologique mais physique) de la source d'erreur (here the ocular affliction timira-) qu'il s'agit de combattre

case, however, any persistence of sublated knowledge would be utterly impossible, for the cognition that there is Difference would, with content and cause, have been annulled as being unreal by the sublating cognition that there is no such Difference

e. Therefore, if one were to demonstrate: "The Lord and the succession of present gurus do have knowledge of the truth," 52 then their theory of the existence of Difference and their teaching of this theory would consequently be impossible If, on the other hand, one would contend that they do hold the theory of Difference, then they would be nescient because their Nescience - the source of their theory would have persisted and in that case teaching of the truth would a fortiori be impossible.

f. Furthermore, a guru's teaching of a pupil would be purposeless, for the cognition that Brahman as well as the atmans exist (that is, the cognition of Difference), and the effect 53 of his cognition (teaching this cognition as the true one) would be sublated in consequence of the guru's knowledge that the atman is really non-differenced If, then, one is to contend: "The guru and his knowledge exist only in the imagination of the pupil," 54 then the guru's knowledge cannot sublate the pupil's knowledge because the pupil and his knowledge are also imagined! 55 If one is to answer: "Granted that the pupil's knowledge too exists only in imagination, then the guru's knowledge would still sublate the pupil's knowledge, because the former contradicts the latter," then I say. "No, for the same applies to the guru's knowledge, and in that case the pupil's knowledge would be capable of sublating the guru's knowledge, and then all teaching would be purposeless!"

This discussion of erroneous notions may suffice

⁻ The pramana meant here is the pratyakea - In itself the vision of a double moon is of the real cf CBh 1,1,1, p 99-100 (Th p 123-124)

es V explains sakāryatya sişyācāryatvāder afili bhāvah l tenopadestrabhāvah prastrabhava upadesaparikarabhavas cety ukto bhavati, in other words, if the teacher knows that Brahman solely exists, then all knowledge of difference, and consequently all relations between teacher and pupil are non-existent, teaching would be by the same of the same and therefore purposeless

⁵⁴ because of his nescience the pupil imagines the really non-existent relation

on for, if the relation teacher pupil is imagined, the pupil himself is also teacher-pupil imagined - Read kalpitatvat for kalpitvat

2. Atman and Body.

16

a. Relation between atman and hody.

The atmans can give no reason for grief, for they are immortal. 13 One does not mourn over the embodied atman when it passes from one stage to another. 58 But these immortal atmans are subject to beginningless karman, and are, for this reason, created conjointly with bodies that are determined by their various karman By means of these bodies the atmans perform acts which are prescribed by the sastras to each station and stage of life, not for the sake of the results of their acts but to be released from their bondage to these bodies. So the atmans have inevitably contacts with objects through the senses of their bodies 14 and these contacts cause sensations of pain and pleasure. These contacts with objects 57 should be suffered until the acts have been performed. If one is persistent, one will be able to endure them, for they are transient by nature, i.e. the transitory and the transitoriness will cease to exist as such, as soon as the evil which has caused the ātmans' 15 bondage has been annihilated. Therefore one should persist in performing acts and one should consider the pain, which inevitably accompanies the performance of acts, as pleasure. If one performs acts, not for the sake of their results but because they are means of attaining immortality, then one will attain immortality. One is capable of doing so precisely because the atmans are immortal.

Returning to the topic that the immortality of the afmans and the mortality of the bodies can cause no grief, it is further demonstrated that the body, being a perishable entity, cannot be imperishable and that the atman, being an imperishable entity, cannot be perishable. If one positively apprehends both entities body and atman and consequently perceives what they are, one will at the same time perceive this conclusion 55 that the body, being a perishable entity, is essentially pershable and that the atman, being an imperishable entity, is essentially

ially imperishable 69 The terms sattva and asattva in the text have the sense of perishableness and imperishableness. This verse cannot refer to Asatkaryavada as it has nothing to do with it Only the difference between the natures of body and atman, viz their perishableness and imperishableness respectively, is under discussion here

b Proofs for the immortality of the atman and the mortality of the body

The entity atman,60 which is a spiritual being, pervades 61 the nonspiritual entity which is different from the atman. Hence it follows that the atman is subtler than all other beings which necessarily must be grosser if the atman is to pervade them Now, the thing that destroys must be subtler than the thing it destroys, for it can only destroy by pervading a thing and thereby decomposing it 62 Nothing, however, is subtle enough to pervade the atman, so the atman is indestructible 63

The body, however, is perishable. The word deha proves that a body 18 is a quantity that can be increased 4 Now things that are characterized by their liability to increase or decrease, eg jugs are finite Thus bodies are finite as Those bodies, which are conglomerated elements, serve to enable their innate atmans to undergo their previous karman 66 If, therefore, that karman is consumed, then the bodies will perish

Further, the atman is eternal because it is not the object 67 but the subject of knowledge 68 Therefore the atman, forming a unity by itself, cannot be understood to exist in a plurality of forms or to be liable to increase and decrease in the proposition 'In all the various parts of my body I know this or that', something different from the body is

TO R quotes \ P 2 12 41 44 2 14 23 24 2 13 95 G 2 17 18

of atmatatt a the category of atman the expression is used to account for the neuter tad in G

R. adduces an instance hammers too can only destroy an object by rousing wind through violent contact with the object the wind pervades and decomposes the object

⁶³ this is a complete syllogism pratified the atman is indestructible heli because it is subtler and cannot be pervaded udaharana hammers can only destroy by pervading an object nemara whatever is subtler cannot be destroyed by what is grosser nigamana the atman is indestructible

⁶¹ diha upacaya ity upacayarupa ime dehah

es this too is a complete syllogism

⁶⁸ BAU 445 is quoted

es G 131 is quoted etad 30 vetti tam prāhuh kṣetrajna iti tadvidah

2 Atman and Body

16

a Relation between atman and hody

The atmans can give no reason for grief, for they are immortal 13 One does not mourn over the embodied atman when it passes from one stage to another 58 But these immortal atmans are subject to beginningless karman, and are, for this reason, created conjointly with bodies that are determined by their various karman By means of these bodies the atmans perform acts which are prescribed by the sastras to each station and stage of life not for the sake of the results of their acts but to be released from their bondage to these bodies. So the ātmans have inevitably contacts with objects through the senses of their bodies 14 and these contacts cause sensations of pain and pleasure. These contacts with objects '7 should be suffered until the acts have been performed If one is persistent, one will be able to endure them, for they are transient by nature, ie the transitory and the transitoriness will cease to exist as such as soon as the evil which has caused the atmans' 15 bondage has been annihilated Therefore one should persist in performing acts and one should consider the pain, which inevitably accompames the performance of acts, as pleasure If one performs acts, not for the sake of their results but because they are means of attaining immortality, then one will attain immortality. One is capable of doing so precisely because the atmans are immortal

Returning to the topic that the immortality of the ātmans and the mortality of the bodies can cause no grief, it is further demonstrated that the body, being a perishable entity, cannot be imperishable and that the atman, being an imperishable entity, cannot be perishable If one positively apprehends both entities body and ātman and consequently perceives what they are, one will at the same time perceive this conclusion 58 that the body, being a perishable entity, is essentially perishable and that the atman, being an imperishable entity, is essentially

further on R will demonstrate that death is but a stage of development to be passed like youth and maturity

R the objects sound etc, and their bases are called materas because they are effected by the townaters V this against C who (GBh 214) explains materal dishur misjoute subdadaja its verbradishindrijan. Acc to V a substance differenced by qualities is effected by the toundrian (cf YID 4 p 40), viz the subtle elements of sound (subdamatera) etc. Cause and effect being essentially the same (satkarya-vada-doctrine) the word materia, may be used for the effects of the materas, viz as R nurnojaniatran nurapa undantasabdenocyate

Besides, even in case you consider the atman identical with the body, you are still not allowed to mourn over death, for the body is by nature subjected to developments and therefore subjected to rise and decline What has originated will mevitably perish and what has 27 perished will inevitably originate. How should this be understood, this originating of an entity that has perished, for have we not learnt by apprehension that only an existent entity can originate and no nonexistent one 722 Indeed, this holds true, for origination, annihilation etc are only different stages of development of an existent entity For example, threads - which are existent entities - are called clothes when they are arranged in a particular way, even the asatkaryavadin will admit this much. One cannot maintain that a cloth is as a substance different from the threads of which it consists, simply because it differs from those theads in that it is a particular arrangement of them No more tenable is the view that cloth and threads are different substances simply because they are effected by different causal operations, are known under different names and used for different practical purposes

Origination, annihilation etc., therefore, are only various stages of development of an existent entity "An entity perishes' means that an entity which was at the stage of originating now enters the opposite stage No entity, if subject to developments, can avoid passing through such a sequence of developments clay becomes a clot, a jug a bowl, and finally grains Now, even that very little grief which could be felt over an entity's passing from one stage of development to another, is not even possible with human beings etc, for the first stage of these existent entities is not known and their final stage is not known either, only the middle stage is known. So it cannot be a reason for grief if human beings etc appear in these modes of existence

Arjuna should look upon this warfare as his dharma, a dharma of the same order as that of agnisoma sacrifices Such warfare is most salutary to a ksatriya 18 The immolation of the sacrificial animal at agnīsoma sacrifices cannot be regarded as himsa, for according to the sruti 14 the victim, when having abandoned an inferior body - a hegoat's etc —, will attain heaven etc with a beautified body It has been Said above 15 that those who have been killed in battle will receive a more beautiful body in return, the immolation of a victim at rites such

⁷² this is the satkaryavada view

⁷³ R quotes G 1843 74 R quotes TaittBr 37,7,14

⁷⁵ G 2,22

understood to be the knower, and this knower exists as a unity because it is not experienced as being different in different members of the body it knows

Summing up the atman is eternal because 1 being a unit it is not liable to increase or decrease, 2 it is the subject of knowledge, 3 it pervades all that is different from itself. The body is perishable because 1 being liable to increase or decrease it exists in a plurality of forms, 2 it serves to enable its innate atman to undergo its karman, 3 it can be pervaded.

Therefore, nobody can kill the atman, the verb to kill means nothing but to separate the atman from the body. The atman is not subject to developments because it is eternal. So it is not born when the body is born and does not die when the body dies, whether in individual life or in cosmic life. It does not suffer developments like the prakrti does, so nothing has preceded it 69. All these arguments prove that grief for the atman results from a misconception. The innate atman cannot be destroyed even if its body be destroyed. This nature is common to all embodied atmans, so they are essentially equal and eternal, inequality and perishableness are brought about by the body.

Nothing whatever can have hold on the atman for the atman will always be subtler than any other entity, and so it is eternal. It escapes the pramanas by which all other entities are verified, so it is of an entirely different order. Consequently, it cannot be thought of in the terms of these entities, therefore it is not subjected to transformations. This is why positive knowledge of the atman is so difficult to obtain and why any information one gathers about the atman vill rarely be true.

c What is death?

22

Killing means nothing but "separating the atman the body" Yet it might be said that even if this be so this very separation may be reason enough for grief when the body — the instrument of pleasant experiences — perishes No, is the answer, on the contrary the annihilation of the body is a reason for joy for when one has abandoned one's body in lawful warfare then, so the sastras assert, if one will receive a beautified body in return, it is like throwing away one's old dress and putting on a new one

ee = G purāna

To G cryakta. here and elsewhere taken not in its Samkhvan sense of unevolved matter but as not verified not verifiable by pramanas ie the atmort.

II KARMAYOGA AND INANAYOCA

A KARMAYOGA

1 Definition

In the previous sections the buddhi concerning the atman 77 has 39 been discussed, presently the buddhi concerning yoga is under discussion Yoga is the application 78 of the buddhi to the performance of acts presupposing the above knowledge of the atman, as being a means of attaining release By applying the buddhi to one's acts one will be delivered from these acts, that is from samsara

41

2 Ino kinds of buddhis

The text discriminates between two kinds of buddhi

- a buddhi marked by decision,
- b a buddhi not marked by decision
- a The buddhi that is marked by decision is concerned with those acts which an aspirant 79 should perform to attain release. It is marked by decision because it presupposes decisive knowledge of the proper form of the atman With all various acts it remains essentially the same because it concerns those acts in so far as they lead to the same result, release The purpose of the sastras is always this same result, so the buddhi concerned with all various acts prescribed by the sastras is always the same
- b The buddhi that is not marked by decision is concerned with desiderative acts ⁸⁰ When acts are performed in order to materialize certain desires then no more is required than the knowledge that the atman as an entity differs from the prakriti. The decisive knowledge of the proper form of the atman is not needed for that, for the desire for a certain result — eg heaven —, the execution of the means leading to

п R explains G samkhya as atman samkhya = buddhir l buddhyavadha ranisam almatattvam samkhsam almatattva the generic category atman, ef V buddh is discerning knowledge with an intellectual and conative aspect (hence it is niscana or adhjavasajālinika (VAK sv) containing a decision to act) for all knowledge must be workable Tarkasampraha Buddhikhanda sarvaryataharahetur guno buddhir manam cf Srinusaschari VA p 320 and Lacombe ASV p 141 ff the intellectual aspect of buddhi is treated of in 2 12 30 its conative or practical aspect is now under d scussion

⁷⁸ yoga in the sense of 'connection etc 79 viz to release mumuksu viz to release mumukții
 nitja- naimititka- and kāmja are rendered by periodical occasional and desiderative throughout

as agnīsoma sacrifices is, therefore, not himsa but is actually a way of protecting the victim, and as such comparable to the treatment with a thorn by a physician

3 Moral conclusion

37

Such warfare which causes immeasurable bliss, is the share of 32 none but a ksatriya of good karman If in his ignorance Ariune reframs, 33 after having already begun, from waging the war which is his dharma, he will be deprived of the immeasurable bliss which results from the observance of dharma and of the fame of victory, so he will gain nothing by it but evil Moreover, everybody, expert or no expert, will 34 cry shame upon him and that disgrace will be worse than death for a hero like he It does not count that he reframs from battling because of 35 his love and compassion for his relatives, such a thing does not happen and nobody will believe him, instead they will think that fear makes him do so Then they will disparage his heroism death is preferable 36 to such disparagement

Therefore both alternatives either that he kills his enemies or that his enemies kill him are to be preferred to his refusal to enter into battle in the first case he will when killed, participate in supreme bliss, and in the latter case he will enjoy his kingdom without rivals when they are killed and, moreover enjoy supreme bliss because his dharma, if observed disinterestedly will lead thereto. So Ariuna is exhorted to engage himself in the battle while realizing that this battle is a means of attaining release which is defined as man's supreme end 6 If one 38 aspires to release one will wage war with the certain knowledge that the atman is different from the body that it has nothing in common with corporeal nature and that it is eternal. One would not allow one's mind to be darkened by pleasure or pain gain or loss, victory or defeat, whatever contingency may result from the sword-strokes which are inevitable in a war One would not aim at the direct result of that war - heaven etc -, but fix oneself on nothing but ones actions as such

ta foramapuri garil alakşanamokşa , the samsarıc purusarthas are dharma, artha and kama

If the Vedas would not do so, those people would turn away from release owing to their rajas and tamas, for release can only be attained by one who is possessed of sattva alone, then they would not learn by what means they would be able to attain the bliss for which they are still qualified, and they would perish, because their inclination to desirable objects would have incapacitated them, and they would regard the wrong means as right

One should not be possessed of all three gunas but cultivate the sattva then one will be released from sams are nature so and one's sattva will increase How should this be done? One should search for the proper form of the atman by not acquiring objects that are neither comprised by the proper form of the atman nor comprised by the means by which one can realize this form, and by not keeping those objects when one does already possess them 87

Besides, one should not accept all which is taught by the Vedas, 46 just as a thirsty man does not take more water from a public reservoir than he is in need of, so a Vedic aspirant sq to release should not take more from the Vedas than his release requires No more is required 47 than this when performing periodical, occasional and desiderative acts which according to the sruti lead to certain results, one should consider the act in itself reason enough to perform it 89 and not its result, for any result makes another tie, but resultless acts which are performed to propitiate God serve to release Therefore, one should not oneself be the reason of acts and results, on this means that if one aspires to release and if one's sattva is increasing one cannot be regarded as the agent of acts, even though one performs acts nor as the reason of their results, for example, when eating of the resulting satiation 91 When one realizes this, one must not remain mactive but one must 48

⁸⁶ nırdvandvah G = R nırgatasakalasāmsarıkasvabhāvah

⁸¹ R s paraphrasis of G niryogaksema aprapias3a prapiir yogah (<y connection etc.) prablasya pariraksanam ksemali (<ks. safety keeping safe.)

⁸⁸ R explains G brahmana by aidika a person who observes the Veda (brah nasamband) I brahmanah brahman in its sense of sacred uttering scrip ture) and G eighnant by redartham enjanan mumuksuh the sole purpose of the Veda being release see supra st 41

not maintaire anticaran not as a bahuvrihi (whose motivation is R interprets karmaphalahetu not as a bahuvrihi (whose motivation is the result of his acts) but as a taipurusa the first member of which is a dvandva being the agent of ones acts phalahetu being the cause of the

of R refers to G 329 30 where both karmahetutra and phalahetutra are result of ones acts attributed to the gunas and to God

that result, and the enjoyment of that result are perfectly possible and not all incompatible without such a decisive knowledge.⁸¹ Buddhis concerned with desiderative acts are numberless, because the results of those acts are numberless. Besides, various acts, even if ordered to obtain a single result, have many branches, because the same acts may have a number of adventitious results.

Now, when one performs acts of a periodical and occasional nature—the same applies to desiderative acts—, one must renounce all results—the main as well as the adventitious ones— which those acts have, according to the śruti. They should be performed to one purpose, release, for this is the only purpose of the śāstras. The unwise who do not do so but who stick to the Vedas inasmuch as these promise such results as heaven etc. and contend that there are no other results because they do not want any other (a contention standard which leads to ever new births when that result is ended and which leads one astray because one does not know the truth), and who desire pleasure and power and, owing to such talk about pleasure and power, lose all knowledge of the ātman, these unwise people will never form in their minds standard the above buddhi marked by decision and concerned with acts that result in release and therefore presuppose decisive knowledge of the ātman.

3. The teaching of the Vedas.

45 Question. If acts should be performed, not to obtain their results but to attain release, why should the Vedas prescribe such acts that have only infinitesimal results and lead only to new births? Are they not active 84 in securing the sustenance of the ātman? Then how could it be contended that their teaching should be neglected?

Answer. The Vedas address themselves to those in whom the three gunas, sattva, rajas and tamas, preponderate so and they explain to them to what ends their gunas allow them to aspire, — heaven and the like.

⁸¹ read svarūpayāthātmyaniścaye 'sati for... sati (cf. V. yāthātmyaniścayā-bhāve).

note R.'s expl. of G. puspitam vacam: puspamatraphalam apataramaniyam "the fruit of which is only flowers: delightful but for a moment."

sa samādhlyate sminn dimajūānam iti samādhir manah.

R. because of a love greater than that of thousands of parents; same

expression CBh. 3,3,39 (Th. p. 662).

53 trayo gunda traigunyam... sattvarajastamahpracurah purusas traigunya-labdenoevante.

5. The Contemplation of the atman.

Yoga 98 is the contemplation of the atman resulting from that per- 53 formance of acts which presupposes true knowledge of the atman and is elevated 99 by this special buddhi. One has heard 100 God demonstrate that this buddhi is concerned with the eternal atman which belongs to a different class from all other entities, is most subtle and forms a unity of its own Now, when this buddhi is immovably fixed in one's mind 101 which has been purified by disinterested activity, one will attain yoga, ie, the contemplation of the atman, or, in other words, karmayoga that is preceded by true knowledge of the atman obtained from the sastras will lead to jñānanisthā or sthitaprajñatā and jñānanisthā again will lead to yoga or the contemplation of the atman

R IÑĀNAYOGA

Four degrees of j\(\tilde{a}\) anisth\(\tilde{c}\)

Question What is jūananistha and how does a sthitaprajūa perform 54 acts?

Answer. There are four degrees of jñānanisthā 102

1. when one focuses the mind on nothing but the atman and, being 55 content with that, expels all other desires, 56

2. when one is a muni 103 who is not grieved whenever there is reason, who has no desire for pleasing objects and who is exempt from wishful

thought, fear and anger; 104 3. when one is indifferent to pleasing objects and exempt from jos 57 and hatred:

this yoga- should not be confused with the 30ga- of st 50 which is buddhisoga. "the application of the buddhi to activity" (supra st 39), 309ahere is called the result of activity with buddhiyoga and the goal of it (lakt)a-, term borrowed from Y, GAS 6)

sumskrta- $_{100}$ śruticipratipanna G = R asmatta sravanena (viz G 2,17 30) ziśesatah bratsbann**a**

101 saniddhi- explained by manas, as supra n 83

103 mini- always explained by dimamananasila- (pseudo etymology from Vman-) cf CBh 4446 (Th p 710) idam ca maunam sraranapratistharthan arthantarabhiltam ufasanalambanasya funah funah samiilanam mananad

194 raga-, bhaya- and krodha-, defined resp anagatesu sprha privarislesapriydgamanahetudarsananımıttam duhkhanı, priyavisleşafriyagamanahetubhalaceta-

nantarayatadulikhahetuh svamanovikarah

perform acts disinterestedly and with equanimity at their failure or success

4 The greatness of karmayoga

40 This way of acting is a most eminent one, for in karmayoga one is never deprived of the results of one s acts, once their performance has commenced, even if they are discontinued or interrupted Even though partially executed, the karmayoga will rescue its executor from samsara,92 whereas all other means of achieving a certain end, whether laic or Vedic, do - when they are stopped - not result in the desired 49 end and bring their executor back to his starting-point Therefore, an act to which the buddhi - concerned with the renunciation of the main results and with equanimity at failure or success of the adventitious ones - is being applied is far superior to acts which are performed without such buddhi, the former takes away all suffering in samsara and furthers the release, whereas the latter results in immense suffering in samsara So when acting, one should live in 93 that buddhi; those who act with interest in the results cannot avoid remaining in samsara 94 50

When one applies this buddhi to one's actions, one relinquishes the good and evil karman which has been collected in beginningless times, which has no end and which is the cause of one's bondage to prakrti Therefore, one should acquire proficiency in applying the buddhi to one's acts, for this application is the special capacity by which one is capable of performing acts 85 As the upanisads assert, whosoever acts in this way bycapplying the buddhi will go to a blissful place. If one acts in this manner, then one's buddhi will be freed from its impurities and pass the delusion that is caused by interest in infinitesimal results Then, as a consequence of what one has heard from God, viz that one should renounce the results etc, and of what one will hear later on,97 one will acquire an indifferent attitude of one s own accord

51

52

Pa aptly quotes G 640 natveha nămutra zināsas tasya indyate, which is said of a person who has not been able to succeed in yoga

saranam G = R rāsasthānam tasjām era buddhau vartasvety arthah here R interprets phalahetawah as a bahuvrihi krpanah G = R sam särınah

⁹⁵ karmasıı krizamaneşv ayanı buddhıyogalı kausalam atısamarthyam 96 expl of G h:

ot śrotavzasya srutasza ca G = R asmalta stah parvam tzdzyataza śrutasza phalader itali paścac chrotm zasza ca krte

able to form in his mind the buddhi that is concerned with the atman as distinct from prakrti, or to cultivate his knowledge of this distinct ātman by meditating on it, or to subdue his propensity to the objects, or to obtain ever the eternal perfect beatitude When a person follows 67 the mind while conforming to the senses operating in their objects, the mind will expel the insight that is inclined to the distinct atman and so cause an inclination to the objects

4 The Contemplation of the ātman

A person who has subdued his senses and purified his mind, contemplates the atman by means of the buddhi that is concerned with the ātman This buddhi is dark as night to other people, but to him the second buddhi - the one concerned with objects - is as dark as night Only such a person who is subject to no transformation whether he has sensoreal impressions or not, but is contented with the contemplation of the atman, will attain santi,100 whereas a person who is transformed by the objects will find none Only by renouncing the 71 objects, by being exempt from all desire for them, from possessiveness and from the misconception that the body is the atman one will be able to contemplate the atman and attain santi

This position in disinterested activity which presupposes knowledge 72 of the eternal atman, marks the sthitapiajña This position will lead one to brahman 110 and deliver one from perplexity that is from samsara If one persists in this position until one's dying hour, one will attain the atman which comprises nothing but beatitude 111

C RELATION KARMAYOGA - JNANAYOGA

Knowledge of the atman combined with karmayoga leads to jūānayoga, 122 through jūānayoga one arrives at the true contemplation of the realizing atman 118 This contemplation, again, is propaedeutic 114

the being freed from disturbing 109 sānti is synonymous with prasāda above imperfections due to prakṛti , esp abhimāna

^{110 =} R expl of brahml, brahman here is the atman in its pure form

brahmanırıdınım G=R, nırıdınımayam brahma sukhaikaidindindinam (svorapena), separated from prakrti

⁻ R. ends by quoting GAS 6

ac enus by quoting officers and G 253 etymology diman <\delta proper al G 253 etymology diman <\delta proper al G 253 etymology diman sa sortomi ca lokan apnati, R term derived from ChUp 87,1 3a alma sa sarrams ca lokan aproeti, R. quotes this fruit to corroborate his view that the contemplation of the atman is ancillary to the attainment of God the gust of Prajapatis speech 8,7,1ff corresponds to dimavalokana, the "dahararadya" of 811-6 corresponds to the

- 58 4 when one focuses the mind on the atman and withdraws the senses from the objects
 - 2 The manner in which inananistha is achieved
- 59 The senses feed on the objects If the embodied atman does not feed his senses then they will turn away from their objects, the hankering 103 after the objects will, however, remain But even that hankering will also vanish when one has contemplated the atman As long as this hankering does remain, the senses will keep disturbing the mind however much one strives to subdue them. Therefore innanistha is difficult the subduing of the senses depends on the contemplation of 61 the atman but the latter again depends on the former. How is one to avoid this difficulty? By focusing the mind on God who is its perfect object 106 and by remaining concentrated on him Then all impurities and the hankering itself will vanish and one will be able to subdue one's 64 senses then one will be capable of contemplating the atman The mind will be disciplined and the inner organ purified. When the mind of the 65 purusa is serene 107 then all suffering resulting from the blending of purusa and prakrtı will cease and the buddhi that is concerned with the 68 atman as distinct from prakrti will be fixed on God. He who focuses his mind on God and withdraws his senses from the objects will direct
 - 3 The perils of any other method

his vision to the atman

62 When one does not in this manner focus one's mind on God but attempts to subdue one s senses on one s own account one will - in consequence of the after effects 108 of beginningless evil - inevitably run the risk of concentrating again on the objects. Thence will appear an increased inclination to those objects, thence anger, thence perplexity, 63 thence loss of memory thence the ruin of the buddhi, thence finally 66 decay in samsara He who does not focus his mind on God will not be

101 prasade G = R asya purusasya manasah prasade sats prasada "the being prasanna without the prakerti defects which are incompatible with the contemplation of the atman , cf santi n 109 108 väsanä

¹⁰⁵ rasah G = R ragah (supra n 104) cetasah subhāsraje mais R quotes VP 677273 where Visnu is called cittasthita which occasions R s expression cetasali subhasraya in GBh 261 64 68 on the expression see Lacombe Note 585 ad CBh 111 p 69 (Th p 89) What R means to say is not that mananistha results in direct contact with God (which is only possible through bhakti) but that God assists man in subduing his mind whose perfect object is God

therefore attempt karmayoga Considering that God has said that those whose buddhi is disturbed by their senses are capable of karmayoga and that those whose buddhi is not disturbed by their senses are capable of jñānayoga, there is no contradiction at all

2 It is difficult to attempt jnanayoga immediately

Jñananisthā 119 - preventing the senses from operating - is not 4 reached simply by not performing or ceasing to perform the acts prescribed by the sastras Atmanistha is the accomplishment of disinterested activity meant to propitiate God. So if one wishes to reach ātmanisthā, 120 one must be active, for that state presupposes the subduing of the senses and is therefore not attainable until the beginningless and endless evil has been annulled by disinterested acts performed to propitiate God There is no existence without activity The gunas 5 of the prakrti - sattva rajas and tamas - increase in accordance with karman and irresistibly compel a man to be active, unless and until this karman has been annulled by karmayoga and these gunas have been conquered, the inner organ will not be purified and jn inayoga will not be practicable. If one attempts to practise jūanayoga before the evil has 6 been annulled and the inner organ purified, one will miss one's aim, 121 in that case one's interest in the objects will cause one to remain fixed on them and to turn away from the atman So one would perish even while practising iffanayoga

3 Karmayoga superior to jñanayoga

Hence it follows that a person who, with a mind devoted to the 7 contemplation of the atman, subdues his senses by performing acts according to the sastras and with those senses attempts karmayoga disinterestedly, is even superior to that person whose position is jiñananistha, for karmayoga will not cause a person to be negligent the acts to be performed are of the same order as the objects with which one is familiar of old and the senses are by nature inclined to be active. With ji innyoga this is not the case.

One must be active because by nature one is conjoined with prakti It is very easy to be active, for one's beginningless vasan't makes one

noiskarma interpreted as 'ji dinamilla" even as samnadia infra ad G 51 to atmanigha "constant devotion to the con emplation of the stman or the means thereof explains G stdd/s (in the same sense in 18,45 queed in 117).

term adopted from GAS 16 almon sth std iti R. mithydedra one whose acts do not correspond to his will."

to bhaktiyoga, through bhakti 115 alone one is capable of attaining God

1 Elucidation

- 3, 1 Problem Now this should mean that karmanistha leads to jñananistha 116 and jñananistha leads to the contemplation of the atman Jñananistha is making the senses and the mind cease operating in the objects But God does actually urge Arjuna to be active, that is to make his senses operate then however, it will be impossible for him to arrive at the contemplation of the atman, for that state can only be attained by stopping the operations of the senses. Therefore, if God wishes to help Arjuna arrive at the contemplation of the atman, he should indeed urge him to make his senses and mind cease operating, that is urge him.
 2 to attempt inneaposthe. So here we arrived the Cod exports his
 - 2 to attempt j\(\tilde{n}\)ananistha So here is a contrad ction God exhorts his pupil to be active in order to be inactive or, in other words, to perform acts ie to make his senses operate in order to arrive at j\(\tilde{n}\)ananistha ie to make his senses cease operating —, j\(\tilde{n}\)ananistha itself being a means of arriving at the contemplation of the atman
 - 3 Answer There are two nisthas jūnanistha and karmanisthā Not everyone is capable of attempting jūnanayoga, only after the impurities of his mind have been washed away and his senses have been subdued by means of disinterested karmayoga which is performed as a propitiation of God 117 will a person be capable of jūnanayoga Therefore jūnanayoga is the position of those who have fixed the buddhi on the atman alone 118 and consequently are capable of jūnanayoga, whereas karmayoga is the position of those who are not yet able to do so and

trindati indicarah to prove that acts are propintations of God

118 sairiki janam G = R alimaharipajaja buddhaj sukta sainki jah sainkhyd

connoting the buddhi as witness ad G 239

attainment of God (cf ÇBh 334243 (Th p 665 667)) besides KaṭhUp 18 quoted here 212 proves that knowledge of the atman is ancillary to that of God 21819 elucidates the proper form of the a 220 22 that of God 223 proves that God can only be worshipped by bhakti and 39 proves that knowledge of God (para 1dyd) results in union

¹¹⁴ anga subordinate ancillary propaedeutic matter of NK sv 111 knjaphaldja:akatic sati n:kl sapl alajanakav,aparaganakan angam

¹¹⁵ R synonymous with yedana upasana dhyana etc (cf CBh 111 pp 8 10 f (Th p 15 ff) and CBh 4 1 3 (Th p 716) where the synonymity of the terms is discussed.

night the taking a firm stand in fixation on constant devotion to as a means of release karmanistha is used synonymously with karmayoga juananistha with jinanayoga the latter is discussed supra ad 2 54-58 to Refer to G 1846 stakermand ten; (God) abhyareya siddhini

10

11

12

Refutation This is only true in case the earned money is not spent in the performance of sacrifices but in gaining a personal end Therefore one should be active in order to acquire the means for performing sacrifices and not for realizing a personal ambition. Being propitiated by such acts God will annul the beginningless vasana and grant a person the contemplation of the atman without hindrance A person who attempts to attain release by executing one of the means thereof but does not sustain his body with the remnants of sacrifices will fail to achieve his end. When creating the world God 127 observed that the creatures were incapacitated by their natural conjunction with beginningless acit, that their distinctions by name-and-form 128 were lost, that they were submerged in himself and that for these reasons, they were incapable of attaining one of man's major ends 128 and therefore only qualified for things non-spiritual, in order to resuscitate them He compassionately created them together with sacrifices and said "By means of this sacrifice you are to cultivate your atmans, 13, this sacrifice will fulfill your aspirations to release and all other desires which are relevant to these aspirations" In what manner, then, should this be done? By sacrificing to the gods, for the gods form God's body 131 and God is their atman, so by sacrificing to the gods one will worship God 132 Then the gods have to nourish their sacrificer so that he will be able to sacrifice to them again and when sacrificer and gods are supporting one another in this way, the former will attain release When worshipped through various sacrifices, the gods - whose atman is God himself — will grant their worshipper the release to which he aspires, thereafter they will grant him all other desires But a person who does not return the desired things which the gods have granted him on the condition that they should be returned to them by means of sacrifices, is a thief such a person is not qualified for release and he will go to hell People partake of impurity 133 when they keep, cook and eat the 13 desired things which have been granted on the condition that they should be returned But if one accepts the desired things that have

when unspecified (nirupādhika) the word prajāpati refers to Nārāhe quotes MahānārUp 11,3

principles of bodily individuation, for a detailed study see Falk, Nama-

the purusārthas dharma, artha, kāma and mokṣa rūpa prasavis) adhvam G = R dimano vyddhim kurudhvam 130

R quotes G 9,24 aham hi sarvayajñānām bhokiā R. for this food will develop into impurity

concomitant 122 with activity, consequently activity will not make one negligent This is the reason why karmanistha is superior to jūānanistha, even for a person who is qualified for jñānayoga jñānayoga is difficult to practise because one is not concomitant with it, for one has never practised it before, for this same reason it will cause a person to be negligent about it Moreover even if one performs acts one cannot be considered the real agent of these acts, 123 this results from the knowledge one has of the atman, as will be proved later on 124 So this means again that karmayoga is superior, because it also implies knowledge of the atman

The superiority of activity to jūānanisthā also applies to a person who is qualified for jūanayoga if one were to renounce all activity and devote oneself exclusively to jñanayoga, then no physical existence would be possible, and consequently jñananistha itself would be impossible for physical existence presents the means of reaching that state One has to sustain one s body until the means are executed This should be done with the remainders of the mahayaiñas 125 which are to be performed at the expense of honestly earned means

Summing up, karmayoga is more important than jñanayoga, even for a person who is qualified for inanayoga, because

- in order to sustain his body a person has to be active in performing sacrifices and the like.
- 2 karmayoga also implies positive knowledge of the atman,
- 3 karmayoga, as distinct from jñānayoga, does not cause a person to be negligent about it, because one is naturally conjoint with prakrti
- 4 The acts to be performed are sacrificial acts
- 9 Objection But the performance of acts eg earning money, will disturb the senses, for all activity implies ahamkara 226 and possessiveness etc , consequently the active person will be tied to samsara by the vasana of his acts

¹²² nizatam G = R száptam prakrtisamserstena hi száptani karma prakrti and hence the prakett conjoined person being invariably concomitant with karman for in beginningless previous lives a habit (vasana) of activity has been formed which makes activity natural and easy as well as compulsory this is not true for manayoga which corresponds to no vasana

¹²³ cf ad st 30

¹²⁴ mira ad 4 18 24
125 cf ad st 9 R quotes ChUp 7,262 dharasuddhan sattrasuddhah etc and G 313 bhungate te (sc. others than sagnasisfasinah) to agham fafa

[&]quot;egons, faculty which brings about the misconception (abhimana) that the atman is prakțti

would be purposeless to execute any means and not calamitous not to execute any, for he contemplates the atman independently of any means Such a person will turn away from all non-spiritual things of his own accord, to him no being 140 and its effect can be an end or a means to an end The various means of release only serve to make a person turn away; being already released, the released one is in no need of them

No activity in executing a means is required if a person does no 19 longer depend on a means for his contemplation of the atman When, however, such activity is still required, then karmayoga is the best means to execute, because it is easy to execute, does not cause a person to be negligent about it, implies true knowledge of the atman and because even a jñānayogin is compelled to be active in order to exist, therefore one should perform acts disinterestedly until one has attained the atman That karmayoga is indeed the best means even for a 20 jñānayogın is proved by the fact that the rājarsis, who were the first of the jñānins, 141 have also availed themselves of karmayoga to attain the ātman 142

6 A person who carries authority is obliged to practise karmayoga

The example that is set by a person of whom it is known that he 21 understands and observes the entire sastra will be followed by all who have incomplete knowledge of the sastra. When that person performs acts, even though these acts are normally performed, and performs them normatively,143 then everybody will do the same A person whose example is followed because he carries authority must perform the acts that correspond to his station and stage of life, in order to save the world Otherwise the world will perish, and the evil that would spring thereof would naturally put an end to his own jñānayoga An 22 example God is not bound to do anything, for there is no desire of his that is not fulfilled, yet He is active in order to save the world If God 23 in the embodiment of Krsna Väsudeva would not devote himself to the activities that are proper to the house of Vasudeva who is himself a leading authority, then everyone would consider that to be his dharma

¹¹⁰ R explains G bhūta by the elements' (ākāra etc.) which are the decelored forms of prakti V adds that the mention of detelopment indicates that bhata("being) means being subject to becoming

using j means being subject to becomes j in the joint jumps that j in the sense of jumps j in the jumps j in j

sumsidanim asimitah G=R argajuktam 'ist to be done as an ancillary to release' framanam G=R argajuktam 'ist to be done as an ancillary to release'

been granted and uses them to propitiate God who is the gods' ātman, ie sacrifices them to propitiate God as God and feeds one's body on the remnants of them, then one will be freed of the impurities which have resulted from beginningless evil and are incompatible with the contemplation of the ātman

From the point of view of the world as well as that of the śastras 14 everything depends on the sacrifice beings 134 arise from food, food from rain, rain from sacrifice, 135 sacrifice from the activity of an acting person, this activity from the body,135 the body depends on the in-15 dividual atman, 136 the composite body and atman, again, arise from food In this manner the bodies of all various adhikārins 137 are rooted in the sacrifice. The above sequence of developments is a circle, alternatively one is cause and effect of the other. A person who exists in a term of this circular development - in a body - but does not, through practising either manayoga or karmayoga, keep moving this circle, which is set in motion by God, by sustaining that body with the remnants of sacrifices, lives in sin 138 He enjoys his senses, not his ātman, for when rajas and tamas preponderate in his body because he feeds his body on food that is not sacrificial, he will turn away from contemplating the atman and enjoy the objects. In that case he will live in vain, even if he were to attempt iñānayoga, for his attempts will be fruitless

5 Only the released need not act

Only 130 the released one who is fixed on the ātman of his own accord, who is content with the ātman and nothing else and to whom the ātman is everything — livelihood, nourishment, experience etc — need not, in order to contemplate the ātman, perform the acts prescribed to his station and stage of life, because he is already contemplating it independently his contemplation does no longer depend on either of the means of release, jīānayoga and karmayoga For such a one it

¹³⁴ R being (bhūta) means body + embodied atman
135 = G brahman-, R refers to MundUp 1,1,9 tad etad brahma nāmarūpām
annam and G 149 mama 30nir mahad brahma to prove that br denotes the

¹³⁶ akşara G=R firdiman-, the j enables the body to be active and in that respect the body "springs from derives its functions from, the firdiman sarvagalam brahma G=R sar ādhikārigalam sarīram (cf. n. 135)

¹³⁸ R proposes two explanations of aghārus- aghārambhāzāszāzus- ('whose life serves to commit sin') and aghāpārināta- āzus- ("a life developed into sin")
139 this expl is in keeping with R's remarks supra st &

should not make the ignorant and unqualified waver in their activities but set for them an example of the practice of karmayoga

8 Agency

a proved to result from the gunas

It does not result from the proper form of the atman that the atman is the agent of acts Agency results from the natural conjunction of the atman with gunas By discriminating between the atman with gunas and without gunas one knows that agency results from the gunas b to be attributed to God

Śruti, smrti and Gīta 118 assert that the Supreme Person can actuate 30 the atman, that the atman is God's body and that God actuates the atman of those who are qualified for it When it is realized that God can actuate the ātman because the ātman is God's body, then it is known that God is the performer of all acts Therefore one should attribute all acts to God, without fostering any hope for their results but reflecting that the acts only serve to propitiate God God himself causes his own acts to be performed by his own atman 180 - which is the agent of those acts -, his acts are effectuated by his own causes and subservient to one end, viz the propitiation of himself When attributing the acts to God one will not appropriate them and one will be freed from one's feverish concern of how to escape from the endless evil that has been piled up since beginningless times, for one realizes that God will cause one's bonds to be loosened when He is propitiated with acts Realizing this one should quietly practise karmayoga

9 The doctrine of the Deity in the upanisads

The sruti 181 states clearly that God is the Lord of everything, that 31 everything is a sesa of him and that He is the governor of all Our text says that this alone is the essential teaching of the upanisads 152 - The people who are fixed on the ātman and are qualified for the sāstra 183

¹⁴⁰ R quotes TaittĀr 3111. BĀU 37,22 (M), Manu 12,122 (read pradaulāram for prasādhitāram), and G 1415, 1861, on the different readings of Kanva and Madhyamdina recensions see CBh 1,4,47 (Th p 403), 21,9

⁽⁴²² f) and 2319 (544)

^{130 1}c the jivatman who is ensouled by God, cf GBh 18, 14 15
181 R quotes here esp CvetUp 67 and MahanarUp 11,3 in addition to the srutis guoted n. 150

uns guoreu in 130 182 see Ved 11 183 that is dimanighasāstra, "the šāstra treating of the ātman' or "the šāstra of those who are atmanistha' (see n 120)

and follow Kṛṣṇa's example. So they would come to neglect their duties and remain inactive; consequently they would not learn to know the 24 atman and go to hell. All authoritative persons who would make their decision about their dharma depend upon Kṛṣṇa's conduct, would remain inactive and perish. So God would bring about chaos and cause all those people to perish. Ariuna, who is looked upon as an authority 144 because he is Pandu's son and Yudhisthira's brother and because he is Arjuna, should follow God's example and practise karmayoga. In 25 the same manner as those who have incomplete knowledge of the ātman and are therefore bound to be active have to practise karmayoga as a means of the contemplation of the atman because they are incapable of jñanayoga which means incessantly practising the knowledge of the atman, in that same manner should those who do have complete knowledge of the atman and are therefore not bound to perform acts practise karmayoga, although they are qualified for iñanayoga, because they carry authority and have to make the authorities who depend on them sure of their dharma. One should not split up the buddhis of the unqualified 145 by saying that there is besides karmayoga another way to the contemplation of the atman; on the contrary, one should by setting an example of activity take care that they enjoy being active, even though one should enjoy practising iñanayoga oneself; and one should do so while realizing that karmayoga constitutes an autonomous 146 means of the contemplation of the ātman, apart from jñānayoga.

7. Acting knowingly and acting unknowingly.

27 A person whose atman is concealed by the misconception that the ego is the ātman 147 does not know the proper form of the ātman and believes that he himself is the one who performs the acts of the gunas. 28 But a person who knows the truth about the divisions of the gunas on the one hand and the corresponding acts on the other hand, realizes that the gunas operate at their effects 148 and therefore takes no interest 29 in the acts of the gunas. If one is qualified for jñānayoga oneself, one

. 145 read with v.l. ojnanam almany akrtsnavittaya jaanayogopapadanafaktanam ...

¹⁴⁴ read Arjuno 'rjunah sistataya vyapadesyah; this expression, lit. "to be recognized as a cultured man (and therefore authoritative)" I have rendered throughout by "authority".

¹⁴⁶ nirapekşa-.

¹⁴⁷ expl. of G. ahamkara-; cf. n. 126.

¹⁴⁸ gings guieten versonte G. S. R. gings sattradayah roagunesu reefskärzein twiante; in other words, it is the gings which act, see below.

should beware of getting in their power, or, if one is in their power, of perishing completely by attempting inanayoga That is why kar- 35 mayoga — which is a man's dharma 187 because it is easy to practice is better than ifianayoga, even though it be performed deficiently, for karmayoga, however deficiently it be performed does not cause a man to be negligent about it, whereas inanayoga - which is not the dharma 155 of a person who is conjoined with prakrti because it is difficult for such a person to practise it - is inferior, even though it be completely performed during some time for in the end it will cause the performer to be negligent about it. I or a man who lives by activity - which is his dharma because he is qualified for it - death is preferable to jñanayoga the reward of karmayoga cannot be obtained after only one existence, later on in a subsequent life karmayoga may be practised without hindrance when all impediments have been removed by the activity in a previous life But jñanayoga is perilous because it causes a person to be negligent about it

Question But what impels a man to experience the objects contrary to his own wishes when he devotes himself to jñanayoga?

Answer Desire Desire arises from the rajas guna of the man who attempts jñānayoga, for being a man he is conjoint with prakrti and prakrti consists of gunas whose function is to originate and annihilate a man The rajas guna arises from ancient vasana it is concerned with the objects of the senses If desire is hindered in its operation it develops into anger ¹⁵⁰ and induces a man to hurt the people who have prevented it from materializing A creature is enveloped in desire, as fire is in smoke desire, which is insatiable by objects and there fore unending, envelops the knowledge of the atman that an individual conjoined with prakrti possesses by nature

38

39

40

Question By what means does desire repress the atman?

Answer By means of senses manas and buddhi these support desire All three are concerned with objects, by means of them desire envelops the knowledge of the atman which a man possesses by nature, that is turns him away from this knowledge and directs him totally to the experiencing of objects In this manner desire turns a person who

¹⁵⁷ G stadharma in its widest sense the dharma of all jivas ie atmans

¹³⁸ G paradharma in its widest sense dharma of one completely different from a jiva > a released person of supra st 17 20 ad 18 37 however a diverging interpretation.

¹⁵⁹ defined n 104

may be divided into three groups 154 1 they observe the sastra, being positive in their decision that the above doctrine is the true meaning of the sastra, 2 they believe that it is the true meaning, without however acting upon it. 3 they do not protest that it cannot possibly be the true meaning, without however believing that it is All three groups will be released from the acts to which they have been in bondage since beginningless times. In other words, even if they do not act upon this meaning but still believe in it, and even if they do not believe in it but still do not deny it, they will be released from their evil because they do believe in it and do not deny it, for soon thereafter 32 they will come to act upon this meaning and attain release Those, however, who do not admit that it is true what God himself maintains, viz that He is the foundation of all atmans because the atman is God's body, that the atman is a sesa of God and can be actuated by God alone and so performs all acts, and those who deny that it is true, are devoid of all knowledge, they are lost and destitute of reason for it is reason by which we have decisive knowledge of the exact nature of a substance 155 They, however, have no such knowledge and no inkling of the truth

10 Why jnanayoga is so difficult to practise

Even if a man knows that the sastras teach that the proper form of the atman is distinct from the prakrit that it has the aforesaid nature and that one should always hold that this is the truth, still he keeps on living in and with the objects conformably to the ancient vasana of his prakrit. Why should this be so? Because the creatures being conjoined with prakrit, will obey their beginningless vasana. And try as they may to restrain them from doing so what can the systras do against creatures that obey their vasana?

Desire and hatred are directed to the objects of the sensoreal as well as of the motorial senses ¹⁵⁶ for desire — which is brought about by the old vāsani — is an urgent longing to experience these objects and hatred appears when this experience is hindered Desire and latred are the factors which prevail over anyone who aspires to jūānajoga and controls his senses, and they carry him back to their own effects One

¹⁸¹ that the persons summed up in st 31 constitute different categories R distils from apr (and also) in te pt

tetahkaryam hi asinyathahmanistayah lit is an effect of the cetah locomotion prehension speech digestion, procreation (see Sinha p 10, YID 4 p 38)

and excessive bhakti No one else but God could know it or teach it, for it is the greatest mystery of the Vedanta

NB Incidental discussion of God's avatara

Question But did not God's birth take place a short time ago? It is 4 contemporary with Arjuna's, whereas Vivasvant lived 28 mahāyugas ago So how should God be understood when He says that He has taught this yoga to Vivasvant at the beginning of the age? It is well known to Arjuna 163 that Krsna 15 the Supreme Person and that it therefore is quite possible that He has taught Vivasvant, so his question can only mean 1 is God's birth illusory - God being not subjected to karman-, 161 or 15 it real, 2 if it is real, then how has God been born in a bodily shape, with what atman, and why, 3 when has God been born, and 4 to what end?

Answer 1 God's birth is real, for God says explicitly that his births 5 in the past have been numerous and He compares his own birth with Arjuna's "My birth, like yours "

2 God comes into being by his own will 165 and in his proper form, 166 without giving up any of the modes of his absolute supernal manifestation 107 but retaining his own nature 108

3 There is no fixed time for the birth of God, whenever the dharma, 7 which is taught by the Vedas and should be observed according to the Vedic precepts for each station and stage of life, is declining and the adharma, the opposite of dharma, is on the increase, God creates

himself in this manner by his own will 4 Whenever God observes that the leading Vaisnavas who follow 8

¹⁸³ R refers to G 10, 12 13 and MBh 2 38,23 where Arjuna heard from Bhisma Krina eva tu lokanam utpattiprabhavapyayah | Krinasya te krite bhatam idam cisvani cardcaram, Arsnarya krie is explained as Krenarya bezobhatam .

as the organition of every being is due to karman it might be thought tavat that the birth of God who is not subjected to karman is illusory

and the birth of God who is not subjected to karman is musory

105 dimamājajā G = R ālmajnānena cf Ch III p 13 f.

106 To corroborate this R quotes CvetUp 38 TauttS 22125, ChUp 16,6,

108 To corroborate this R Quotes CvetUp 38 TauttS 22125, ChUp 16,6,

108 TauttĀr 161 TauttNarUp 118 ChUp 3142 BĀU 436 TauttĀr 3,131

God makes his proper form assume the generic structure (sansthāna) of the class (Az and the control of the control class (of gods men etc jatt) in which He wishes to be born

K sc his being unborn, unujung etc.

103 praktium G = R stabha.am compare Intr s asvabhavam ajahad eva, and CBh 1,31 (Th p 297) devadinam samasrayaniyat-aya tattajjatirahasam shandangunakarnasamanvilali stakiyam stabharam ajahad eva steechaya behindha endsale parah purusah and also CBh 1,1,22 (Th. p 241), on samsthana, see Sinha, pp 49 52 and 79-81

attempts jñānayoga — 1e stops making his senses function — away from the ātman and toward the objects. Therefore, if a man is conjoint with prakiti and consequently inclined to make his senses function, he should immediately when attempting to execute a means for release try to control his senses by means of karmayoga 1e by making his senses function — and so put an end to desire which annuls the knowledge of the atman as well as the knowledge that ātman and prakiti are different 100

The senses are called an important impediment of knowledge as long as the senses keep operating in objects knowledge of the atman cannot possibly be produced A more important impediment is the manas as long as the manas is concerned with objects, there will be no knowledge of the ātman, even if the senses have been restrained Still more important than the manas is the buddhi for even if the manas is turned away from the objects, there will still be no knowledge of the ātman as long as the buddhi is formed with a contrary decision. But even if all of them, senses, manas and buddhi, have ceased but desire does persist, then desire will again induce a person to operate in the objects and thereby prevent the knowledge of the ātman from originating. When one has come to know this desire, which is incompatible with knowledge and directed one's manas to karmayoga by means of the buddhi, set then one should put an end to this desire.

D KARMAYOGA (continued)

1 Karmayoga 15 known of old

4, 1—2 God has taught the yoga not only in this period but also in the beginning of this age 102 — then to Vivasvant —, as a means of attaining the release which is man's supreme end, and He has done so in order to save the world. The rajarsis of old knew this yoga in its succession from Vivasvant to Manu and from Manu to Iksvaku But for a long time most of the yoga has been lost because of the stupidation of the people who heard it. This yoga — essentially the same as the ancient one — God has now explained at length to Arjuna with cell that it involves, because Arjuna has resorted to God out of love

istils i_{155}^{156} distils i_{155}^{156} deg of difference between more objects ($v_1 \vee j_{155}^{156}$ "to know from") i_{155}^{156} (almahans manasa G=R manal) buddh, i_{15}^{156} for the frequent equation of YID i_{156}^{156} manasa, see n. 280 and Ch. V, p. 36

like - by sacrificing to them in accordance with the precepts of the sastras, but nobody worships God himself, who is the atman of those divinities and the enjoyer of all sacrifices, 173 by taking no interest in the results of their sacrifices Why not? Because in all worlds 174 the act is soon followed by its result eg son, cattle etc, heaven etc Because the unending evil piled up in beginningless times has not been annulled, all those worldly people lack discernment, therefore they want rapid results from their acts and perform for the sake of a son, cattle, heaven etc all various acts by which homage is paid not to God, but to the divinities Nobody feels sufficiently vexed with samsara to aspire to release and to practise the karmayoga, which is a propitiation of God, in order to attain release

13

14

How then is the evil annulled? It is God who creates maintains and dissolves 175 the entire universe which is organized with the system of the four stations and divided by the three gunas and by the acts corresponding to the gunas However, although God is creator He is not agent How is that possible? Because 1 his acts — variform crea tion etc — do not bind him, ie the variety in creation, which is caused by the existence of variform beings, gods, men etc is not brought about by God, but by variform karman, good and evil so, by discriminating between both facts, viz that not God but karman brings about the variety in creation, it is proved that God is not agent, 2 only the ksetrajñas, who have received body and organs at the time of creation, all experience the objects — likewise created — in accordance with their karman, and they do so because they desire the results of their acts, experiences etc It is these ksetrajñas who desire the results of the act of creation, not God 176 God 18 only the efficient cause of the creation of the creatures, gods, men etc , whereas the powers of the various kinds of karman of the ksetrajñas - who are creatures constitute the material cause of the variety of gods, men etc in creation So, in order to exist in a variety of forms, the ksetrajñas require God only as the author of creation, 177 all the rest is their own doing, for it is the innate power of their previous karman which causes them to be the substances, god, man etc, which they are 178 Now, a person who

¹¹³ cf G 924 aham hi sarvayajñānām bhoktā

¹⁷⁴ R G manuse loke implies all worlds (of prakrti)

¹¹⁵ R G maya striam implies maya rakryate and upasaminiyate
116 R quotes VS 2,1,34 and VP 1451-52 see my remarks Ch III p 15 117 paraphrasis of VP 1451, operant cause mmittakarana, material cause

pradhanakarana ci Srimiyasachari Finite Self, p 37

¹⁷⁸ paraphrasis of VP 1,4,52.

the dharma 169 try to resort to God but cannot achieve their end because God's name, acts and being are inaccessible to speech and thought, that they do not perceive him and therefore cannot sustain their atman to attain beatitude, and that they consequently have a thousand miscon ceptions in a moment's time, then God comes into being in that parti cular age so that He may rescue them by allowing them to behold his proper form and acts and to listen to his words and that He may destroy the unrighteous and restore the declining Vedic dharma - which con stitutes a propitiation of God -- by revealing to them his proper form most worthy of propitiation

When a man knows God's birth and acts to be truly God's - 1e that they are essentially God's and not the prakrti's, that they serve only to rescue the righteous and lead them to God, and that they are of God whose birth is not a common natural conjunction of the atman with the evil prakrti and gunas in which karman is rooted, but who possesses all beautiful qualities -, then he will suffer no more births himself On the contrary, he will attain God after all his sins, which prevent him from attaining God, have been washed away by his true knowledge of God's birth and acts and after he has resorted to God in his present life in the manner which God has explained, loving God alone and concentrating on God alone

10 Many, in fact, have been purified in this manner by their exact 11 knowledge 170 of God's births and acts 171 However, God does not only rescue those who resort to him in the shape of one of his avataras, by descending into that shape alone, but He reveals himself to all who resort to him, whatever the shape in which they represent him. In fine, all people who wish to follow God alone do follow God's nature however maccessible it be to their speech and thought - by having perfect evidence of him in all shapes 172 in which they may represent

2 The rarity of karmayogins

Q

12 Still, but a few do actually follow God, for everyone who desires direct results from his acts worships the divinities - Indra and the

^{100 =} G sādhu read uktalakşanadharmasilā

^{170 =} G mānatapasā

¹⁷¹ R cites TaittAr 3132 taisa dhira parijananti 30nim, G yoni meaning here the manner in which He his born For definitions of raga, bhaya- and 172 = G stavašah

- 4 Karmayoga implies knowledge
- a The knowledge implied is the knowledge that the atman is distinct from prakrts

Karmayoga has the form of knowledge because it involves the 18 knowledge that the atman is distinct from the body 184 When a man perceives that acts, when they are performed, have the form of knowledge because they are realizations what the atman really is, and when he perceives that this knowledge has the form of action because it is implied in action, then he knows the purpose of the sastras and is capable of release, for by every act which he performs he seeks to realize this purpose of the sastras

b Proof

Question How is it evidently proved that acts, when they are per- 19 formed have the form of knowledge?

Answer It is proved by the fact that, if an aspirant performs his acts without interest in the results and without the misconception that the ātman is identical with prakrti and gunas, it is said by people who know the truth 185 that this aspirant is a sage whose previous karman has been annulled by the essential knowledge which is implied in his acts By virtue of their knowledge of the truth it is an established fact that acts have the form of knowledge, for if a man renounces his 20 personal interest in the results of his acts and is entirely satisfied by his own eternal atman and if he in that spirit, performs his acts without directing his buddhi to the non eternal prakrti then he does not really act, even though he be actively engaged in acting, in other words, he practises knowledge in the disguise of action If a man has his citta and 21 manas under control and is not possessive with regard to a common thing of the prakrti because he has only the atman in mind, and if he, then, performs purely bodily acts without aiming at their results, he will not fall a prey to samsara, 188 in other words, he contemplates the ātman by such acts, that is by mere karmayoga without intercession of jūanayoga When a man is perfectly contented with the things which 22 happen to be at hand for the sustenance of his body, when he endures the pairs of opposites — cold heat etc — while he is executing the means of release, then he is exempt from all envy, for he realizes

see R.'s definition of karmayoga ad 2,39

read -dagdhafracinakarmanam budhas tativajna ahuli

¹⁸⁰ kilbişam G = R samsāram

knows that God, though being agent of creation, is still non-agent and that God has no interest in the results of his acts of creation etc., will no longer be tied by his previous acts which are performed for the sake of their results and therefore prevent his undertaking karmayoga and cause him to have interest in results; in other words, he will be 15 released. Having purified themselves by means of this same knowledge about God, the aspirants of old performed their acts: in the same manner one should purify oneself by means of the said knowledge of God and perform the acts which previously have been performed by those aspirants 179 whom God had taught voga. God now proceeds to discuss the form of these acts

3. It is difficult to know these acts.

16

The aforementioned aspirants, although they did have some knowledge, did not know the proper form of the acts which should be performed 180 and the proper form of the knowledge which these acts imply.181 God will explain this now. If one knows and observes it, one will be released from the bondage of samsara. 17

Why is it so hard to know? Because 1 one should know exactly what constitutes an act that is a means of release; 2. one should know the periodical, occasional and desiderative acts which are naturally variform because they involve the acquisition of things required for their performance; 3. one should know what the knowledge itself is. 168 It is difficult for an aspirant to come to know the way of karman, i.e. to realize that the sastras aim at only one result with all the acts which they prescribe, viz. the resulting release.183 and to realize, when one has come to know which are the acts, that one should renounce the variety resulting from the variety of the results of periodical, occasional and desiderative acts, of the acquisition of the various means required for their performance etc.

(= jnana-, see above n. 181).

182 R. refers to G. 2,41.

¹⁷⁹ R.: viz. Vivasvant, Manu and Iksvāku of 4,1. 180 = G. karma.

¹⁸¹ G. akarma in the sense of jāāna-, this meaning parallel with that of noiskarmya- which was taken to mean jñānayoga (3,3) and akorman- "id." (3,8); further on (st. 4,18 ff.; 6,1-2) R. will explain that jfianayoga merges into karmayoga; this fidma- is kartur almano yathalimyafidma- "true knowledge about the agency of the atman", discussed 5,8-9.

182 resp. G. I. karman-, 2. vikarman- (vi- expressing variety), and 3. akarman-

task; of the threefold 192 controlling of the breath; and of the restricting 29of meals. Those who perform karmayoga while sustaining their body by means of sacrificial remainders will go to the eternal Brahman. 163 But he who does not devote himself to periodical, occasional and desiderative acts - mahāyajñas etc. - will not be able to achieve man's worldly ends 104 — dharma, artha and kāma —, let alone man's supreme end, release.

So there are many ways of practising karmayoga and all of them 32 lead to true knowledge of the ātman. 103 All of them result from periodical and occasional acts which are being performed day by day. When one knows and observes this, then one will be released.

Importance of the component of knowledge in karmayoga.

An act has two forms, knowledge and substance; of these two the 33 component of knowledge is more than that of substance, because an act with all its accessories is perfected in knowledge. This knowledge, which is obtainable by all various means, can be practised by activity. If that is done, then this knowledge will in due time reach the stage where it is within the grasp of the active person. When a man devotes 34 himself to the acts which are set forth by God,190 then he should by prostration, questioning and attendance invite those sages who have immediate presentation of the proper form of the atman to teach him so that he may acquire their knowledge; when these sages are honoured by his prostration etc. and observe his mental disposition by the questions which he puts to them in his desire for knowledge, they will teach him this knowledge.

7. The immediate presentation of the proper form of the atman.

This immediate presentation 197 is the knowledge which will keep 35 the person who possesses it safe from perplexity, i.e. from the misconception that the atman is the body, this perplexity being the seat

¹⁹² sc. paraka-, recaka- and kumbhaka-. obviously in the sense of atman, as in st. 32.

expl. of G. tokan.

195 vitata brahmano mukhe G. = R. almayathatmyawaptisadhanataya sthilah;

for this sense of mukha- cf. the expression -mukhena "by means of".

¹⁹⁰ R.: G. 2,17-39 where the samkhye buddhih was discussed. 187 saksatkara-; on this term see CBh. 1,1,1, p. 10 (Th. p. 16); YID. 2, p. 6, and Lacombe, ASV. 349-350 "le disciple écoute (frataga-) docilement et avec foi la lecon du maitre, réfléchit (manona-) sur son contenu.... puis sa méditation (nididhyasana-) se concentre, se simplifie, devient de plus en plus intuitive; à la limite ce sera l'intuition pure (sakțatkara-) de l'essemielle verité".

that his own acts alone are the cause of the adversity effected by others; and when he looks at the success and the failure of his acts with equanimity, then, however active he may be, he is not of the samsāra. When a man is interested in nothing but the ātman and 23 consequently is detached from all possessions and lives to perform acts of sacrifice etc. as discussed above, then he will be completely released from his previous karman which is the cause of his bondage.

c. Acts imply the realization that they consist of God.

The entire act consists of Brahman because it is of Brahman's nature: 24 the sacrifice is Brahman,187 the utensils are Brahman, the fire in which the sacrifice is offered is Brahman, and the sacrificer himself is Brahman. He, who contemplates this insight. contemplates the act-as-Brahman. Such a one is capable of knowing the proper form of the ātman — which is Brahman — through his acts, because his acts are of Brahman's nature. In other words, the acts performed by an aspirant have the form of knowledge because they imply the realization that they consist of Brahman and are therefore themselves a means of contemplating the atman without the intercession of iñanayoga. 188

5. Different kinds of karmayoga.

25 There are several kinds of karmayoga: karmayoga may take the form of sacrifices by which the gods are worshipped: 189 or of the 26 sacrifice which is Brahman; or of controling the senses; of frustrating 27 the manas' 190 inclination to the activities of senses and pranas; karma-28 yoga may take the form of worship of gods at the expense of honestly acquired means; of the practice of charity; of yagas and homas; of devotion to austerities; of pilgrimages to tirthas and holy places; 191 of the task of Vedic study; of the knowledge of the object of that

¹⁸⁷ R.: brahmarpanam is adjectival to havih: "the sacrifice, the utensils of which are Brahman"; "an arpana- is that with which a sacrifice is offered, viz. a spoon etc.",

¹⁸⁸ this passage is not clear; on the one hand brahman- is equated with the Supreme Person (karmanah parabrahmabhataparamaburusatmakatva...), on the other with the atman (brahmabhatam atmasvarapam); moreover the realization that the acts "consist of" God is called a "means of directly contemplating the ātman"; it seems that R. interprets br. = Supreme Person in 24 a, b & d, and = ātman in 24 c; only if so twisted the passage makes some sense.

^{189 =} G. daivam yajñam.

¹⁹⁰ dima- G. = R. manah-,

¹⁹¹ this sense is given to yoga- in G. yogayajñās to distinguish it from karmayoga- of which it is a subspecies.

aim that has been set forth, will no longer be tied to samsara by the 42 endless acts of old which cause his bondage Therefore, dispel the doubts of the atman, which result from beginningless ignorance, by means of the knowledge of the atman present in your own heart, the knowledge which you have been taught by God, and undertake the yoga which you have been taught by God and engage yourself in work!

E KARMAYOGA (continued)

1. Karmayoga is to be preferred to inanayoga as a means of attaining the ātman.

Question God praises on the one hand jñanay oga 204 and on the other 5, 1 hand karmayoga, for in Lecture Two it has been demonstrated that an aspirant to release should first practise karmayoga and afterwards, when his internal organ has been purified, practise jñanayoga 205 On the other hand it has been said in Lectures Three and Four 200 that even with regard to a person who is qualified for jñāna) oga karmayoga is superior to manayoga as a means of attaining the atman Now, which one of the two, karmayoga or jñānayoga, is really the better means of attaining the atman, i e., which one is more easily practicable and more rapidly efficacious? 207

Answer. Both karmayoga and jñānayoga are even for a person 2 entitled to practise jñanayoga equally autonomous means of attaining beatitude But karmayoga is better than jñānayoga Why? Because a 3 karmayogin who, being completely satisfied by the cognition of the ātman implied in karmayoga,208 does not desire anything different from the atman and consequently does not hate anything, and who resignedly endures the pairs of opposites, has for ever taken a firm stand in knowledge 200 Such a one will find no difficulty in loosening his bonds, for he is firmly fixed on karmayoga which is easy to practise If one 4 were to teach that karmayoga and jūānayoga 210 have different results in that karmayoga only results in jñānayoga and that jñānayoga alone

Tio = G somethyo. 'knowledge of the atman" (cf supra ad 2, 39, p. 77) but the latter not the former

²⁰⁴ затпудзат karmanām G = R. 1ñānayogam.

²⁰⁸ see ad 2,53

²⁰⁰ resp 3.4-8 and 4,16-24

²⁰¹ saukaryae chaighryae ca, terms adopted from GAS 9

see G 4, 18-23 antyaramnyari G = R. mityariidnamirthuh, ramnyara in the sense of manage (ci acareta in 6 41/, n 101), derived that karrayoga impl es iffanay

of all attitudes caused by that misconception, such as possessiveness and the like. By this knowledge one will perceive in one's own atman the beings which one knows to exist in a plurality of forms. Then one perceives that all beings exist totally in God, for the atman is equal to God's being when it is exempt from name-and-form; all atmans, if 36 free from prakrti, are equal to one another 108 and to God. 109 Even if a man is the worst of sinners, he will in virtue of this knowledge of the atman lose the wickedness which was peculiar to him before. The knowledge of the proper form of the atman annuls the previous acts which adhere to the atman and have been piled up since beginningless times. Nothing in the world purifies more than this knowledge; therefore it annuls all evil. He who has reached perfection by practising karmayoga daily in its form of knowledge in the above manner, will in due time and of his own accord find this knowledge about his own ātman.200 He who believes that the said knowledge will increase, who 39 has focused his mind thereupon and who restrains his senses and keeps them away from all other objects, will soon reach the aforesaid stage of maturity and acquire that knowledge; soon thereafter he will attain the supreme nirvana.201 40

When a man does not acquire this knowledge by having it taught him, when he does not strive to increase this knowledge if it is taught him, and when he is doubtful of this knowledge, then he will perish. When this knowledge is doubted, then neither the worldly ends of man 202 — dharma, artha and kāma —, nor man's supreme end release — can be achieved, because all ends of man can only be achieved through the acts which are prescribed by the sastras and because the achievement of ends through acts presupposes the presence of the decisive knowledge that the atman is different from the body. There-41 fore, he who doubts this knowledge will find no happiness at all. That man, however, whose acts do have the form of knowledge, whose doubts of the atman are dispelled, in the manner that has been explained, by the knowledge of the atman, and whose mind 203 is focused on the

37

R. refers to G. 5,19 nirdosam hi samam brahma (= the totality of the ātmans).

R. refers to G. 14,2 and MundUp. 3,1,3, the atman is equal to God in so far as it is separated from praketi.

²⁰⁰ V .: atrātmanīti vişayasaptamī.

v.: aramanı rışayasaptamı, 201 idntim G. = R. nirvanam (cf. G. 6,15), nirvana- being the effect of idnti- (cf. 2,71-72); nirvana- should be taken in the sense of 2,72 (see n. 111): 202 as supra st. 31.

²⁰³ Almavantam G. = R. manasvinam.

results in the contemplation of the atman, then one's knowledge would be incomplete Both in fact have one and the same result, for both result in the contemplation of the atman and are, therefore, optional 211

Still, there is no jñānayoga without karmayoga When a person, ĸ who is accustomed to reflect on the atman,212 practises karmayoga, he will have no difficulty in completing the karmayoga and he will soon reach the atman 213 of his own accord, whereas a person who practises jñanayoga will find it very difficult to complete his jñanayoga and therefore he will reach the atman only after a long time When a man practises karmavoga his manas 214 will be purified because the acts prescribed by the sastras to which he devotes himself are propitiations of God and therefore pure in themselves. He will meet no difficulty in controlling his manas as his manas is concomitant with the acts which he performs 215 consequently he will subdue his senses. His atman is the atman of all beings because his devoted practice implies the realization that the atman is essentially non agent 216 Such a one, active though he be, will not be tied by the misconception that the atman is prakrti and therefore he will soon attain the atman Hence it is clear that karmayoga is superior to jñanayoga because it is more easily practicable and more rapidly efficacious

2 The realization that the atman is non agent

He who, in virtue of his true knowledge of the atman."17 avows that 8-9 the sensoreal and motorial senses and the pranas operate in their own objects will thereby know that he himself does not do anything, in other words, he knows that this agency is effected by the natural conjunction of his proper form with senses and pranas rooted in karman and does not result from the proper form of his atman 218 whose proper 10 being is knowledge and nothing else. If a person has in this manner

```
hence jñānayoga (st 4) hence jñanayogin (st 5 cf n 118)
 211 expl of G cham
 212 = G munth see supra n 103
```

217 tatteant G = R atmatattrant 'who knows the atman to be an entity of its own kind

218 in the text mama stands for atmanah

[&]quot;13 = G brahman of my remarks in Ch V 214 resuddhatma and regulatma G = R -mana

cf n 122

²¹⁸ kartır atmano zathatmyanusanıdhananısthataya "because he is firmiy fixed on the true realization regarding the agency of the atman (same expression supra ad 416 n 181) R because of this knowledge (se that the atman being not agent stands in no relation to prakrti) all atmans are equal differences being brought about by prakets R refers to G 519

3. experiences which the different agents have of the results of their acts

Question. Then what brings about agency, acts and the experiencing of results?

Answer. The vasana of the prakṛti 222 which is an effect of the misconception that gods etc. constitute the atman; this misconception itself is an effect of the atman's conjunction with the forms of gods etc. and this conjunction is an effect of ever previous karman that has been brought about in beginningless times.

Therefore the atman does not take away the sufferings of a being - a son etc. - which is dear to the atman because the atman is related to it; nor does the atman take away the happiness of a being because that being is odious to the ātman; 223 for the ātman does not have a body that has anything in common with any body of gods etc.,224 so that the atman is neither related to anyone nor vexed with anyone. All this is the effect of vasana.

Question. But how then is it possible that such a vāsanā, which is contrary to one's proper nature, originates at all?

Answer. Because a person's knowledge is entirely concealed by his previous karman which serves to qualify that person to experience the results of his acts; this previous karman is incompatible with knowledge. While concealing this knowledge that karman produces the ātman's conjunction with a body and produces at the same time the misconception that the atman is this body. This misconception produces its own vasana as well as that of the acts corresponding to this misconception. From this vāsanā again arise the misconception that the atman is prakṛti, and all kinds of activity which are rooted in this misconception.

4. Ignorance sublated by knowledge, 225

15

16

When however this ignorance, this accumulation of beginningless and endless acts, which conceals the knowledge of the atman, is sublated by the knowledge of the atman produced by the teaching of the truth about the atman, and when this powerfully purifying knowledge is enriched by daily practice, then this natural supreme, restrictionless and limitless knowledge reveals to the atmans 228 everything as it is,

²²² svabhāvah G. = R. prakrtivāsanā.

²²³ read kasyacit pratikalatayanabhimatasya. 224 expl. of G. zibhu-.

R. connects this with G. 4,36-37.

from the plural tesam (sc. almanam) R. derives a further proof of the

just like the sun The express mention in the text of the distinct individuality of atmans which exist in a plurality, side by side with the word knowledge, proves that this knowledge is an essential quality of the atman 227 The illustrative comparison just like the sun makes clear that the relation knower knowledge is as that of luminosity luminary 228 So it is an established truth that at the stage of samsara the knowledge is restricted by acts, and that at the stage of release this knowledge shines in its fullest measure Therefore, when one has 17 formed such positive decision 200 to contemplate the atman, when one has fixed his mind upon it and when one practises this knowledge in preference to anything else because it is one's highest object, then the old impurities will be washed away by the knowledge which is practised in this way and one will attain the atman in that pure form from which one will never more return to samsara the atman in its essential form

5 The equality of the atmans

He who knows the proper form of the atman 230 will see that same form in the atmans of all other creatures - however dissimilar these creatures may appear -, because all atmans have only one form, knowledge Inequality is of the prakrti, not of the atman Consequently one will perceive that the atmans are the same in all creatures, because all have the same form, knowledge Now, at the stage where the means 19 of release are executed,231 1e in samsara, an aspirant will succeed when his manas is in the said manner focused on the equality of the ātmans All atmans are equal to one another, as long as the ātmansubstance 222 is not conjoined with prakrti In other words, a man is

existence of a plurality of atmans as declared in 212 (to which he refers), the fact that all ignorance has been sublated (ondnon nasstam dimonod), st. 16 b) proves that this plurality cannot be effected by upadhis (this against Yadava),

the doctrine of dharmabhūtajnāna, see Srimvasachari, VA., p. 1724, for in enlightenment no upadhis can be left.

230 tadbuddhayah G = R tatha rdhalmadarsanadha atusajah, for adhawa-taja-characteries buddhi- (cf. supra ad 2,41)

- paraphrasis of G fanditah

 at = G thatta "here on earth, before re'ease"
- 222 brahman- G = R. Almatostu

²²⁸ So curiously Apres text jndffindnayoh prabhaprabhatutor reductihanom, Varadachari Theory of knowledge, p. 62. which would mean that the judit- is a dharma of juanal if ddityout is to be connected with judician it should be explained by sun light (so V), the relation knower knowledge would be as luminary luminosity (prabhily atprabhl) which indeed is the case (cf CBh 111, p. 4) (Th. p. 59)) the text could be restored

released when he realizes that the atmans are equal to one another because essentially they all have one and the same form, knowledge

20 Question What qualities should a kaimayogin possess if he desires to reach that stage of matured development of his knowledge at which he may perceive that all atmans are equal?

Answer He learns to know the proper form of the atman 233 by the teachings of sages who know the atman as an entity 234 He is diligent in practising that knowledge and throws off the delusion that the atman is the body. He remains fixed on contemplating at his pleasure the atman as an eternal principle. In consequence of all this he feels neither joy nor hatred when he experiences pleasant or unpleasant things of the prakrti A person who in this manner turning away his manas from

21 objects other than his atman finds his sole happines in the atman within himself, will no longer practise prakrti, for now his manas is qualified to practise brahman and he finds the everlasting bliss which is the experience of brahman or the atman 233 It is not difficult to give up experiencing the prakrti, for one will 22

find that these experiences which result from the contacts of the senses with the objects, are ephemereal and productive of suffering he who knows what they are will not enjoy them When a man at the stage of 23 samsara,236 where the means to release are executed, is capable of conquering the violence, which is effected by desire and anger, by his longing for the experience of the atman, then he is capable of experiencing the atman, 227 as soon as he is released from his body he will attain 24 the bliss of experiencing the atman 238 A yogin is brahman 239 and attains the blissful experience of the atman when he completely renounces the experiencing of the external objects, finds his sole happiness in experiencing the atman, is fixed totally on the atman, increases his

happiness only by contemplating the atman and its qualities,240 and 25 restricts his knowledge to the atman alone Then, when he is released from the pairs of opposites, when he has subdued the manas and

^{233 =} G brahmavit brahman- being atmavastu

[&]quot;31 R tatt avidam to be explained as supra n 217

²³³ brahmani G = R sthirarūpātmāvalokanaprijānubhave, where sthirarūpa is adjectival to anubhava (cf n 220)

^{236 =} G shawa n 231 237 = G suktah < qualified for

^{238 =} G sukht

²³⁹ here and infra brahman is to be explained by 'atman though not essentially different interpretation of bral maniradna see ad 272 240 these qualities being mityatva jinanatva anandatva akarmavasyatva etc. (V ad 635)

focused it on the ātman, when he is interested in all that is salutary to all creatures in so far as they are ātmans,²⁴¹ and when he is absorbed in the contemplation of the ātman, then all his impurities which are incompatible with the attainment of the ātman will be washed away and he will attain the beatitude of brahman. When a man is possessed of all these qualities, he will find no difficulty in attaining brahman; those who are exempt from desire and ange, who are wont to exert themselves and who have subdued and conquered their manas, have the beatitude of brahman within their reach.

Yoga.²⁴²

A man may be released, not only at the stage where the means for release have been brought to a successful end, but already at the stage where they are being executed — in saṃsāra —, viz. by practising Yoga: Having completely stopped the functioning of the external senses, sitting with his trunk straightened in a posture ²¹³ proper to yoga, equalizing his inhalatory and exhalatory breath circulating in his nose, he lives contemplating the ātman. His senses, manas and buddhi are no longer capable of anything except operating at the contemplation of the ātman, and — his sole object being release — he has lost all desire, fear and anger.

To conclude, the karmayoga, which involves the obligation of performing periodical and occasional acts and which culminates in Yoga, is easy to practise: he who knows that God is the enjoyer of sacrifices and austerities, the supreme Lord of the worlds,²⁴⁴ the friend of all' beings, will meet no difficulty in practising karmayoga, for karmayoga is worship of God, and all beings worship their friends devotedly.

III THE CONTEMPLATION OF THE ATMAN

1. Karmayoga leading directly to the contemplation of the atman.

A person who does not aim at the results of his acts but who regards 6, 1 the acts themselves as his sole aim because they are propitiations of

²⁴¹ R.: atmavat sarveşam bhatanam hitesu niratah.

²⁴² R.: this is the end and apex of karmayoga; as such it is equivalent with yoga- in the sense of "contemplation of the atman" (2.53); the yoga is further discussed in Lecture 6.

or: on a seat (dsana-), cf. 6,11.
R. refers to CvetUp. 6,7.

God and does not intend to gain anything by them, is firmly fixed on ıñanayoga 245 as well as on karmayoga which are both means of the attaining yoga or the contemplation of the atman But a person who is solely fixed on inanayoga 240 is not fixed on the karmayoga as well, such a one is devoted exclusively to knowledge as his means of release, whereas a man who is devoted to activity has both activity and knowledge Karmayoga, as defined above, also implies knowledge that which is called inanayoga 247 by those who know the proper form of the atman is actually karmayoga A man, who has not yet realized what the atman is, and therefore not freed himself from the misconception that the atman is prakrti, cannot be 248 a karmayogin who practises one of the kinds of karmayoga which have been enumerated above

3

By karmayoga alone one is able to succeed in yoga because in karmayoga one does not risk being negligent about it When a man aspires to the contemplation, i.e. to release,248 karmayoga will cause him to succeed, only when the contemplation of the atman has already been secured.250 will manayoga, 1e mactivity, cause him to succeed, or, in other words, a man must perform acts until he has attained release 4 Now, when has a man attained yoga? When the yogin is no longer able to interest himself in the objects of prakrti differing from the atman or in corresponding acts, because naturally he does not experience anything but the atman, for then all delusions have gone. When a man wishes to attain yoga, then he can only do so by practising karmavoga. for at that stage one is still unable not to experience the objects and therefore karmayoga is one's only resource, because karmayoga means practising one's interest in objects. However, one should save oneself by disengaging one's mind from its interest in objects and not perish 6 by neglecting to do so In the first case the manas 251 will be one's friend, in the latter it will be one's enemy and bring about the opposite of beatitude

²⁴⁵ sammadsi G = R indnavogamisthah cf supra n 204 and n 209

²⁴⁶ R interprets G mragnih and akriyah as 'not undertaking karmayoga (which involves sacrificial acts 529) and therefore exclusively managogin" 247 = G samnyasa

²⁴⁸ R. quotes G 419 to prove that all karmayogins have forsaken this misconception

²⁴⁹ arurukşor muner 30gam G = R atmavalokananı praptum sechor mumuksor, this sense of roga in G 253

²⁵⁰ jogaradhasja G = R. pratisflutajogasja (joga- in the sense of n 249)
251 corresponds to G dimon- in stt 4 and 5

There is another way Intemperance is incompatible with yoga, but -17 if one is temperate, then yoga will until one's bonds. When the intent 18 citta is constantly and invariably fixed and when one has lost all desire

for any object, then one has attained voga -58 The atman is like a lamp 19 shining unflickeringly because it is sheltered so the atman shines with motionless knowledge because all other activities of the mind have ceased In yoga the citta, in which all activities have ceased, rejoices 20

in incomparable felicity. In yoga a man perceives the atman with his mind,259 is satisfied with it and indifferent to all other objects. In yoga 21 a man enjoys perfect bliss which is beyond all senses and only comprehensible by the buddhi of the atman Once a man is in yoga he will not be able to move from his condition 200 because while in voga he enjoys boundless bliss. Once a man has attained yoga he does not wish 22

for anything else when he has awaked from yoga, and when one is constantly in yoga, no grievous suffering can disturb one's equilibrium This is the yoga which is called knowledge, the knowledge strictly 23 opposite to conjunction with suffering,201 and this knowledge a man

must possess And to acquire it he must begin with this decision that the yoga is such as described above and thereafter practise this yoga with a happy cetas

3 Desire and how to conquer it

24 There are two kinds of desires 1 those resulting from contacts, 2 those resulting from wishes Of these two kinds only the latter can be relinquished and that may be done in virtue of the realization that they have nothing to do with yoga As to the former, desires resulting from contacts, one may reliquish the joy or hatred which one feels with respect to them When one has done so and then withdraws the totality 25 of the senses from all objects and gradually abstains from everything that is not the atman by virtue of the buddhi - the one concerned with distinction 262 -, then one must submerge the manas entirely in the atman and think of nothing but the atman And wherever the manas 26 - which does not remain constantly fixed on the atman on account

yuktalı G = R xogarüdhalı in the above sense (n. 250) 200 $\Delta tmond$ G = R u anasa

²⁰⁰ tallvatals G = R tadbhated 'on account of being yoge sthitah" 261 R. tain duhkhasamijogatinjogam duhhasamijogapratjanikakaram

²⁰² dhetigehitaja G = R. trieka reajaja (se discrimination between Atman and prakrii), <dhrti- in the sense of dharana? But ef V prakrantaladainthilaterrapasa dhrteb

of its volatile nature — swerves from the atman in search of objects to which it is propelled, there one must strenuously subdue the manas in the ātman, in order to attain incomparable bliss. The yogin whose manas is immovably fixed on the atman, whose impurities are thereby completely burned away, whose rajas guna is wholly annulled and who therefore exists in his own proper form, ²⁶³ will find perfect felicity which is the experience of the atman without difficulties he will enjoy 28 the boundless bliss which is the experience of the ātman

4 Four degrees in perfectly developed yoga

At the highest stage of development of yoga there are four degrees a The ātmans of all creatures are equal when their proper form is separated from prakrti, for all of them have one and the same form, knowledge, inequality is of the prakrti. This proves that a person, who has brought his ātman into yoga, will see similarity in all ātmans when separated from prakrti, 204 he will see that his own atman is in all beings and that all beings are in his own atman, in other words he will see that his own atman has the same form as the ātmans of all other beings and contrarivise, so that he has seen all that is atman when he has seen one ātman 205

b A man, who has reached the highest stage of development and in the said manner views the equality of God and all atman when the latter exists in its proper form beyond good and evil, will view God in all atmans and all atmans in God, in virtue of their mutual equality he will see by the one what is the other That man indeed views the proper form of the atman, and God will not vanish out of his sight, for God is equal to that form of the atman, nor will that man vanish out of the sight of God who views in him Himself, when in virtue of his equality to God he views his atman as the equal of God c At which stage will yoga develop into full maturity? When a yogin who views his atman in all beings becomes one with them because all have the same form of unrestricted knowledge, and when he renounces the differences of the prakri and devotes himself steadfastly to God, then — whatever condition he is in, even at the moment of awaking

²⁶³ brahmabhütam G == R. svasvarüpenävasihitam, brahman- equated with

²⁰⁴ sarvatra G = R. prakrimyukiesv aimasu

²⁰³ R. quotes in support G 6,33 (simsena) and 5,19 (samam)

from yoga — he will always view his equality to God in his own ātmans and in all beings.260

32 d. He reaches the summit when he no longer perceives any difference between happiness and suffering of his own ātman and those of other ātmans inasmuch as his ātman and the ātmans of other beings are mutually comparable and as all ātmans are equal and have no relations.

5. How to hold one's manas.

- 33 Question. It has been said that yoga is: perceiving that all beings are equal: that ātman is equal to ātman because all ātmans have the same form, knowledge, and that the ātman is equal to God in so far as both are not subject to karman. But Arjuna does not see how it is possible that yoga can ever be permanent, considering the volatility of the manas: for the manas is by nature too volatile to allow the puruṣa to fix it permanently on one point, even if that point be one among the objects at which the manas is incessantly operating, and so the manas vehemently carries the puruṣa off. Therefore Arjuna thinks that it is not less difficult to hold the manas in order to concentrate it on the ātman which moreover is the opposite of the objects at which the manas operates with so little concentration than to hold a gale with a fan. So he asks God to tell him how the manas could be held.
 - 35 Answer. In spite of the volatility of the manas, which indeed is undeniable, it is possible to hold the manas, viz. by fixing the manas on the ātman; this may be done by practising the knowledge of the qualities of the ātman and by taking an aversion to the objects themselves by realizing their deficiency.²⁰⁷ When a man does not control his manas,²⁰⁸ then all his efforts to practise yoga will remain fruitless. A man however who has subdued his manas by practising karmayoga which is a propitiation of God and implies knowledge,²⁰⁹ will be able to reach this yoga which is the perceiving of equality when he aspires to it.

²⁰⁰ maji variate G.

R. mām eva paijati rulimani sarvabhāteşu ca sarvadā matsāmņam cva paijati; the ātman is equal to God in so far as it is not subject to karman even as God.

²⁰¹ paraphrasis of G. abhydsa- and vairdoya- resp. 205 asamyatdimana G. = R. ajitamanasa.

supplyation and C. R. aftermanata.

The virial manal ... uplyatah G. = R. partoktena madaradhanarapenantargatajaanena karmana jitamanasa: from upaya- in the sense of "sadhana.".

6 The fate of a faithful but unsuccessful youin

Question ²⁷⁰ But what is the fate of a man who devotes himself faithfully to yoga but is unable to bring it to a successful end, because his efforts are too defective and the course of yoga is so severe, and who allows his manas to swerve? Wo ile not perish for failing in two 38 respects, ²⁷¹ like a cloud, torn from a large cloud, which cannot reach another cloud but perishes in between? On the one hand he is not devoted to a means of achieving a worldy end ²⁷²— heaven etc—, on the other hand he loses his way to brahman Now, God alone may 39 solve this uncertainty, for God alone has always immediate evidential knowledge of everything ²⁷³

Answer A man who attempts yoga faithfully will perish neither here 40 nor beyond, that is, neither by the frustration of his desires for the pleasures of prakrit as well as for the experience of brahman, nor by falling back to his starting point which is a grievous suffering On the contrary, a man who practises the incomparably auspicious yoga will never perish in present, past or future

41

Question Then what happens to him?

Answer When he has reached the worlds which are allotted to those who have lived well, then the excellence of yoga — which is a means to knowledge — will allow him to enjoy in those worlds the good pleasures, desirous of which he swerved from yoga, and to enjoy them during timeless ages expiring with his desire for those pleasures. Then, when his desire has gone he will — in case he swerved from yoga at the very beginning — in virtue of that yoga be born in a family of pure and rich people who are qualified to start the course of yoga, in 42 case he swerved at an advanced stage of yoga, he will be born in a family of yogins who practise 214 and teach yoga Such a twofold birth 275 is rarely met with in the common world, and then only through

²⁷⁰ R Arjuna puts this question in order to hear exactly how powerful karmajoga is (referring to G 240) — this power or excellence (māhātim,») being derived from the implied knowledge of the atman as well as from the Yoga in which it culminates (5,27 29) — and how powerful this Yoga itself is.

in which it culminates (5,27.29) — and how powerful this xoga itself in 211 viz in the attaining of the puruşarthas (dharma artha and kama) and that of the paramapuruşartha mokşa

²⁷² so R. explains G apratisthitah

^{2&#}x27;3 tradanjah G = R. svatah pratjaksena yugapat sarvam sarvadi svata ero pasjatas teatto 'njah

²¹⁴ read dhimatam for srimatam dhimatam G = R 3090m kurculam 215 R connects the singular jamma with two kinds of birth described in st. 41 and 42.

yoga In that new existence he will find again that same stage of 43 developments of yoga which he left in his previous life. Like a man awakened from his sleep he will strive so strenuously that no impe-44 diments will prevent him from gaining his end. The excellence of yoga naturally 270 sends a man who has practised yoga in a former life, back to yoga willy milly In case a man swerved with his manas before he had acquired knowledge of yoga but was desirous of knowing it, he will receive again his desire. Consequently practising karmayoga he will rise beyond prakrti -77 and, being released from his bonds, attain the atman which solely comprises knowledge and beatitude. It is through knowledge that a man is born in a perfect condition, devoid

of all impurities of good karman accumulated in beginningless times, and that he, though having swerved before, attains the highest end 46 if he strives after it with all his effort. The vogin, being firmly fixed on an aim of man that is superior to all man's ends, is superior to those who aspire to man's ends by means of austerities or of any knowledge other than that of the atman, or by means of ritual ceremonies Therefore ons should be such a yogin

Here ends the explanation of the Contemplation of the individual atman which is propaedeutic to the Paravidya and which has been taught by Prajapati 218 Now the Paravidya, or the knowledge of the Most High, is praised It is said that superior to the yogins of all kinds 278 is that yogin of whom God will now proceed to speak Compared to this yogin all yogins who resort to austerities etc are as inferior as mustardseeds compared to the Meru God considers that this superior vogin is he who has fixed his manas and on God because his excessive love of God adding something to his nature that others lack, he cannot find any support but God, who strives diligently 281 to attain God because his love is too ardent to allow him to be. if only for a single moment, separated from God and who worships God through bhakti

men etc , hence prakrti" 278 cf n 113

47

[&]quot;naturally = G h, at which R remarks prasiddham hy etad 30gamahātmyam ity arthali 217 R. s expl of G sabdabrahma 'the brahman capable of being called gods.

²¹⁰ R takes yo jindin in ablative sense yogibhyo yukiatamah the best yogin of all for this vekin (the bhakta) is not included in the four degrees of supra st 29 32 these are resumed in youmam, whereas sarvesam (separated from yoginātii by afr and also) refers to those (tapasvitis etc.) summed up in st 46 antaralmana G = R manasa, for the manas being the substratum of all various external and internal (sensoreal and mental) activities is "the soul of the interior" 281 G sruddhuadu thus explained

PART TWO

ON THE PROPER FORM OF THE SUPREME PERSON AND ON THE MANNER IN WHICH HE SHOULD BE WORSHIPPED BY BHAKTI

In Lectures 7-13 the proper form of the Supreme Person, who is the Supreme Brahman, and the manner in which He should be worshipped by bhakti are treated of. It appears from the Vedānta that the worship of God by bhakti is the means of attaining God. The śrutis teach that this bhakti is meditation or reverent concentration and that as such it is the effect of devout representation. Moreover, this reverent and loving meditation or bhakti ²⁸² is as such very dear to man, because the object of bhakti, which is God himself, is very dear to him.²⁸³

282 R. quotes CvetUp. 3,8 and Taittār. 3,12,7 where this worship by blakti is called 'knowledge' is synonymous with blakti R. proves from Taittār. 3,12,7 tām eva vidudu amṛta iha bhavati ! nānyaḥ panthā ayandya vidyate, combined with nāham vedair na tapaṣā na dānena na cejyaṣā (i.e. by no other uvay) šakya evanydiho draṣṭum dṛṣṭwān aṣi māny aṣthā !! bhaktyā tv ananyaṣā šakya aham... jiādum (G. 11,53-53). Cf. CBh. 1,1,1 p. 8 ff. (Th. p. 13 ff.); further he quotes BĀU. 2,4,5; 1,45; ChUp. 7262: MundQhp. 2,28 where it is called 'meditation' (dñyāna-): according to R. these śrutis prove that błakti is called 'meditation' (dñyāna-) cacording to R. these śrutis prove that błakti is called 'meditation' (dñyāna-) cacording to R. these śrutis prove that błakti is called 'meditation' (dñyāna-being equivalent with mnyīh: R. does not admit that self-concentration (dhyāna- in yoga-) is a source of knowledge); for the synonymity of dhyāna- with bhakti see n. 2. 233 This R. proves from KaṭhUp. 223 nāyam ātmā pravacanena labhyo na

This R. proves from KathUp. 2.23 nayam atma pravacanena labhyo na medhaya na bahuna frutena / yam exaisa vrpute tena labhyas tanyaisa tanviprute tanum svām; pravacane, medha and iruta- being sources of direct cognition (onubhava-) it follows that only a product of implican single out a devotee for election by the Supreme Atman (so R. interpret atman-); that product of implicant bahakii (cf. V. sa ca transplyalahetub sādhyo guno bhakii evo); the devotee's love for the object of bhakti (R. quotes mehapheradam anudhydam bhaktii iy uçyate buhām) causes him to love bahaki ised.

I THE TRUE KNOWLEDGE OF THE PROPER FORM OF THE SUPREME PERSON WHO IS THE OBJECT OF BHAKTI

1 Which kind of knowledge is meant here

- 7, 1 If, then, one has focused one's mind steadily on God and reposes ²⁸⁴ entirely on God because one loves God so dearly that one is unable to exist separated from his being, qualities, acts and dominion, by which knowledge then is one to aspire actively to the attainment of God and 2 is one to know him completely and indubitably? This knowledge will now be explained together with the knowledge ²⁸⁵ of the manner in
 - now be explained together with the knowledge ²⁸⁵ of the manner in which God is distinguished from all spiritual and non-spiritual beings

 3 Through this knowledge God will be known completely. This knowledge
 - 3 Through this knowledge God will be known completely. This knowledge is very rare Among the thousands of people qualified to observe the sastra, no more than a few may aspire to siddhi, among the thousands who might aspire to siddhi, no more than a few may attain siddhi. 280 by knowing God, among the thousands who might know God, no more than a few may know God as He really is In other words, there is actually no one who is capable of knowing God as He really is 287

2 God's two prakrtis

- God has two prakrtis, a lower and a higher one The lower one is the prakrti of this world consisting of endless various objects, means and occasions of material experience and divided into eight categories, viz the five printordial elements and their qualities senses and mind,²⁸⁸
 - 5 Mahat 289 and finally Ahamkara God's higher prakrti however, is not of the same order as this non spiritual prakrti which solely consists of the objects experienced by the spiritual beings, but it is constituted by the spiritual beings themselves, the jivas This is God's chief prakrti, for the spiritual beings experience the lower, non spiritual material
 - 6 prakrti The higher, spiritual prakrti supports the material one Now

²⁸⁴ dsral

²⁸⁵ judna and tijūdna, judna is knowledge of a single object, vijūdna-(knowing from) knowledge of the difference between more objects, of supra n 160

²⁸⁶ R separates vatatam and siddhanam, taking api in the sense of ca

²⁸⁷ R quotes G 719 26

²⁸⁸ G manas- is taken as the co ordinating organ of the senses and therefore indissolubly connected with them

²⁹⁹ G buddhi- is taken in its Samkhvan sense of Mahat to distinguish it from buddhi- as a mental attitude (supra G 241)

all beings composed of cit and acit, from Brahma to tuft of grass, whether existing in a superior or in an inferior condition, originate from these two prakrtis of God, and so they are of God Therefore God is their origin and their dissolution, and He is their sesin 280 And God is 7 absolutely superior, not only because He is the cause of his two prakrtis which are themselves the causes of all things, and not only because He is the sesin even of the spiritual beings which are themselves the sesins of the non spiritual, but also because He possesses such qualities as knowledge, power, force etc 291 All spiritual and non spiritual things, whether effects or causes, constitute God's body and depend on God who is their atman 292

3 God as brakarın

As has been already explained above, God, the Supreme Person, 8-11 is modified by all existent beings and things which modify him by constituting the body of which He is the atman From this point of view all words express God So, by applying the grammatical rule of samānādhikaranya or functional co ordination, 263 God is said to be the quintessence of all entities All these entities 261 with their peculiar individuality and characteristics have originated from God, are sesas of God and depend on God masmuch as they constitute his body, and God himself is modified by all these entities of which He is the atman These entities, which are of the nature of sattva, rajas and tamas and 12 which exist in this world in the forms of body, senses, material objects and their causes, depend on God whose body they constitute God himself, however, does not depend on them The relation of God to his body is not the same as that of the individual atmans to their bodies With the latter the bodies, though depending on the atmans, serve some purpose for the sustenance of the atmans within them To God his

²⁹⁰ R quote, SubalUp 2, VP 12,24 6438-39, BAU 37 (M) 291 in other words God is not only relatively (as the immanent God) but also absolutely (as the transcendent God) superior the qualities are the kalyanagunas,

cf supra n 4 202 R quotes BAU 37, 322 (M) and continues atmasarirabhavenavasihanam

ca jagadbrahmanor prasiddham brahman- is here as elsewhere (e.g. 8,3) taken as the collective atmans atman is the Supreme Atman.

²⁹³ defined by R (CBh 111, p 59, Th p 79) prasylinimitabhedenankårtha-syllitiram samanadhikaranjam co-ordination (of several terms) means the function of these terms to signify one object, there being a different purpose for the application of each different term, I may refer to Lacombe, Notes 242, ASV p. 107 f., Srimvisachari, VA. pp. 38 f and 228 f 294 enumerated in BhG 7,8-11

- body serves no purpose at all, it serves to nothing but his sport 205 God is beyond all entities of sattva, rajas and tamas nature, because of his auspicious qualities which are peculiar to him and because these entities are modifications of him. But, although God is eternal and always forms a unity in himself, He is not known to the world constituted by gods, men, animals, immovables etc., for the world is perplexed by the entities consisting of gunas, however small and transient they may be, which are the material objects to be experienced by means of body and senses in accordance with previous karman.
 - 4 This knowledge is obscured by God's māya, but this mayā may be overcome through prapatti
 - 14 Question How is it possible that all kinds of experiencing beings come to think that the entities consisting of gunas, which are very inferior and transient, are fit objects of experience, while God himself exists who is boundlessly abundant bliss, who is eternal and always forms a unity in himself and who is superior to these entities which are only modifications of himself?

Ans.wer The reason for this is God's maya which consists of gunas and which, being created by the sporting God, 286 is difficult for anyone to know. This absolutely real maya 297 causes the proper form of God to be obscured and one's own being to be thought of as the only fit object of experience. So being perplexed by God's maya, 288 the whole world is ignorant of God whose real being is boundless bliss. Only those who resort to God relinquish maya and worship him alone 289

15 Question Why, then, does not everyone resort to God by prapatti as a first step to bhakti?

Answer Because those who are of evil karman do not resort to God

299 mājām taranti BhG = R. mājām utsejja mām evopāsata

²⁹⁵ on lila cf n 12

²⁰⁰ dai l G = R devena kridāpravritena majana nirmitā

This against C who holds that maya is neither reel nor unreal R takes maya in the sense of miracle working instrument of power and compares it with the miraculous weapons of lore (quotes VP 11920), therefore the term cannot mean illusion a mayain (illusionist) may suggest a certain notion (buddhi) to a person and that notion may have an illusion operation in itself it is real enough This sense of miracle working or illusion creating influence' holds always good the sense of mdad 'illusion is secondary (aupacārika) like like collages cry for the unhabitants of the collages cry of CBh 111 p 102 (Th p 122) and my remarks Ch III

²⁰⁸ R recalls ChetUp 419 mājām tu prakrtim vidvān (here m is to be taken in its secondary sense 'thing influenced by mājā) mājinam tu mahertaram

a Four groups of people who do not resort to God

These people may be divided into four groups which are more wicked successively 300

- 1 Those 301 who have false knowledge who take interest in the objects of prakrti, because they are ignorant of God's proper form,
- 2 those 302 who in the above way do know that it is the atman's sole essence to be a sesa of God, but think that likewise it is the sole essence of the material objects to be a sesa of themselves because though knowing that the atman is equal to God's proper form, they are not capable of longing for God.
- 3 those 303 whose knowledge of God and his dominion is nipped in the bud by deceitful reasonings which contend that such a knowledge is impossible.
- 4 the worst of all, those 304 who have positive knowledge of God and his dominion but hate God for it

b Four groups of people who resort to God

Those who are of good karman do resort to God and worship him lovingly They too are divided into four groups each subsequent group being better than the preceding, as its prapatti is more distinguished in proportion to the over balance of their good karman

- 1 those 305 who have lost their foundation and their power and wish to get them back.
- 2 those 306 who aspire to power which they never possessed,
- 3 those and who aspire to acquire knowledge of the atman as an entity different from the prakets.
- 4 those ³⁰⁸ who know the proper form of the atman whose sole essence is to be a sesa of God ³⁰⁹ and do not stop at the mere knowledge of
 - 300 parallel with the caturoidhah sukrti iah of BhG 716
 - 301 G madhah
 - 302 G naradhamah
- 303 G mājajāfahrtajnānāh mājā is given the sense of kūfajukti in keeping with his above demonstration 'suggestive sophisms
 - 304 G asuram bhavam airstah
 - 305 G arta
 - 300 G arthartht both arta- and artharthm- belong to the same category that
- of the aspirants to aistarya- (aistaryarthms)

 201 pp din- G = R katalyarthi i aspirant to knowledge of the ketala

 21man- R adds judinam cydsya rangam iti jujudsih, which V explains
 judinam ita fuddhatmanbharardam
 - 309 G jadnt aspirant to God
 - 300 R. cites BhG 7.5.

the atman as an entity different from the prakrti but wish to attain God himself, because they know that God alone is the highest aim to reach

6 The mann is superior to the three others.

Among these four the last mentioned the jñānin, is the foremost, 17 for to him God is the only one to be reached and his union 310 with God is forever The others are only united with God as long as they have not fulfilled their personal wishes. The mann is lovingly devoted 311 to God alone, whereas the others are devoted to their own ambitions as well as to God as the means of realizing them Besides. the mann loves God so dearly that God himself cannot express how much he loves Him, 312 for his love is beyond quantity; 313 and God 18 loves the jñānm as dearly as the jñānm loves God The others may be called friendly 314 in so far as they worship God, for he who accepts anything from God is of God who grants all. But the inanin is regarded by God as Himself, that means, God considers the maintenance of his own âtman to depend on his worshipper's âtman, for such a one approaches God as his highest attainable end because without God he would not be able to maintain his atman, therefore 315 God is not able to maintain His atman without him and so he is His atman 316

This prapatti or resorting to God presupposes the knowledge that 19 the atman is essentially a sesa of God and therefore it does only appear after numerous auspicious births Moreover, one will rarely meet a person in this world who after many virtuous lives has come to know that it is his sole essence to be a sesa of God 317 and that his own proper form, existence and activity depend on God who is the supreme One on account of his innumerable auspicious qualities, 318 and with this knowledge resorts to God in order to worship him, reflecting that for these reasons God alone is the highest attainable end and that God

^{310 30}ga-311 bhaktı

atyarthasabdo (sc in G 7,17) 'nabhidheyavacanah

mattarahita-, R cites Prahlada's love in VP 1,1739 as an illustration 314 G udarah, V remarks juanino 'tyarthapriyattanacanad anyeşam api kimeit frisatsanı fhalitanı 318 V explains sahrdasanam madabhipi asasıdam caitad vsaktanı ity abhi-

trayah

ale V regards this as a hyperbolical praise of the devotees of God are R refers to G 7,5

³¹⁵ R refers to G 7,6cd-12

is everything which makes him resort to God and everything which he may wish for himself 319

7 The resorting to mere divinities

All men in this world, who are constantly accompanied by the 20 vāsanā of their guna-determined condition, 320 allow their knowledge of God's being to be expelled by their desires which correspond with their vasana and consist of gunas, and they resort to other divinities like Indra to have their desires materialized by means of certain observances 321 meant to propitiate only these divinities, and they do not know God's proper form But these disinities too constitute God's 21 body, therefore, if out of ignorance 3-2 one wants to worship devoutly and faithfully such a one of God's bodies - Indra and the like -. then God will make one's faith unswerving and unhindered because in fact one has faith in a body of His although one does not know that Moved by such faith one will proceed to worship that divinity and 22 consequently one will receive from it all that one desires from it, but it is God who grants these desires

Still, the reward of one's worship of mere divinities is small and 23 finite, for those who sacrifice to gods will go to these gods and the joy and the life of these gods are limited. So when they have been united with the gods they will in due time fall with the gods. If, however, one is lovingly devoted to God himself and if one knows that by the very acts by which the gods are worshipped God himself is propitiated, and if one renounces one's interest in a limited reward, one will approach God to please him alone and one will nevermore return to samsāra 323 The others, who do not do so, cause even God's 24 descent among men - which is meant to enable them to resort to him - to be insignificant for they do not know that God's supreme being is eternal and incomparable, but are under the delusion that God is only a prince who was not manifest before his life on earth and who has now become manifest 324 for the simple reason that his karman has caused him to become manifest. So they do not resort to God and never propitiate him with acts

³¹⁹ R derives this sense from the two foregoing verses.
320 praketyd nijatah stayd G = R tilsanayd gunamayabhdisuntayayd nitsänzitäh 321 G niyania-

³²² viz of the fact that gods constitute God's body, R. refers to BIU 37,9 (M) compare also GBh. 311/12 R. refers to G 8,14

³²⁴ G enaktim deannam (R. = fraftam) 'having become an individual"

8 Why God is not manifest

27

But why then is God not manifest to them? 25 God is not manifest to all because He is concealed by mava. that is the ksetrajña's conjunction with the generic structure of man etc 30% So people do not know God they see in him only the man and do not know that his acts are greater than those of Vayu and Indra. his lustre more brilliant than the sun's, that He is unborn, undying, the cause of all worlds, the Lord of all, and that He has subjected himself to the generic structure of man only to be a refuge for all Though 26 being acquainted with God who is born a son of Vasudeva's to be a refuge for all and who still retains his divine knowledge of all beings past, present and future nobody realizes that God is his refuge

Therefore, people who do know are rare. In all their previous lives in the sphere of the pairs of opposites which consist of gunas, people have grown accustomed to their preference for or aversion to these pairs of opposites and in every new existence the vasana of their custom makes them experience this same perplexity which is brought about by the preference and aversion to which the pairs of opposites are subject. And this perplexity drives them to the complete perplexity it becomes their nature to have preference for or take aversion to the pairs of opposites and not to have their happiness and unhappiness depend on their union with and separation from God. This latter nature is proper to the jñanin but such a nature is never innate 28

When, however the evil which causes people to have preference for or take aversion to the pairs of opposites is annulled by excellent acts accumulated in numerous existences, then in proportion to the relative excellence of their karman they will successively resort to God as their refuge and being freed from their perplexity they will worship God with firm conviction in order 1 to contemplate the atman distinctly from prakrti,326 2 to obtain sovereignty, 3 to attain God 327 Question How should they live and act?

29 Answer When they exert themselves to contemplate the atman

^{3 3} R derives this interpretation from the sense attributed to maja illusion creating influence supra n 237 10gamājā G = R samsthāna 10gākh jamājāya has the sense of combination entering into samsthana generic structure, cf Sinha 49 58

¹²¹ ju Imaranamoksāja G = R prakrtu izuklātmast arūpadarsanāja jarā Harana is taken aupacarika for the conjunction of atman with prakti to connect it with the kar alvarthing above

agr resp the objectives of the kanvaljarthin aisvaryarthin and jaanin (supra 716 and infra 81 ff)

distinctly from prakrti, they will know That Brahman, Adhyatma and Karman ³²⁸ The second group ³²⁹ will know Sādhibhūta and Sādhidatvata All three groups know God in the sacrifices required for periodical and occasional rites, and all of them will in their dying hour know God in a way corresponding to the end to which they aspire

II ON THE THREE GROUPS OF ASPIRANTS

1 Explanation of terms

Question What is the meaning of That Brahman, Adhyatma and 8, 1 Karman, which should be known by those who while taking refuge in God aspire to release from old age and death, 430 and what is the meaning of Adhibhūta and Adhidaiva, which should be known by the aspirants to sovereignty? Which of the three groups is specified by 2 the words Adhiyajña? What does it mean? And in what manner do these groups know God in their dying hour?

Answer That Brahman is the supreme aksara,³⁶¹ the totality of the 3 ksetrajñas, the proper form of the atman separated from prakrti, this the aspirants to kaivalya should attain ³³² Adhyatma is svabhāva oi prakrti that which is not the ātman but is conjoined with the ātman ³³³ the subtle elements,³³⁴ their vasanā ³³⁶ etc, this the aspirants to kaivalya

528 tad brahma, adhyātmam, karma, sadhibhūtādhidaivam and sadhiyajuam will be explained infra 83-9

³³⁹ R atra 3a iti punarnirderāt pūrtwurdisfebhyo (e the l in alfarlīnis) ny.
'dhikārino pāājante "on account of the repeated 3e another group must be meant here' R takes 29 ed te brahina tad vidub ķītsinan adhādinaju karina edhāliam to refer to the kaivalyārthins, sādhibhūta- and sādhidaiva to the aisvaryārthins, and sādhijapāa- to all kaivaljārthins, aisvaryarthins and pāānins alike (infra 8,1 ff)

³³⁰ that is the kaivalyarthin, see supra n 326

³³¹ R connects paramam with aksaram, on aksara- he refers to SubilUp. 2.

aspirants to release (mumukşu-) through knowledge of the åtman, st.pra 7,16 333 andtmabhūtam ātmans sambadhsamānam this is contrast to Ç. ātmānam

deham adhikfisa pratsagdimatasa pracettam paramarthabrahmatsasanam tastu srubhdvo 'dhsatmam uesate' that realits, which ultimately is the absolutely real brahman which appears as the individual atman imposed on the body" 334 R refers here to the pañcagnisids (ChUp 5,39) where the elements are

³³⁴ R refers here to the paneagrissed (ChUp 5,39) where the elements are enumerated

²³⁵ V explains vasana tol- (se bhitasūksma-) ramsargopādhinānakarmatidsoid 'the compelling habit of knowledge (thought) and action restricted by the natural conjunction of the atman with praktit'.

should relinquish ³³⁶ Bhūta is human being etc Karman is the procreative act connected with a woman which causes a human being etc to originate ³³⁷ An aspirant to kaivalya should know that all this ³³⁸ and all that is connected with it is to be feared and avoided ³³⁹

Adhibhūta is the transitoriness of the elements, ether etc A particular development of these elements, naturally transient and individually different, are sound, touch etc with their bases. The aspirants to aisvarya should know that they must obtain these individually different objects, sound etc, and their bases. Adhidaivata connotes the purusa, it means 'being beyond the pantheon of Indra, Prajāpati etc'. The purusa beyond the entire pantheon cognizes objects.— sound etc.— which are different from the objects cognized by the gods. The aspirants to aisvarya must know that they are to cognize these objects.

Adhiyajña connotes God. He who should be propitiated in sacrifice i e God, who is the ātman of the gods who constitute his body, should be propitiated by sacrifices. This should be commonly known by all three groups of adhikarins when they perform the great sacrifices of periodical and occasional ritual.

2 Final conviction in one's dying hour

5 Finally,³⁴⁰ he who represents God in his dying hour will attain that 6 form in which he has represented God ³⁴¹ Whatever form of being a man when dying represents to himself, that very form he will attain after his death. And this final conviction is determined by his previous

7 being So, since 342 one's final conviction is determined by that to which one had been accustomed before, 343 one should always represent God day after day until one passes away Therefore perform acts which make you remember God day after day, acts which are proper to your peculiar station and stage of life — eg warfare — as well as periodical

336 R tad ubhazam (akşara- and prakrit) prāpiatazā tzājzatazā ca munuk kşubhir adhyñātavzam

²³¹ R refers to ChUp 591 pancomyam ahutau apah purusaracaso bharanti to prove that korman. ('sacraitee ritual act') has the meaning of "sexual intercourse', because the waters are said to be the seminal flood (ChUp 57,2), the fire is the womb (58,1), the oblation the ejaculation from which the embryo arises (58,2) so that 'the waters become beings of human speech" (5,9,1) Cf my remarks Ch. V

³³⁵ sexual intercourse (karman-)

R. connects this with G 811 1ad icchanto brahmacaryam caranti

²⁴⁰ R. this applies to each of the three groups

R. cites Adibharata's case as an example (VP 2,13,32-33)

⁸⁴³ explication of BhG tasmat

abhyasta-, abhyasa- "regular practise of a mental activity" of n. 345

and occasional acts such as prescribed by sruti and smrti. Then you will indubitably remember God in your last hour and attain God in the manner which you desire

- The same applied to the three groups 3
- The worship of the aspirants to aistarya and their final conviction

While representing God to himself with his mind which in his dying 8-10 hour remains fixed on God as the result of daily practice and yoga, the aspirant to aisvary a will after his death attain a form equal to God's because of the emment aisvarya which will be his. He will attain the arsvarya which God himself possesses,344 when he has concentrated his prana between the brows and then, in virtue of his daily practised worship,345 with his mind unwavering because all samskaras 346 have been passed, represents God to himself as the omniscient 347 primeval Lord of the universe, the creator of all, subtler than the jiva, 348 whose proper form is of another order than everything else and who is possessed of a divine form peculiar to none but Him

b The representation of the aspirants to kawalya

Now will be explained in what manner one should worship the aksara 348 - whose proper form is God - which those who know the Vedanta attain by subduing their passions and by vowing chastity When they have restrained their senses from operating, have concentrated their minds on God within their hearts and remain immovably fixed on yoga or concentration, 300 and then pronounce the syllable Om which connotes God,301 and, while representing God as being connoted by that syllable, concentrate the prana of the atman in their heads and

12

13

314 paramanı puruşam 3211 G = R. mām eta (= God) 3211, Adibharatamrgalyaprāblivad aisvaryavisistalayā matsamānākāro bhavati (st. 8), and tam param purusam upaiti G = R. tadbhalam jati tatsamanaisvarjo bhavati, V remarks paramasamsapatit navacchedana samanaistarfa ity uktam (st. 10)

343 abhrasa R nityanaimittika aruddheşu sari eşu kaleşu manasopasyasamsilananı 30ga ahar ahar 30gakâle nuşihiyamananı yathoktalakşanam upasanam

preparatory reformations of the mind

348 Il a being called ann- (monad) in contradistinction to God who is 236hu-("all-comprising')

³¹⁹ R. aksaram asthulateddigunakam V asthulam and ahrastam ityddisruter the thanksite the stuti is BIU 388 radam G = 1 radiate gampate 'neneti radam tad matsi.arūtam aksaram

³⁵⁰ zogākhzām dhāranām 351 madzācakam

so pass away, they will attain the atman separated from prakets which is equal to God and they will never return to samsara 352

- c The worship of the manin and the way in which he attains God
- When the jñānin represents God from the beginning persistently 14 and constantly to himself with his mind focused on God alone, because God is incomparably dear to him and because he cannot sustain his ātman without representing God, and so is in constant yoga, then God himself is the object of his desires, not his mere being, 353 sovereignty etc And God himself, unable to endure separation from his worshipper, will choose him, 354 will grant him the full devolpment of his worship which is favourable to his attainment of God and which annuls all that is incompatible with it, and God will bestow on him his superior love etc
 - 4 Only the aspirant to aisvarya will return to samsara
 - He who aspires to aisvarya will retuin to samsara, but the aspirant 15 to kawalya and the manin will not Both the latter who know God's proper form as it is 355 and who are unable to maintain their atman without God because of their ardent love for God, attain God as the perfect fulfilment of their object. All worlds within the Egg of Brahma, in which experiences may be had and aisvarya may be obtained, are, however, transient, therefore the aspirant to aisvarya will necessarily perish as the place to which he aspires perishes. Those, however, who attain God will never be born again, because in God they cannot perish
- It is well known that a day and a night of Brahma last for a 17--18 thousand mahayugas 356 each At the dawn of a day of Brahma the individual entities existing in the Three Worlds, body, senses, objects and occasions of experience etc, appear from the unevolved which has the condition of Brahma's body, and at the beginning of the night they are again submerged in Brahma's body which is a particular condition 19 of the unevolved After a century of days and nights of Brahma all

³⁵² sa zāts paramām gatim G = R. prakrtīvīzuktam matsamānākāram apunaratestim atmanam praphole the atman is equal to God masmuch as it will never return to samsara. To corroborate this interpretation of paramam galim R. quotes 8.21, where the expression stands for akscra

ass madbhava-, a mode of God's being', sc. the atman

³⁵⁴ R. quotes KathUp. 2,23 and G 10,10-11
355 mahaimanah G = R. mahamanaso yatharasthitamatsvarapojnanah

ad 8,19 however R. writes 1000 yugas.

worlds, including Brahma's world and Brahma himself, are submerged: the earth is dissolved in water, water in fire etc.; and successively everything, including the unevolved, is dissolved in God. So,⁵²⁷ those who aspire to aisvarya being subject to origination and annihilation, they will necessarily return to samsara.

5. God's three spheres of dominion.

Beyond the unevolved, which is non-spiritual prakrti, there is a state 20 of being which is more elevated because it is the end of man. It is not in the same category as the unevolved, because it has only one form: knowledge. It cannot be clarified by pramānas, 2-8 its particular form is only known to itself. Moreover, it is not subject to origination and annihilation, so it is eternal. It is called the unevolved eternal, and those 21 who know the Vedas call it the highest end 1-20 Now this eternal being is the pure ātman in its proper form, separated from prakrit. This being, from which one can never return after having reached it, is God's supreme sphere of dominion. 200 In other words, there are three spheres of dominion 1, the non-spiritual prakrit, 2 the spiritual prakrit consisting of ātmans created conjointly with non-spiritual prakrit, 3. God's supreme sphere of dominion, the released ātman in its proper form, no longer conjoined with act.

This pure atman is the end to which the aspirant to kawalya aspires 22. The end, however, to which the jaanin aspires, is entirely different. That is the Supreme Person, God humself, who can only be attained by exclusive blakti. 441

6. The road of return and the road of no return

Now it is said by which read one may attain God. This road is 23—24 common to both the one who knows the atman as it really is and the one who is fixedly devoted to the Supreme Person. 2012 Once a man 2013

²⁵¹ cf. supra 16 with which R. connects st. 17-19 258 R.'s explication of BhG. avyakte-, as in 2,25.

³²⁰ R. cites 12,3 and 15,16 on organia- and aktora-

³⁶⁰ dhoma BhG = R. niyamanaithinam; he gives an alternative paraphrans of dhima "splendour, > light of knowledge"; the supreme dhima is the proper form of the released âtman which is beyond prakrit-conjoined âtman because the latter is limited and the former unlimited knowledge.

and on God's transcendence R. cites 7,7; 13, on bhakti R. quetes BhG 8,14

²⁰² rest the kanalyarthm and the jhamm.

aca R.: belonging to either category according to the smit. R's references to the paficiagnizidy are apparently due to the two roads spoken of in ChUp. 5.10 parallel to the Gita's roads of light and darkness. The stutt meant here in con-

has passed by this road, so the stutis assert, he will nevermore return Now it is explained by which road ³⁶⁴ the yogins will not return, but those who have good karman ³⁶⁵ left will still return. When one arrives by the bright road, one will not return, but when one arrives by the dark road ³⁶⁰ one will return. If a yogin knows both ways, he will not be perplexed when he dies, but he will tread his own divine ³⁶⁷ road. Therefore one should be a yogin who has practised yoga which means reflecting on this way day after day for a long time.

7 The reward of knowledge

25

26

27

When one knows the excellence of the Lord which has been expounded in Lectures VII and VIII, the happiness which this knowledge excites will cause one to regard the reward of all good acts, such as regular study of the Vedas, regular practice of sacrifices, austerities, charity and the like, as negligible When one has become a yogin and a jūanin one will reach that most original state to which a jūanin may aspire

nection with both categories is ChUp 5101 tad 3a ittham vidur 3e ceme 'ranse sraddhā tapa sty upāsate te reisam abhisambhavanti ve vidur R takes to updsate to the manins he contends that a refer to the kaivalyarthins 3e ca distinction must have been intended otherwise the clause se ca would not be accounted for The knowledge of the latter category as expressed in this clause he connects with the daharavidya (ChUp 81) whereas the knowledge of the ifianins corresponds to the paravidya (ChUp 87) (compare also R 30) The pan cagnividya R continues, explains (in 5 9 5107) that karman good or evil, is the reason of the atman's embodied existence and that the atman is merely encompassed (parist angainatram) by the elements (e.g. the waters of ChUp 59,1) with which it is conjoined Thus this sruti implicitly discriminates between cit and acit If then in 5101 and 4156 (coupled by R apparently because the latter passage begins sa enant brahma gamayatt which - with enan - returns in 510,2) it is said that those 'who know go to the light and never return it must be because those are able to discriminate between cit and acit From the fact that both kasvalyarthin and jnanin attain Brahman it follows that pure released atman substance is a sesa of Brahman who is its atman the same follows from tatkratungaga- ('like worship like result) Moreover that the atman is a sesa is proved by BAU 3722 (M) see also my remarks in Ch V, p 31 f 204 R. takes kalam pregnantly (upalaksanartha 'serving a donner à titre

implicite tel enseignement supplementaire Renou Terminologie s v) as road, the road under discussion being crowded by divinities which represent time units same explication in CBh 42,24 on which see Ch IV p 13 and R takes G jogin to mean punjakarman a person still subjected to karman will return to samiafra

the one mentioned in 23 24 and the one mentioned in 25 respectively
R. implicitly refers to the devayana- of ChUp 5,10,2.

III ON THE EXCELLENCE OF THE SUPREME PERSON, ON THE DIFFERENT KINDS OF JNANINS AND ON THE PROPER FORM OF BHAKTI

1. The kind of knowledge to be explained.

That most secret knowledge — which is worship by bhakti — 368 9, 1 will now be explained together with the special knowledge 300 concerning this worship. It is through this knowledge, completed by its actualization, that one will be freed from all evil which is incompatible with the attainment of God. It is the knowledge of the high-minded beings 370 2 who must keep this secret. 371 It purifies one completely of all defects incompatible with the attainment of God. When worshipped in the spirit of bhakti God is evident to his worshipper. It is a means of attaining God who in his form of perfect bliss is most dear to his worshipper; 372 still in itself this worship is blissful 373 to the worshipper because it is very dear to him and therefore favours the contemplation of God. Moreover, it is easy to acquire bhakti; it can be acquired by uncommon love. And it is everlasting, for once a man has attained God he will lose it never more. In other words, when he is worshipping God in this way, he has nothing more to do, were God to give Himself up to him. 374

When, however, a person is qualified for this worship, which is 3 here called dharma, and yet lacks the faith which presupposes confidence, he will not attain God but stick to the road of saṃsāra or death.

2. The excellence of God.

This entire universe, composed of spiritual and non-spiritual entities, 4

³⁶⁸ bhaktirūpam upāsanākhyam jāānam.

³⁶⁹ vijnana- G. = R. višesajnana-; cf. supra n. 285.

ato R. proposes two alternatives for rajavidya: vidyanam raja and rajnam vidya, the latter explained by mahāmanasām vidya, "for kings have a broad and profound mind".

³⁷¹ rājaguhya- explained as "to be kept secret by the profound-minded who are skilled in keeping secrets secret".

³⁷² R. dharmya- from dharma- "means for bliss" (nih reyasasadhana-).

³⁷³ susukham.

and evanuration updiaman kurvato materadane kete 'pi na kincit ketam maydiyeti me pratithatity arthat; V. connects this with the foregoing in this way: tark kim anyad adhikam addhyam iti jankhajam abhipretam; I take na kincit ketam as a construction of the type \sqrt{ke}, with instr. + negation "to have nothing to do with": thus "practising this form of worship he has to do nothing else with Me: that is quite sufficient, more is impossible". It might be explained differently (as Govindācārya does): mayā subject, asya gen. pro dat. "for him, for his sake", thus "I have done nothing for him, even if I have given myself up to him".

is pervaded by God who is its inner ruler. God pervades the spiritual and non-spiritual entities of this world by being their inner ruler.315 and He does so in order to reign and maintain them. although they themselves are unable to see him. In this way all beings depend on God because they constitute his body. But God does not depend on them for they serve no purpose in maintaining his being: nor does God contain 5 these beings like a jug contains water. How, then, does God pervade them? In virtue of his will, Behold his yoga, miraculous and peculiar to him alone: God supports all beings, but no being is of use to him. It is his will which originates, sustains and rules the beings. For example, just as the gale in the ether, which roams where it listeth but finds no support in the ether, is upheld by God who can approach it because He maintains it, so all beings depend on God who, though not conjoined with them by nature, supports them by his own miraculous power,376 And not only the maintenance and activity but also the origination and annihilation of all beings depend on the will of God. At the end of Brahma's life 377 all beings, whether mobile or immobile, will in obedience to God's will dissolve in the prakrti 378 which constitutes God's body and in which no individual distinctness by name-and-form can possibly exist; and at the beginning of a new kalpa God creates these beings anew: reposing upon his own prakrti, which is capable of 8 development into various shapes, God creates the fourfold creation of gods, men, animals and immovables every now and then by means of his bewildering prakrti 379 which consists of gunas. He develops this

3. God is not bound by his acts.

prakrti into eight forms. 380

9 Question. But if this is true, is God, then, not bound by his acts, such as the creation of unequal beings, when we take into consideration that these acts result in cruelty?

Answer. No, God is not bound by his acts, such as the creation of unequal beings, nor do these acts bring about any cruelty in God, for

³⁷⁵ R. refers to BAU. 3,7,22 (M.).

are R. quotes BAU. 3,89; TaitUp. 8,1 and an unidentified stanza. paraphrasis of G. kalpakşaye.

ars the unevolved prakții or tamas: R. refers to Manu 1,5/8; SubălUp. 7 and RV. 8.7.17.

[&]quot;319 G. avasam is explained "not by their own will but by the power of praket".

380 R. has BhG. 7.4 in mind in this entire passage.

it is the previous karman of the individual atmais themselves which causes the inequality of the conditions in which these beings exist 381 God himself has no interest in this inequality, He sits apart from it, as an outsider God's prakrti, supervised by God himself, creates the 10 world 332 with its mobile and immobile beings in accordance with the karman of the individual atmans embodied in these beings

4 How God is regarded by the ignorant and by the wise

Urged upon by this compassion for mankind, God has assumed a human body so that He might be the refuge of all men, but they do not know him as He is They consider him to be a man like they are. and they are ignorant of God's supreme state of being which is a boundless receptacle of compassion, generosity, goodness, love etc and is characterized by its human shape. So for the mere reason that God is their refuge in human shape, they consider him to be of the same class as other human beings and so are mistaken about him. They have 12 assumed the bewildering natures of raksasas and asuras which puts an end to God's supreme compassion in his humanity, their aspirations and enterprises remain fruitless, their knowledge of all God's creatures and of God himself is erroneous, and they have lost all positive knowledge of anything 383 because they regard God as a man

Those, however, whose good karman enables them to take refuge in God and who, released from the bondage of evil, assume a divine nature, know God to be the origin of all beings, the eternal Lord whose proper form, name and actions are beyond thought and speech and who has descended into human shape to rescue the sadhus and they worship God with all their minds focused on him alone Because of their extreme 14 love of God their minds, atmans and external organs lack all support no sooner than they cease to worship him by bhakti or to praise him or to exert themselves for his sake, therefore they meditate on the names which denote God's special qualities. In an ecstasy of joy they glorify him by crying out his names Narayana, Krsna, Vasudeva etc. and resolutely exert themselves to act for the glory of God, by worship and by the means of worship such as the building of temples, gardens

³⁹¹ R cites VS 213435

³⁹² R cites CvetUp 49 10

asa vicetasah G = R. sarcatra sigatayathatmyojnasah ef 3,32 cetahkaryam hı vastıryathatmı a uscayah

and groves, and they prostrate themselves indifferent to dust, mud and gravel with the eight members of the body 334 desirous of everlasting union with God.

Other high-minded 385 believers worship God by paying homage to him not only in the above way but also by performing the sacrifice called jñāna. What does that mean? They worship God as the One underlying the individual plurality of things. 3554 This means that they worship God with the certain knowledge that God is one and that his body is constituted by the manifold creation of gods, men, animals and immovables, because at the time when his body consisted of infinitesimally subtle spiritual and non-spiritual substances incapable of individual distinctness by name-and-form. God has decided on this volition: Be My body constituted by gross spiritual and non-spiritual mass which exists in a plurality of individual forms distinguishable by name-and-16 form. J86 So God's body is the universe, God is the sacrifice, jvotistoma etc., the mahayajñas, the libation and oblation offered to nourish the deceased ancestors, the havis, the mantra, the clarified butter, the fire which conveys the sacrifices, and the homa. He is father, mother, 17 grandfather and establisher 387 of the world consisting of mobile and immobile creatures. He is the means of purification, the One to be known by the Vedas. He is the Om syllable, the core of the Vedas. He is the Veda composed of rc, vajus and sāman. He is the end to be 18 attained, the supporter, the ruler, the immediate witness, the residence, the spiritual resort, the well-meaning friend. He is the place 388 of origination and annihilation of whatever wherever. He is all that can be begotten or destroyed,389 He is the imperishable cause of all

15

³⁸⁴ the members of the body represent (abhimānin-) the worshipper's mind and buddhi which are bowed down under the burden of bhakti.

³⁸⁵ mahātmanah repeated from verse 14.

²⁸⁵⁰ mām upāsate ekatvena prthaktvena bahudhā visvatomukham G. == R. jagadākārena (bahudhā prthaktvena) visvaprakāram (visvatomukham) avasthitam mam ekatrenopasate "although God is modified by everything when he appears in the shape of the universe, they worship him as the one underlying principle"

Compare the oft-cited sruti tad aikşata bahu syam etc. (Ch.Up. 6,2,3).

²⁸¹ R. atra dhātršabdo mātrpitrvyatirikta utpattiprayojake cetanavišese vartate. 388 R. reads probhovoprologasthonom for probhovol prologah sthonom; V. unconvincingly explains it: kevalam brahmader avyaktader ta yad utpattipralaasthanam, obviously to avoid a superfluous repetition of what has adequately been expressed by pitaham etc. in 17.

ass nidhanam is explained as "all that can be laid down, either by birth or by death".

that God heats in the form of fire, the sun etc at the beginning of 19 summer, then again He stops the rains and pours out the rains He is both that through which one lives 300 and that through which one dies He is the present, the past and the future Those who through their knowledge of this essential unity of God realize that the whole world is a modification of God because God's body is constituted by the names and-forms of a plurality of individual beings and who worship God as s.c.h, those are the true mahatmans 301

Those people, on the other hand, who are firmly fixed on the Vedas and not on the Vedānta, ³⁹² who drink the soma beverage which is proper to the worship of mere divinities like Indra as prescribed by the Vedas, who are only purified from evil incompatible with the attainment of heaven, and who aspiring to heaven sacrifice to God as though He limself were the divinities because they do not know who God really is, those people will attain the world of Indra which is free from unhappiness and enjoy divine pleasures. But when the good karman which led them to heaven is consumed, they will return to the world of mortals. Because they lack the knowledge taught by the Vedanta ³⁹³ they will return to samsāra after having enjoyed the immaterial and transient pleasures of heaven.

The mahātmans, however, will not return, for their only end in 22 life is the meditation on God, because without it they are unable to sustain their atmans. They worship God in all his glory while aspiring to constant union with him, 394 and God will lead them to yoga or attainment of God and to ksema or no return 395.

The ignorant, however ³⁹⁶, are devoted to mere divinities and so rely on the Vedas alone and faithfully sacrifice to these divinities. But while doing so they actually sacrifice to God himself, ³⁹⁷ for everything constitutes God's body and as God is the atman of everyone and everything, He may be called by the names of these divinities. But the ignorant perform their sacrifices without connecting them with the

³⁹⁰ paraphrasis of G ampta-

³⁹¹ from 13 again with which R connects 14-19

³²² R. Irradya is the three Vedas, transalya who relies only on the three Vedas, not on the Vedanta, the way of worship of those who rely on the latter having been treated of above 13 19

³⁰³ viz. that everything is a modification of God, of supra 3,31

³⁹⁴ nitjabhijuktanam G = R maji nujabhijogam kankijamananam

^{395 (}yoga- 'union and ksema- 'safety (from samsara)".

²⁹⁶ R. reads 3e to for 3e 'py 397 cf 7.21

words of the Vedanta 188 As has been stated before, 388 the entire Vedanta lays down the doctrine that the divinities are to be worshipped in so far as they constitute the body of the Supreme Person but that it actually is the Supreme Person himself who is the one to be worshipped, because He is their atman. When performing their sacrifices the four horrs will find the fulfilment of their desires via the divinities—who constitute God's body—in God who is the inner ruler of these divinities, or, in other words, when they know that by their acts they pay homage to God himself and then perform these acts—serving to reconcile the divinities—, they will find in God the perfect fulfilment of their aspirations. The ignorant, however, do not know this, so their reward is but a small one and it is in their inture to fall back to samsara.

5 The unportance of the worshipper's intention

25

26

One may exclaim. How wondrous is this difference that, though devoting themselves to one and the same act, some partake of a very small reward and then fall back by nature and others partake of a reward which means the a tainment of God — that is perfect boundless bliss —, for the mere reason that both differ in their intentions?

In this trend the text proceeds those who intend 40° worshipping Indra etc will go to the gods those who intend worshipping the deceased ancestors will go to them, those who intend worshipping ghosts will go to them Those, however, who worship God while uttering the intention Let us worship by these same sacrifices the Supreme Atman himself, the Lord Vasudeva whose body is constituted by gods, deceased ancestors and ghosts,—those will go to God and never return

The worshippers of God are distinguished too. There are votaries who lovingly offer God a leaf, a flower, a fruit or water, things which are available to anyone. If someone offers God such a leaf etc with pious intention, this offering being his sole object 401 because his uncommon love for God urges him on to make this offering, then God

and hiphrvakam G = R Indiadinam decatanam karmasu aradhyata-jantajam yaliha tedantatakyam takur hotaro vatra sampadam gacchants devails tyadili vidadhati na tatpārtak im yajante they do not sacrifice after first having connected the utterings of the Vedanta (viz that the only it object of worships is God whose body is constituted by gods etc.) with the Vedic obligation of worshipping divinities like Indra through sacrificial acts the aptly quoted passage is Taittar 3112

³⁰⁰ Above 331 400 R zratašabdah samkalpazāci

⁴⁰¹ prayatatmanah G = R. tatpradanaskaprayojanatzarapasuddhiyuktamanasah

will even accept this leaf etc and partake of them because He will hold them dear, although He can never have experience of anything but himself and although this leaf etc are foreign to his desire 402

This distinction, incomprehensible by speech and thought, is peculiar 27 to the manins who are mahatmans Therefore, one should become a mahatman and, with one's atman bowed down under the burden 403 of bhaktı and while worshipping God by praise and offerings, plorificat on and prostration, perform profane and Vedic acts, such as periodical and occasional rites etc. All profane acts except those performed to sustain the body, and all food taken to sustain the body, as well as all Vedic acts - sacrifice, charity etc - should be performed as offerings to God Inasmuch as the divinities - who are the objects of sacrificial worship etc - are of God, 404 as oneself - being the agent of the acts and the experiencer of the objects - is also of God 4044 and as all being, existence and activity depend on God's will, one should offer oneself - as agent, experiencer and worshipper - as well as all worship and all worshipped gods, up to God himself who is the Supreme Sesin, the Supreme Agent Animated by excessive love one should realize that one's sole essence is to be a sesa of God -- the which presupposes submission to God's dominion - and that everything else - objects of worship etc - fundamentally is a sesa of God Then, while directing 28 one's mind to the voga called samnyasa. 405 one will be released from the bondage of previous karman, whether good or evil, which is incompatible with the attainment of God, and consequently attain God

6 All beings are equal for God

Being a refuge for all God is the same toward all atmans of gods, 29 men, animals and immovables, which, according to their class, configuration,408 nature and knowledge, exist in an infinite plurality of forms, from the highest to the lowest. No one who has resorted to God will be abandoned by God because his humble class, configuration, nature and knowledge is odious to Him, and no one who has resorted to God together with a humbler votary is more beloved of God because of his more exalted class etc. All beings who worship God by bhakti -

404 Akara-.

⁴⁰² R quotes MBh. 12, 171 63-64

⁴⁰³ I read bhaktibhara- with the varia lectio, cf supra 914

tot being sesas of God who is their sesin, and bodies of God who is their ātman, (cf 7,21)

⁴⁰⁴⁰ who is the Supreme Agent of supra 3,30. 405 jaanayoga, or rather jaana in karmayoga, supra 5, 1 ff

whether they be of an exaited or a humble class — will at their desire foregather in God as if they share his virtues, and God himself will dwell in them as if they are more exalted than He

When a man worships God excessively and has no other end in mind than the worshipping of God, then, even if he has transgressed the jules of the class to which he belongs, he should be regarded as a leading Vaisnava and esteemed as highly as one of the aforementioned votaries. Now what can be the reason for this? The reason is that his conviction 407 is right, the conviction that God, the sole cause of the universe, the Supreme Brahman, Nārayana, Lord of all mobile and immobile beings, our Master, is his friend, his teacher, his highest object of worship. Such a conviction is difficult to form for everyone, but he has been able to form it and moreover he accepts its consequences and worships. God continuously with no other end in view. Therefore he should be esteemed as a sadhu, all his transgressions of rules should not be belittled as just a negligible offence, but on the contrary regarded as a respectable action.

7 Argument

30

31 Objection But certainly this practice of love and worship will not continue developing into ever more exalted practices if the worshipper has transgressed the rules of his class, for that is contradicted by the spirit 408

Answer He will be purified of sin in consequence of his exclusive worship of God which results from his love for God, and his rajas and tamas gunas will be eradicated so that his mind, released from incompatible factors and supported by favourable factors, will soon be focused on God alone 400 Through this worship he will attain everlasting sānti, 410 that is, he will nevermore return to samsāra and refrain from all actions incompatible with the attainment of God. This must be avowed that a person who has begun to worship God by bhakti will not perish, even though some of his actions may be incompatible with his attainment of God, but that the excellence of his bhakti will help him to refrain 32 from all such actions and then his bhakti will soon be perfect. So even

⁴⁰⁷ vyavasāya-

⁴⁰⁸ KathUp 2,24, this sruti is obviously selected on account of the term alania- which occurs in it.

⁴⁰⁹ dharmātmā G=R, tirodharahitaparikaramadbhajanaikamanā, for this sense of dharma- see supra 93

⁴¹⁰ R expressly refers on sants to 9,3 where dharma- was however taken to mean bhakts, not its reward sants

women, vaisyas, sūdras, however evil their origin may be, will attain the highest end when they attain God, let alone brahmans and rajarsis, 33 whose origin is auspicious, if they devote themselves to bhakti! Therefore Arjuna — who is a rajarsi — should worship God by bhakti as long as he lives in this transient and miserable world

8 Bhaktı

One must focus one's mind constantly on God in all his glory. When 34 focusing one's mind on God one must be animated by the most ardent love for God and perform sacrifices for the glory of God because one cognizes. Him as the incomparably adorable One But one must not stop at merely exerting oneself in this manner, one must resolve to prostrate oneself before God who is one's inner a'man. Then, when one has found one's sole support on God and in virtue of boundless and unsurpassed love enabled one's mind to experience. Him, one shall attain Him.

IV ON GOD'S INFINITE BEAUTIFUL QUALITIES AND ON GOD'S ACTUATING THE ENTIRE UNIVERSE

1 Knowledge of God's nature expels all evil and gives rise to bhakti.

Neither the gods nor the great sages, however supernatural their vision may be and however great their knowledge, know God's supernal manifestation, 11 e they do not know God's name, acts, proper form and being etc., because God himself is the beginning of their own proper form and of their knowledge, power etc. The knowledge which God has granted them in accordance with their good karman is limited and therefore they do not know God's proper form as it really is Now, this true knowledge of God's proper form — which is incomprehensible to gods etc.— is a means to expel all evil incompatible with the origination of bhakti. He who knows that God is unborn because his proper form is opposed to all conjunction with evil and therefore not subjected to it, 12 that He has no beginning 113 and that He is the

10, 1-2

412 and therefore, R. adds, of an order different from non spiritual and spiritual beings, for the embodied atman too has known birth its birth is its conjunction

with prakti
413 and therefore of a different order from the released aiman the released
Aiman may be unborn (having no conjunction with praktil), but its being unborn

in probhotiom G = R, probhotiom, V explains littere tradyamanal co probhotion prokarsing salidapabhotia eta bhotisium arhailiy abhiprogens the cause that is in God can only be the cause of his being superior."

overlord of all the world's lords, is released from all factors incompatible with the origination of bhakti Such a one is not subject to that total confusion 414 which induces one to classify in the same order certain elements which do not belong to that order For instance, in the world of man someone belonging to the class of men who are not kings may by some means or other attain royalty and therefore is of the same order as kings in the same manner the sovereign of the gods, nay, the sovereign of Brahma's Egg himself is of the same order as all other beings in samsara, because even he is comprised by the three bhavanas 415 Now, when one is not subject to the confusion that God too is of that same order of beings in samsara but when one knows that God the Sovereign of the universe, does solely comprise all that 15 beautiful and is antagonistic to all that is evil, and that He is of an order altogether different from that of all cit in bondage - whether cause or effect - and from that of all released spiritual beings, then one is treed from all evil

2 The realization of the variety of God's dominion and qualities augments one's bhakti

—5 All faculties and dispositions 416 enumerated in these verses,

has had a beginning at the moment that it was released after having been conjoined with prakti. On God's opposition to the released atman R quotes CyetUp 619 wirawadjam meaning that God has never suffered conjunction with prakti-414 of R's definition of assignment—ad 104 parvogrhitad rapatader vijalise

sı ktıkādıvastunı sajātīyatābuddhınıvettiķ

415 tasyāp, (sc brahmāndādhipatr) bhāvandīrajāntargatatud! V karmā bhāvanā brahmāhāvanobhāyabhāvanā bhāvanātrayam the first category that can be reflected upon as being karman are the created beings the second category that can be reflected upon as being brahmā is Brahma the sovereign of the Cosmic Egg Hiranyagarbha the creator et the third category that can be reflected upon as being either or both karman and Brahmā are divine beings like Sanat kumara Rudra et (acc to V R refers to the last category with \$2 kecandin haddaisvaryam prāptāh) all three categories are subjected to karman (cf Ved p199 tadetad-(sc trrjammanusya) brahmādhiān bhātanātrayāninajena karmava vyotivum) and therefore of one and the same order the terms are borrowed from VP 7648 ff which are discussed CBh 111 p 70 (Th p891) cf also Lacombe Notes 586 The variant reading tasyāp bhivamārayāninargatatāt (because even he is contined to the three worlds) is a lectio facilior

410 bhavah G=R prayritmivrithelaxo manovritayah R gives definitions among which the following may be noted biddhir manaso mirikhanasamirihyam bis the mind si faculty of ascertaining G bia a is taken synony mously with bhavana- (V uddharsa) anik ilanubhavahet ikani manaso bhavanami glad ness of the mind resulting from agreeable cognitions abid at a praithallanu bhavahetukani manaso vasidam sadness of the mind resulting from disagreeable

cognitions

resulting either from activity or from mactivity, are dependent on God's volition So too is the activity of those who further the creation and 6 maintenance of all beings, viz the seven maharsis of a past manyantara who were begotten by Brahma's mind to further the creation permanently, as well as the four manus who exist to further the maintenance of the created beings permanently. All those, maharsis and manus, derive their existence from God,417 that is, they are obedient to God's volition. He who truly knows this supernal manifestation of God, ie 7 that all origination, existence and activity depends on God and who knows truly that God is conjoined with beautiful qualities 418 and is antagonistic to all evil he then will indubitably possess unswerving bhakti The sages who know God in this way love him passionately 419 8 with their mind focused on him and their atmans depending on him 9 they acquaint one another with God's qualities of which they have direct cognizance and they tell one another of his divine adorable actions, and then the narrators are pleased by the questions of their listeners and the listeners delight in listening to the narrative

To those who wish to attain permanent union with God 420 and who love God. He grants fully matured buddhivoga accompanied by love by which they may attain Him Moreover, to favour them with 11 his grace, God while being the object of their mental activity 421 and while revealing his beautiful qualities dispels their normal propensity to objects other than God - resulting from previous karman incompatible with true knowledge - by means of the knowledge of God which 15 of the same order as God

10

God's supernal manifestation

Question God is the Supreme Brahman, the supreme splendour,422 12 the supreme sanctifier, as the srutis assert 423 All those who have exact 13-14 knowledge of higher and lower entities, such as the rsis Narada, Asita

^{417 =} G madbhā āh

^{419 =} G 30gam, cf supra 95

⁴¹⁰ G bhatasamantetah R bhato manorettivisesah (ci supra), mayo sperhavala-o mām bhajanta ity arthah

^{420 =} G zoga 421 atmabharasthah G = R. tesam menorettan .15azatazdrasthidh (from existing (stha) in their mental activity (bhdra) as its object (dtman))"

existing (state) in their mental activity (masky) as Soylet Soylet 122 but for a different interpretation of different supera 8,21 as on Brahman. R. refers to TatitUp. 31 and MundUp. 3,29, on dhaman to ChUp. 3137 812,2 BAU 4416, on 'Sanctifier to ChUp. 4143, 524 on the general idea to Mahanarlp, 411 \argsarah faram brahma fattum Narasanah parah ! Sarasanah param syotir alma Sarasanah parah

Devala and Vyasa, affirm 424 that God is the eternal divine Personality, the primeval Deity, the unborn One, the paramount Sovereign, and God himself repeats this in our text 4-3 So Arjuna takes all that God has said concerning his boundless and unrivalled natural dominion and the infinity of his beautiful qualities to be the literal truth and not merely a panegryric, and now he understands why gods and danavas - who possess but a limited knowledge - do not fully know God's manifestation 428 God, the Supreme Person, knows himself by virtue of his own knowledge 427 He is the procreator of all beings the sovercign of all beings, the supreme Deity even of all divinities whom he transcends just as these divinities themselves transcend men, animals etc. There-16 fore God alone is entitled to reveal completely all forms of his divine and personal dominion by means of which he is forever pervading this worlds as its sovereign. Now, to what end should God reveal those 17 forms? To enable Ariuna who devotes himself to the loving meditation of God 4"8 to know God in the over abundance of his beautiful qualities such as dominion etc. so that he may meditate on God his Sovereign in more modes than just those of buddhi and knowledge which already have been revealed Therefore God is entreated to reveal in detail his 18

creatorship, 129 his supernal manifestation and his sovereighty 430 19 Answer God will now proceed to reveal to Arjuna those forms of his supernal manifestation 431 which are paramount in this world. It would not be possible to enumerate all forms in detail because they are endless

God states explicitly that He governs all beings 432 by actuating them - which He is able to do because He exists as their inner atman - and that He is the One who creates maintains and destroys them God is the atman in all beings which constitute his body, and the atman is the

15

20

R refers to Harry 123 62 MBh 3 88 23 28 and an unidentified quotation 425 R refers to G 74 10 8

^{426 21}al tim G = R 1anjanapi akai am the way in which God is manifested

⁴²⁷ svayam c-altmana G = R stenana inanena knowledge being Gods essence

^{428 3.091} G = R bhaktivoganisthah 129 srastrt adisogah God's association with the qualities of being creator etc. God as the creator etc

⁴³⁰ summarized R adds in G 108 aham sarrasya prabharo mattah sarrak bravartale

⁴³¹ R defines vibhūti vibhūtit am nāma nijā njatzam and proves it by G 107 ctam abhatim as referring to 105 bha anti bhata bhatanan matta eva (where, however bhavah was taken to mean manorettayah not essence etc which he seems to imply here)

⁴³² bhata- here means body + atman as witness CBh 1,1,1, p 82 (Th p 102) bhiliasabdo hy aimaparyaniadehavacanah

support, the ruler and the sesin of the body 433 Being the atman of all beings God therefore is the cause of their origination, maintenance and dissolution From the fact that God exists as the inner atman of all 21beings which constitute his dominion it results that God may be called by the names of all these beings in functional co-ordination 434 Therefore, God will presently enumerate the various forms of his dominion in functional co-ordination with himself, this enumeration, as has been said above, comprises only those forms which are paramount in this world So among the adityas He is the paramount one, Visnu, among all luminaries He is the paramount one, the sun. He is the manas among the eleven senses, 435 He is the Rama among the bearers of arms 486 Of all creatures He is the cause, i.e. He is the creators of all living beings created in the course of creation, likewise He is their destroyers and their protectors 437 In the same manner He is the A of the alphabet. 438 the dvandva among the various compounds 439 He is Sri. Kirti etc among women, 440 He is the game of dice, that most deceitful of all the

433 so God is the support, ruler and seşin of all beings R refers to G 1515.

1861 and BAU 37,1 22 (M)

434 sāmānādhikaranya- R compares this with the usage of words like 'a god, a man a tree which actually denote bodies but include (paryarasyanti) the inherent atmans. He refers to 10.39 where it is said that God's being the atman of all creatures is the condition (nibandhana) of his being called by the names of these creatures in samanadhikaranya for it is said that no being can exist apart from God the same was more positively said in 108

435 Text ekādasānām indrisānām sad utkrijam mana indrisam tad aham asmi | iyanı apı na nirdharane | bhatanam celana. alam va celan I saham asmi, read

syam abs na mrdharane after bhūtanam asmı

488 sastrabhytan Ramo ham R sastrabhyttvan aira vibhütih l arthantara-bhavad aditzadazas ca kşetrama atmatvenavesthitasza bhagazatak sartrataza dharmabhatah iti sastrabhrita asthanisah. The meaning is this the aforementioned kşetrajñas, the sun etc (vs. 21 ff) constitute themselves dharmas of God for they are his body, Rama however is God, so Rama's swordmonship must here he taken as a dharma of God not Rāma himself, so that this swordmanship is on a plane with sun etc., of also infra n 441

431 G adth, antale and madhyale explained by srastarale, samhartarale and palaguarah God is every creator etc. met with by the creatures in the course of creation, as distinct from the prime creator (Hiranyagarbhah Caturmukhah),

the dhaty- by whose name God is called in vs 33

438 for sarrarananam traketer akarals the A is the base of all phonemes" (see Renou Terminologie sv turna and praktit), R. quotes AitAr 323 aktro

tus sared tak 'the A is all words"

439 R sa hy ubhayapadarthapradhanatvenotkeefah 'for the dvandva is the most eminent compound because both terms of which it is composed retain their independence and are not subordinated one to another as in the other compounds"

440 cf V kirijadajo neha gunanieja treukpith i tejam purujego opi udbhatatzena naripam iti excesazogat / no ca narizabdo 'tra strilmgagadarthamatraparah | mukhyabadhabhatat | ato narttulejamudharanam eta krigate ! tatra. cheater's opportunities for deceiving Among all members of the Vrsni 39 clan He is the son of Vasudeva 441 In short, He is the core, whether manifest or not, of all beings in whatever condition they exist 442 The totality of beings, mobile or immobile, cannot exist apart from God who is the atman within themselves

There is no end to the forms of God's supernal manifestation, this detailed discussion of his manifestation is not exhaustive of its infinity. All entities that are subject to God's dominion 413 all entities that are beautiful or rich, all entities that are prepared for the undertaking of various virtuous enterprises, derive from a fraction of God's unconceivable power of dominion 444.

But why should one know all this in detail? This knowledge alone may suffice that God with an infinitesimal fraction of his majesty supports the entire universe consisting of cit and acit, whether effect or cause, gross or subtle and that its proper form, existence and various activities are subservient to God's volution

V ARJUNAS PRESENTATION 44- OF THE PROPER FORM OF GOD

God is inaccessible to natural perception

11, 1 Question The words by which God has revealed to Arjuna that most profound mystery of the atman in order to show his favour to Arjuna when he was bewildered by the misconception that the atman is the
 2 body, these words have dispelled his misconception 440 Arjuna has also heard 44° that the origination and dissolution of all beings depend on

ca Grija eta sarvandribhyo 'tisazifati di saiva prathamam vaktavid

⁴¹¹ R Vasudevasunuttam atra nbh tith | arthantarabhavad eva

⁴¹² R 'na tod asti vnā syān mayā bhūtam carācaram ity atrāpy ālmatayā vasthānam vi aksitam (cf. n. 434) | auena sarī asyāsya sāmānādhikaranyanirdesa-syātmatayā arthitir eva hetur iti piakatayati by this he makes clear that God's being the atman (of all creatures) is the ground toi his being denoted by every creature in sāmānādhikaranya

⁴⁴³ G ribhutimat

⁴¹¹ R tejas parābhibhavanasāmarthyam the power by which one is able to rule others here esp God's power of dominion (ni) manasakti)
415 chballahar

⁴⁴⁵ sakşalıkara
448 see ad 28-11 the words which have sublated Arjuna's misconception
comprise, according to R, 21-646 (647 marking the transition to the second
satka) where the nature of the atman and the means of contemplating the atman
are dealt with

⁴⁴⁷ R in Lectures 7-10

God who is the Supreme Atman, that God's eternal greatness consists in this that all spiritual and non-spiritual entities constitute a sesa of God, that God is the supreme One because of his beautiful qualities. that God is the foundation of all and that God is the inner actuator of all beings Now Arjuna desires to have direct presentation of God in 3 his forms of sovereign, creator, maintainer and dissolver of all entities as well as 448 in his form of absolute superiority. Therefore he beseeches 4 God 449 to reveal Himself completely 450 to him in these forms, if that could be possible

Answer Quoth God, Behold my various forms which are the found- 5 ation of all, which are constituted by a plurality of modifications and which are supernatural, multicoloured and multiformed. Behold in this 6 one form of Mine all things of which direct experience may be had as well as all things which can only be known from the sastras as well as the many marvelous things which are never yet witnessed before either in the entire universe or in the entire sastra 451 Behold the entire uni- 7 verse with its mobile and immobile beings concentrated in this one body of Mine and behold therein everything thou wishest to see But thine 8 eve cannot behold Me such as I am, different in kind from everything else and infinite by thy natural eye thou canst perceive but things finite and subject to My dominion Therefore I bestow on thee a supernatural eye by which thou mayest perceive Me So do now behold My yoga which is association with beautiful qualities and endless supernal manifestations

2 God's Majesty

Then, Samjaya relates, God showed Arjuna 452 his supremely 9 majestic form which is the foundation of the entire universe manifold and multiform, and which governs everything That form or body was 10 splendid 453 and unlimited by space and time, facing al directions and

drom G = R. dyolamanam (from Vdiv-dyn), R this aspect of God

is specified in va. 12.

⁴⁴⁸ distinction derived by R. from the separate vocative purisottoma.

^{440 3}aga- in 3agestrara- is explained as 'conjunction with beautiful qualities' in reference to Jogam assvaram in vs. 8.

⁴⁵⁰ tvam avyayam its krijdirilejanam l trām sakolam me darsayety arthah 481 adits in ruffin etc. are taken to denote implicitly beings known by either Sastra or perception, in contridistinction to adrafapartami diceryani, beings

known neither by sastra nor by perception.
482 Parthaja G = R. pitziwanh Prihajah putraja R. seems to imply that the use of the metronymic Partha indicates that God's favour was shown pecu liarly to Arjuna because he was Kṛṣṇa s cousin.

11-12 adorned with behoving garments, perfumes, garlands, ornaments and weapons In that body of the God of gods, infinite in all dimensions, 13 with numberless trunks, mouths and eyes, of unimaginable splendour, equipped with innumerable weapons etc, Arjuna - who by divine Grace had been granted supernatural vision - beheld the entire universe with all its various subdivisions, crowded by the various kinds of classes of experiencing beings - gods, men, animals, immovables etc of all sorts and forms - and by places, objects and means of experiences - such as earth, ether, heaven, patala, atala, sutala etc - and consisting of purusa and prakrti, this entire universe was concentrated in one single point of God's body. Ariuna was struck with amazement at perceiving the entire universe in one single point of God's body 454 and, while beholding God himself who is the foundation of the entire universe, the actuator of all and the possessor of marvelous beautiful qualities, he was so transported that his hairs bristled He prostrated

himself before God and exclaimed with folded hands.

God, I behold in Thy body all gods and all classes of living beings among whom Brahma himself and He who liveth in Brahma's mind, 455
 and all rsis and the splendid 456 snakes I behold Thee everywhere with Thy numberless members and endless forms Thy body is formed by all beings and it shows neither end nor beginning nor middle, for Thou art unending Thou art a mass of tetas which is of immeasurable

18 splendour Thou art the supreme Aksara, 457 the chief foundation of all In Thine avataras, one of which is this present incarnation, Thou art the protector of the everlasting Vedic dharma I know Thee to be the eternal Personality 458. Thou art supposes and the advantage of the second of the everlasting Vedic dharma.

eternal Personality 458 Thou art without beginning, middle and end, and Thou art a treasury of boundless knowledge, power, force, domi-

⁴⁵⁴ R refers to G 108 1019 31, 10,32

⁴⁵⁵ isam komalissonasihom BhG = R Kamalissone Brahman; silutam Gaam tannano vasihitam Gov obviously translates the variant reading tannate 'was silutam and takes isa- as Giva's well known name 'who is seated in Brahma meaning that Giva is under the control of (his father) Brahma in that case however R, who speaks nowhere of the supreme Deity of Caivism would certainly have specified isa by Giva or Rudra it seems more likely that accepting the reading of the text, with isa Sanaka is meant the first of the mind born sons of Brahma who play a role in Pañcaratra Cosmogony Padmanabha > Brahma Kamalasana > Sanaka etc (Otto Schrader, Introduction to the Pañcaratra)

⁴⁵⁸ divyen G = R. dipten ef n 453

⁴⁵¹ R refers to MundUp 114 where aksara- occurs in the sense of the Supreme Being and the terminology (dre vede reditarse) recalls that of the Gita 458 R quotes CvetUp 38 and MundUp 328 where purusa has the sense of Supreme Being

nion and tejas. 459 Innumerable are Thine arms, feet, bellies etc. All Thine eyes are serene like the moon and glaring like the sun. 469. Thou 20 dost govern the universe by Thy tejas. Thou dost pervade all worlds, high and low, and the space in which they are situated. When beholding Thy marvelous awe-inspiring form the three worlds are appalled, mahataman! 401 Yonder hosts of divinities approach Thee, at beholding Thee 21 who art the foundation of all. Some of them are awed and praise Thee according to their knowledge. Others, maharsis and siddhas, glorify Thee as the venerable Lord with beseeming hymns. Rudras, ādityas 22 etc., and pitaras 402 do now behold Thee and they are stricken with

3. Arjuna's terror.

Now while beholding Thine exceedingly terrifying form all three 23. worlds and I myself are panic-stricken: While I behold Thee touching 24 of the Supreme, Heaven, 403 glaring, multicoloured, with yawning mouth and wide, fiery eyes, my heart is terrified and I am unable to find support for my body or rest for my mind and senses; O Pervader! 404 I Looking, at I Thy horrid faces which are operant in destroying everyalthing like, the Fire off Time at the end of the yuga, I am led astray and find no happiness. Overlord of all lords, of Brahmā himself, and all others, ido show me Thy favour and restore my old self! 405

459 R. takes virya-, in anantaviryam to imply all 5 beautiful qualities.

to, 11,36.

"461 mahatman G. = R. aparicchedamanovitte "Thou, the radius of whose mind has no limits" atman = manas; the second half of st. 20 is made to explain why Arjuna has been granted divine vision, for without it he would have been apoalled like the three worlds.

462. So R. explains uşmapāh referring to TaitBr, 1,10 uşmabhāgāh hi pitarāh.
463. R. explains, that this nabhas- is the Supreme Heaven (Paikuṇṭa-), which
is beyond prakṛti (as appears from MahāṇārUp. 1; ÇvetUp. 3,8; Taitt5: 2,2,12,5),
from the fact that nabhālupriam is attributed to the One who is the substratum
(diraya-) of all, prakṛti and puruṣa in whatever form or condition (and therefore
cannot be prakṛti or embodied, atman) and that the space in between earth and
heaven is pervaded by God (supra st. 20) so that with nabhas- another kind of
heaven must be meant.

464, Visno G. = R. vyāpin (from Vviš "enter"), God pervading the entire

universe. 463 R.: in the foregoing (st. 9-25) God has revealed by showing all existence and activity to be dependent on him that He has descended into human shape in order to rule the earth and destroy Dhṛṭarāṣṭra's men as well as demoniae elements. In Yudhiṣhira's ranks (this to account for the slaying of some of Yudh's men inapite of, Kṛṣṇa's, alliance); st. 26-31 Arjuna perceives this saks the reason for this gruesome manifestation.

All the sons of Dhṛtarāṣṭra and the sūta's son Karṇa are, together 26-27 with their partisans and even some chief warriors of our army, entering Thy terrifying mouth to be destroyed: they hasten to their end of their

own accord. Thou hast revealed this appalling form to me when I 28-31 besought thee to show Thine eternal atman so that I could cognize Thine unlimited dominion. But who art Thou who hast such a horrible form? To what end does it serve Thee? Be gracious to me and tell me the reason why Thou hast revealed this form of destroyer and tell me what actions Thou proposest to perform in this form.

4 The meaning of God's terrible aspect.

Ouoth God, While calculating 466 the end of the lives of all beings, 32 among whom Dhrtarastra's warriors are the first. I appear in this horrible shape which causes their destruction, in order to annihilate these beings. Therefore, even if thou, O Arjuna, refusest the help of thine energy, the hostile warriors will of a certainty be destroyed; so rise to fight them and do by defeating them obtain the fame of victory and enjoy righteous kingship. I am the One who doom those who have sinned: thou 407 art but the instrument by which I have chosen to kill them. Slay Drona, Bhima, Karna etc whom I have doomed because of their sins, and do not suffer thine anxiety about dharma and adharma and thy love and compassion for thy relatives 408 to worry thee. I have doomed them because they are sinners; do therefore not hesitate and fight them, for thou shalt defeat thy rivals. No cruelty is to be found at all in this battle; on the contrary, thou shalt win the victory.

5. Arjuna's exaltation.

168 cf. 1,26-27 and GAS, 5.

35 . Then, Samjaya proceeds, Arjuna was over-awed and prostrating himself before God he exclaimed.

36 ... Justly does this universe of gods, gandharvas and the like which has foregathered to see the battle and has by Thy divine Grace beheld Thee now, delight in Thee, love Thee and glorify Thee; and justly do the raksasas run away in terror, and justly do all siddhas pay homage

37 to Thee! Why indeed should Hiranyagarbha and all others not pay homage to Thee who art superior to them and art the creator even of

⁴⁶⁸ kalayati ganayatti kalah, kala- being interpreted as "Death". 461 saca samatage "Vsac- in the sense of, connecting, fastening", so savyasacin- "who is capable of fastening the strings to the bow with his left hand, ambidextrous". st.

Brahmā Hiranyagarbha? Thou art the jivatman,469 Thou art the prakrti, whether existing as cause or as effect. 470 and Thou art the released atman 4 1 Therefore Thou art the primeval God, the Person. 38 the ancient One Thou art the supreme foundation, for Thou art the atman of all and sundry beings which constitute Thy body Thou art all knowledge and all that can be known, so Thou art the highest end Thou dost pervade the entire universe consisting of cit and acit Thou 39 art to be called by the names of everyone and everything because Thou art their atman Thy valour is boundless! 40 Question Being ignorant of Thy qualities and urged upon by per-41plexity and life-long familiarity, I have always considered Thee my equal and friend So I came to address Thee simply as Krsna, or Yadu's son, or friend, and in jest I have not shown Thee the reverence that is due to Thee For all this I beseech Thy forgiveness Thou art father 43and guru of this world, and therefore Thou art most venerable No one in the entire universe equals Thee, how then could one surpass Thee? Thus, most venerable Lord, I prostrate myself before Thee and implore Thy mercy Just as a father or a friend, when fittingly entreated, will show mercy to his son or his friend if he have been at fault, so, most compassionate Lord, abide me in all things, like a lover abides his beloved Having, seen Thy most marvelous and awe inspiring form, 473 45-I am transported by love and my mind is panic stricken. So reveal to me Thy first, most gracious form, Lord of the gods! Answer Quoth God, Herewith I have revealed to thee My majestic 47 form, which no one before thee has ever beheld, because thou art my devotee I could do so because it is in My nature that all that I will comes true 474 In this form, in which I exist just as I am, I am visible 48 but to one who has perfect and complete bhaktı toward Me, not to one who merely follows the Veda, performs sacrifices etc Thy terror and 49 bewilderment caused by My terrifying form which thou hast seen may

cease now, for I show thee the benign shape to which thou wert accu-

stomed before look at it l

⁴⁶⁹ akşaram G = R jivdinatalitvam R refers to KathUp. 2,18 na mriyate etc, which proves that the atman does not perish (na karati) mriyate etc, which proves that the atman does not perish (na karati) the control (state 2) of reactive existing other

mriyate etc, which proves that the animal noes not prakfit existing either as

410 R. explains sadasat as the principle (tatiza) of prakfit existing either as

effect (sat being diversified by names and forms) or as cause (asat not being

so diversified)
471 G tatfaram, viz beyond prakrti and jivatman.

⁴¹² dhama G = R. sthanam prapy asthanam sti

⁴¹⁸ R.s paraphrasis of adrilapuri dni.
414 dimayogdi G = R. dimanali saiyasamkalpayogayuktatidi

26-27 All the sons of Dhṛtarāṣṭra and the sūta's son Karṇa are, together with their partisans and even some chief warriors of our army, entering Thy terrifying mouth to be destroyed: they hasten to their end of their own accord. Thou hast revealed this appalling form to me when I

own accord. Thou hast revealed this appalling form to me when I besought thee to show Thine eternal atman so that I could cognize Thine unlimited dominion. But who art Thou who hast such a horrible form? To what end does it serve Thee? Be gracious to me and tell me the reason why Thou hast revealed this form of destroyer and tell me what actions Thou proposest to perform in this form.

4 The meaning of God's terrible aspect.

Quoth God, While calculating 486 the end of the lives of all beings, 32 among whom Dhrtarastra's warriors are the first, I appear in this horrible shape which causes their destruction, in order to annihilate these beings. Therefore, even if thou, O Arjuna, refusest the help of thine energy, the hostile warriors will of a certainty be destroyed; so 33 rise to fight them and do by defeating them obtain the fame of victory and enjoy righteous kingship. I am the One who doom those who have sinned: thou 407 art but the instrument by which I have chosen to kill 34 them. Slay Drona, Bhīma, Karna etc. whom I have doomed because of their sins, and do not suffer thine anxiety about dharma and adharma and thy love and compassion for thy relatives 468 to worry thee. I have doomed them because they are sinners; do therefore not hesitate and fight them, for thou shalt defeat thy rivals. No cruelty is to be found at all in this battle: on the contrary, thou shalt win the victory.

5. Arjuna's exaltation

35 Then, Samjaya proceeds, Arjuna was over-awed and prostrating himself before God he exclaimed,

Justly does this universe of gods, gandharvas and the like which has foregathered to see the battle and has by Thy divine Grace beheld Thee now, delight in Thee, love Thee and glorify Thee; and justly do the raksasas run away in terror, and justly do all siddhas pay homage to Thee! Why indeed should Hiranyagarbha and all others not pay homage to Thee who art superior to them and art the creator even of

⁴⁶⁸ kalayati ganayatiti kalah, kala- being interpreted as "Death".
461 saca samataye "Vsac- in the sense of 'connecting, fastening'", so savyasacin- "who is capable of fastening the strings to the bow with his left hand,
ambidextrous".

⁴⁶⁹ cf 1,26-27 and GAS. 5.

Brahma, Hiranyagarbha? Thou art the jivatman,489 Thou art the prakrti, whether existing as cause or as effect,470 and Thou art the released atman 411 Therefore Thou art the primeval God, the Person, the ancient One. Thou art the supreme foundation, for Thou art the atman of all and sundry beings which constitute Thy body. Thou art all knowledge and all that can be known; so Thou art the highest end. Thou dost pervade the entire universe consisting of cit and acit. Thou art, to be called by the names of everyone and everything because Thou art their ātman. Thy valour is boundless! 40 Question. Being ignorant of Thy qualities and urged upon by per-41 - 42plexity and life-long familiarity, I have always considered Thee my equal and friend. So I came to address Thee simply as Krsna, or Yadu's son, or friend, and in jest I have not shown Thee the reverence that is due to Thee. For all this I beseech Thy forgiveness. Thou art father 43-44 and guru of this world, and therefore Thou art most venerable. No one in the entire universe equals Thee, how then could one surpass Thee? Thus, most venerable Lord, I prostrate myself before Thee and implore Thy mercy. Just as a father or a friend, when fittingly entreated, will show mercy to his son or his friend if he have been at fault, so, most compassionate Lord, abide me in all things, like a lover abides his beloved. Having, seen Thy most marvelous and awe-inspiring form, 43 45-46 I am transported by love and my mind is panie-stricken. So reveal to me Thy first, most gracious form, Lord of the gods! Answer. Quoth God, Herewith I have revealed to thee My majestic 47 form, which no one before thee has ever beheld, because thou art my devotee, I could do so because it is in My nature that all that I will comes true. 474 In this form, in which I exist just as I am, I am visible 48 but to one who has perfect and complete bhakts toward Me, not to one who merely follows the Veda, performs sacrifices etc. Thy terror and 49 bewilderment caused by My terrifying form which thou hast seen may cease now, for I show thee the benign shape to which thou wert accustomed before: look at it! 2 (- 2) " 469 akşaram G = R. sitelimatalitram; R. refers to KathUp. 2,18 na...

mriyate . etc., which proves that the atman does not perish (na kṣarali) 470 R. explains sadasat as the principle (tatten-) of prakets existing either as

effect (sat, being diversified by names and forms) or as cause (asat not being so diversified).

⁴¹¹ G'tatparam, viz. beyond prakttı and jivatman.

att dhama G = R. sihanam grafgasthanam ifi.

⁴⁷⁸ R.'s paraphrasis of adratapartant 414 almayogal G = R. almanah salyasamkalpayogayuktandi.

- Then, Samjaya proceeded, God showed Arjuna his familiar four-50 armed body 478 and reassured him: and Arjuna said,
- Now I have come to my senses again, now I behold once more this 51 loyable beautiful body of Thine, peculiar to none but Thee, which has the generic structure of a common human being and is most benevolent.
 - 6. Presentation of God's proper form is only possible by bhakti.
- God states that the form which Arjuna has witnessed a moment 52 before cannot be seen by anyone, not even by gods: for it is not through 53---54 Vedas, sacrifices, charity etc. that God can be seen, for all those are destitute of bhakti. It is only through bhakti that God may be either known by the śastras, or experienced directly, or approached as He really 55 is.476 Only when a man performs all acts - studying Vedas, performing sacrifices etc. -, when all his enterprises serve one purpose: the supreme end; God, when he is God's devotee because he loves God so much that he cannot sustain his atman unless he glorifies God and therefore performs all acts to one end: God, when he is attached to God alone, when he fosters no hatred for any being because he has no reason to do so since to him all happiness and unhappiness naturally coincide with union with and separation from God and since he realizes that all beings depend on the Supreme Person, - only then he will attain God as He really is, that is, all his defects - ignorance etc. will vanish and he will cognize God alone.

VI MISCELLANEOUS

- 1. Why it is better to worship God than the atman.
- 12, 1 Question. Which group of aspirants will be the first to realize their aspirations, the group of bhaktas who worship 477 God because they believe him to be the supreme Lord and man's, supreme end 478 and possessed of all forms of dominion, or the group of those people, who worship the akṣara, i.e. the individual atman which cannot be grasped 479 by organs such as the eves etc.?

as Vasudeva's son, R. explains, God has four arms: he refers to VP. 5,3,10; 13; and an unidentified BhagP, quotation.

⁴¹⁸ R. refers to KathUp. 2,23.
417 paryupasate paraphrased by pariparnam upasate "who adore God in his plenitude" (from pari- "completely").

⁴¹⁸ satatazuktah explained by R. as bhagavantam tvam eva param prapyam manuduah, from Vyuj- "to concentrate, reflect"? see also, n. 97. 479 avyaktam.

Answer. The group; of bhaktas who, out of excessive love, have 2 focused their minds 480 on God and in perfect faith aspire to constant union with God will attain their object - God himself - soon and easily, 1811 The second group comprises those who do not worship God 3 but the akṣara, i.e. the individual ātman. This akṣara is different from the body; so it cannot be denoted by the names of god, man etc.; 482 therefore it cannot be grasped by organs such as the eyes etc. Moreover, though it exists in the bodies of all beings, it cannot be thought of as being identical with those bodies, for it is of a different kind; so the aksara is common to all beings 483 but it is not subjected to development and therefore constant. Those people, then, who have 4 made all their senses cease to operate, who regard all atmans - however dissimilar the bodies to which they are confined may be - as essentially equal because they all have one and the same form: knowledge, and who therefore rejoice in the well-being of all beings,484 those people will attain the atman which is of God's kind, that is, released from samsara.485 This group of people who focus their minds on the 5 ātman will, however, meet more difficulties in achieving their end than the first group of aspirants, the bhaktas. Only after conquering many great difficulties people who mistake the body for the atman will acquire the mental focus on the true ātman, whereas God will soon 6-7 rescue from samsāra his faithful devotees who, while focusing their minds' on the atman,488 dedicate all their profane and sacral acts to God because He is their only end, and who, uniting themselves with none but God, worship him by meditation, prostration, glorification etc., in which they delight.

480 'the mind being prapyavisaya- "directed toward an end",

⁴⁸¹⁴ So R. shades the meaning of G. yuktatama, for these aspirants are superior to those of st. 5 who encounter difficulties, and, as witness st. 7, they will soon (na cirat) reach their end.

^{482 =} G. wurdesyam.

^{488 =} G. kūtastham; on the synonymity of kūtastha- and akṣara- R. refers to G. 15, 16-17 kafasiho 'kiara uesate !! uitamah purusa ti anyah and adds that in 'aksaravidya (yaya tod aksaram adhigamyate, MundUp. 1,1,5) aksarahowever denotes the Supreme Brahman, this because It is the womb of all beings (who are ksara-) and therefore a-ksara- Itself.

^{484 &#}x27;or, as R. adds, ceasing to rejoice in their adversity which results from abhimana-; in other words, the joy regards the well-being of these beings as far as they are atmans; cf. dimovat surveyam bhatanam hitesu niratah (n. 241).

⁴⁸⁵ mam G. = R. matsamanakaram asamsarinam almanam, the atman being equal to: God inasmuch: as it is not subject to karman; R. refers to G. 142 (mama radharmyam) and Mundup, 3,13 (mirahamah paraman tanyam upain). avyaktā gatih G. = R. avyaktavizayā manovīttih; for avyakta- is šiman, see above (n. 479).

2. How to worship God and when to worship the atman.

For these reasons, that God is man's supreme end 487 and that He 8 can be attained very soon and easily, one should focus the mind completely on God and form the conviction 188 that He indeed is the highest attainable end. As soon as one has formed this conviction and consequently focused the mind on God, one will live in God. If one does not immediately succeed in focusing the mind on God completely and constantly, then one should attempt to concentrate on Him by first practising regular representation of him which implies a boundless 10 love of him. If one is incapable of that, then urged upon by excessive love for God one should perform devotional acts for the glory of God. Then one will soon be able to practise regular representation of God and consequently focus the mind on him and finally succeed in siddhi or the attainment of God. If one does resort to bhaktiyoga 480 but yet is unable to perform even these devotional acts, then one should resort to aksarayoga 490 and practise the renunciation of the results of one's acts. Then, 491 by disinterestedly performing acts to propitiate God, one will attain the knowledge of the atman and through this knowledge dispel the darkness of ignorance, contemplate the atman whose sole essence is to be a seşa of God, and acquire the spirit of perfect bhakti 12 toward God. 492 More than the practice of regular representation devoid of love, does the knowledge, which causes the atman to be evident and which presupposes true knowledge of the ātman, favour the well-being of the atman, Still more than that imperfect knowledge, does the meditation on the atman favour the well-being of the world of which the former is a means. More than that still imperfect meditation is disinterested activity of which the former is a means. As a direct consequence of this activity all evil is annulled and the mind cleared. When the mind is cleared, perfect meditation on the atman is possible 493

⁴⁸⁷ or the variant readings -jñānaþrāþyaivād and -jñānārthaivād "because of the fact that he is the end to be reached by supreme knowledge" and "that he is the object of supreme knowledge".

⁴⁸⁹ buddhi- taken synonymously with adhyavasaya-.

⁴⁸⁹ madyogam G. = R. madgunānusamdhānakrtamadekapriyatvākāram bhaktiyogam.

⁴⁹⁰ ak;arayoga- or atmayoga-: karmayoga which implies knowledge of the atman's nature and is propaedeutic to bhakts, see supra 3,0.

for then one has subdued one's mind (yathmaran G. == R. yatamanaskah).
R refers to G. 18,46-54 where this sequence of developments is enumerated.
as from all this follows, R remarks, that throughfu (= akparayoga-, supra

3. The qualities of one devoted to disinterested activity. 494 12 3 7 10 B CHE & C.

·He' does not hate any being, even though it hates him. He' is 13 friendly to all beings, whether they hate him or help him. He is compassionate toward all beings which love him. He is not possessive and does not suffer from the delusion that his body is the atman. So he is neither delighted nor vexed when fortune or misfortune befall him. for fortune and misfortune are only imagined. He is not transformed 405 by rivalry and power, 406 even if they are inevitable. He is satisfied with 14 whatever he may happen to find for the sustenance of his body. He is constantly occupied by the thought 407 that the atman is separated from prakrti. He has control over the activities of his mind. 408 His conviction as to the matter and purpose which are taught by the doctrine of the atman is firm. He has focused on God the positive certainty that God is propitiated by disinterested activity and, when duly propitiated, will allow his atman to be evident. A devotee who, through such karmayoga, practises bhaktivoga is beloved of God. So is the karmayogin who does 15 nothing to vex the world, who is not vexed by the world because he is not interested in it and who therefore is not joyous, intolerant, fearful and irascible. Beloved of God, too, is he who does not care for anything 16 different from the atman, whose body prospers on pure nourishment prescribed by the sastras, who is capable 400 of earning the means to perform sastric rites, who does not feel pain at disagreeable contacts which are inevitably connected with the performance of śāstric rites and who renounces all activities except those prescribed by the sastras. Beloved of God is the karmayogin who does not delight in any generally 17

n. 490) is the best means for him who is incapable of bhaktiyoga, whereas for him who is qualified for atmonistha but has not yet a pure (ianto-"iree from attachment to results") mind, karmanistha (that is preparatory karmayoga of disinterested activity which does not yet imply knowledge of the atman) is the best means. The sequence which R. reads in this verse is 1. dhyana- ("wandering of un-focused mind in preparatory karmayoga") 2. jiāna ("karmayoga with implied knowledge of the ātman") 3. tad- (sc. jiāna-) āparakṣjam ("contemplation of the ātman") 4. bhakti. It is not clear where jñānayoga enters into this scauence.

this is advanced karmayoga which implies knowledge of the atman, purifies the mind, and is propaedeutic to bhakti.

^{496 ==} G. ksami.

text sparsaprabhavayor, v.l. spardhā- which I translate; prabhava- is taken synonymously with prabhava- (cf. n. 411) "power".

satatam yogi, compare n. 477.

498 = G. yatātmā.

^{499 =} G. daksah.

delightful thing and does not hate any odious thing, who is not grieved by common sorrows, does not desire desirable things and renounces good as well as evil because both cause his bondage. Beloved of God is he who is equanimous, whether he is approached by a friend or by an enemy, who is not attached to his dwelling-place boo etc, because his mind is constantly focused on the atman, and is therefore equanimous as to honour and blame

4 But the bhakta is superior

This teaching of the superiority of bhaktinistha to atmanistha is now summarized in the statement that those votaries who hail sol bhakti as being at once righteous and immortal are most dearly beloved of God

500 = G ansketals

501 R viz. in the manner of 122 where the bhaktas were spoken of.

PART' THREE

EXPLANATIONS OF TOPICS ALREADY DISCUSSED IN THE PRECEDING PARTS

I ATMAN AND BODY

1. The proper forms of atman and body.

This body, though it may be put in sāmānādhikaraṇya with the 13, 1 experiencing atman, 502 e.g. in the proposition "I am lean", etc. is different! from the latter. Sages who possess exact knowledge of the body call it the experiencing-atman's field of experience. A person who knows this body and, because of his very knowledge, must be different from his body which is the object of his knowledge, 503 is called a kşetrajña by these sages. That person may, when perceiving entities different from the body, consider his knowing ātman to be in a relation of sāmānādhikaranya to his body, for instance in the proposition: "I who am a man know this jug"; still, when he perceives his body he will know that it is an entity different from his ātman and of the same order as a jug, because being an object of the atman's knowledge it is of the same order as other objects of knowledge, so that now the proposition runs: "I know his body too, in the same way as I know a jug."

One may conceive the atman to be in a relation of samanadhikaranya to the body inasmuch as both are indissolubly connected: 604 for the body serves only to particularize an atman as belonging to a certain class. In itself the knowing atman is not accessible to the organs of vision etc., because its form is peculiar to itself alone and accessible only to a mind

⁵⁰⁸ bhokir-dimon-"the âtman in relation to the body", in contradistinction to prapir-dimon-(supra, 3,0) "the âtman in relation to its pure self, the âtman as the realizer of its own pure form as distinct from praktir".

supra 4.100 supra 4.100 soft the attribute with the attributed*

prepared by Yoga. 505 But this does not justify the fools in regarding this knower as the prakrti, only because it is proximate to prakrti.500

The ksetrajña is God, i.e. God is its proper form; the same is true of the ksetra.507 As has been pointed out, ksetra and ksetrajña may be put in samanadhikaranya, both being indissolubly connected because the ksetra particularizes the ksetrajña. Likewise both ksetra and ksetrajña may be put in sāmānādhikaranya with God, because it is the sole nature of both to particularize God. 808 Ksetra and ksetrajña are merely constitutive of God's body. God is the inner Ruler of all ksetrainas and therefore constitutes their atman; 500 so they may be put in sāmānādhikaranya with God. 510 One should know that ksetra and ksetrajña are distinguishable and that God is the ātman of both.

There are other interpretations given. 511 It is said that the sentence: "Know that I am also the ksetrajña" should be understood to express identity, because of the sāmānādhikaranya; then it should be admitted that God becomes as it were the ksetrajña in consequence of Nescience of the truth, and that it is just this Nescience which this teaching seeks to sublate. It should be compared to the information of a reliable man that there is no snake where a snake is imagined, but only a rope: this information sublates the erroneous notion that there is a snake. So in the same manner the teaching of the Lord, who is most reliable, sublates the erroneous notion that there is a ksetrajña.

But then I would ask: As to this teacher, the venerable Vasudeva, the Supreme Lord, has his Nescience been sublated by the exact knowledge of the atman or has it not? If the aswer be: Yes, his Nescience has been sublated, then I argue: In that case the view, held by Ariuna and others, that there is Difference, is impossible and so is any attempt to teach them, because it is impossible to attribute to the ātman - which in your opinion is mere undifferenced Consciousness -

2

⁵⁰⁵ on Yoga see Lecture 6.

⁸⁰⁶ R. refers to G 15,10 utkrāmantam sthitam vāpi bhunjanam va gunānvitam i vimūdhā nānupašyanti pašyanti jūanacaksusali.

⁵⁰⁷ because of G. api "I am the ksetrajña as well (as the ksetra)". 508 R: that God is different from both keetra and keetrajna appears from 15,16-18

R. refers to Antaryāmibrāhmana BĂU. 3,7, esp. 22 (M.).
 R refers to Lecture 10 where God stated that He is the ātman of all beings (st 20: aham ātmā... sarvabhatāšayasthıtah and st. 39 na tad asti vinā sat syan maya bhatam caracaram and st. 42 vistabhyaham idam krtsnam ekantsena sthito jagat) and in between (st. 21-38) referred to himself in samanadhikaranya with all possible beings.

⁵¹¹ by Cankara, GBh. 13.2.

a quality that is foreign to it. - If, however, the answer be: No, his Nescience has not been sublated, then it is utterly impossible that the Lord could even begin teaching the knowledge of the atman, because He possesses no such knowledge himself. 512

These and similar views are not to be taken seriously. The people who set them forth have no knowledge and contradict sruti, smrtt etc The truth' is this: the śruti 513 asserts that non-spiritual substance. spiritual substance and the Supreme Brahman are distinct from one another and stand in the relation of Object, Subject and Lord respectively. The Gitā B11 itself states explicitly that God by his own will creates cit and acit conjointly and that from that conjunction all beings arise. Elsewhere the śruti 515 asserts that, in whatever condition cit and acit or Subject and Object exist, their existence depends on God, because they constitute his body and are internally ruled by him, and that God'is their atman. This is also the meaning of the srutis 516 which state that God himself is the world - whether as a cause or as an effect -; for cit and acit, which exist as cause or as effect, constitute God's body. So God is effect when both cit and acit substances, which constitute his body, are in their gross, evolved condition, and He is cause, when both are in their subtle, unevolved condition. This means that the effect is identical with the cause and that therefore the effect can be known if the cause is known. And this again proves the position that-by knowing one, one will know all.

er In the śruti/passage: "Lo! I will enter unto the three divinities by way of the living atman and distinguish name and form," sar the three divinities connote the whole mass of acit. So from this passage we know that all distinctions of name and form are brought about by God's entering into acit via the jivatman whose atman is God himself. In result all expressive words *18 signify the Supreme Atman'as modified by the jīvātmans of the non-spiritual matter. Therefore the sāmānādhi-

tine same reasoning at 4,16 613 CvetUp. 4,9-10; 1,10; 6,9; 6,16; NårUp 11,1; CvetUp. 1,9; 6,73; 1,12; 1,6; 4,6; 4,5; MantrUp 1; CvetUp. 4,7 are quoted. 814 G 7,4-5; 9,7-8; 9,10; 13,19, 14,3 are quoted; in 14,3 R. takes brahman-815 G 7,4-5; 9,7-8; 9,10; 13,19, 14,3 are quoted; in 14,3 R. takes brahman-

to mean prakrti in its subtle form; for this meaning he refers to MundUp. 1,1,9

tasmad etad brahmā nāmarūpans annam ca jāyate. 915 R. quotes BAU. 3,7,3-22 (M); SubalUp. 7 (where mrtyu- means "the subtle prakṛtu", < tamas in the same sense oc. st. 2); TaittAr. 3,11,1.

⁵¹⁶ ChUp. 6,2,1; 6,2,3-6, 8,6, Tantār. 2,6,2-3.
517 ChUp 6,3,2; R. cites further Taittār. 2,6,2. sarve vácakáh fabdáh; on vácaka- see Renou, Terminologie sub vác.

kara...ya of a word denoting an effect with a word denoting the Supreme Atman as cause, is used in its primary sense.

The upshot of all this is that Brahman is the material cause of the world, ²¹⁰ because Brahman, if modified by gross and subtle cit and acit, is effect and cause respectively. Nonetheless it is clear that the natures of cit and acit on the one hand and the nature of Brahman on the other are not amalgamated only because Brahman is the material cause of the conjoined cit and acit. For example: the material cause of a coloured cloth is a combination of white, black and red threads. The effected cloth will show a combination of whiteness, blackness and redness where white, black and red threads have been woven in it; but the colours will nowhere have mixed in the effected cloth, no more than they had mixed in the threads which were the cause of the cloth. Similarly, although a combination of God, cit and acit constitutes the material cause of the world, still in the effected world the respective qualities of God as the Ruler, cit as the Subject and acit as the Object of experience are not confused. ²²⁰

From all this it will be clear that the Supreme Brahman, though entering the effect, is not transformed thereby, because his proper form does not change. The fact that He exists at all as the ātman of cit and acit substances in gross condition and divided according to their individual distinctions of name-and-form, proves once more that He is effect, for being an effect is: entering into another mode of existence.

The doctrine that the Supreme Brahman is without: qualities **21 is true as far as it means that He is without all evil qualities, as the śruti clearly asserts.**22 The doctrine that knowledge is the essence of the Supreme Brahman is undeniably true if that be understood in the sense that the essence of Brahman, who is omniscient and omnipotent, can to only be reflected upon through knowledge and that this essence is knowledge inasmuch as He is self-illuminating.**22

^{519 &#}x27;brahmoțădănanı jagat, that is: Brahman constituting a whole with citiand acit in unevolved condition.

R. adds that this comparison holds only to some extent: for whereas therethereds have a separate existence, and, if combined by the will of a person into a cloth, constitute at once cause and effect, cit and acit have no separate existence and therefore God, modified by cit and acit, constitutes at once cause and effect himself.

doctrine of advaitavada, refuted in detail in ÇBh. 1,1,1 (Th. p. 78 ff.).

⁶²² R. quotes ChUp. 8,1,5.

⁵²³ to prove that Brahman is knower and essentially knowledge R. refers to 6 MundUp 2,2,7.

'The view that the plurality of things is unreal since they cannot hbe ensouled by Brahman, because all plurality is essentially foreign to 1-Brahman,: is contradicted) by several strutis 524, which affirm that Brahman does exist by his own will in a plurality of modes; because bhis being is constituted by the plural and manifold moving and imnmovable entities. This affirmation that Brahman is by his own will n modified by manifold entities because He is the experiencer in all kinds oof manifold forms, is certainly not contradicted by other stutis which point, to unity. 525

l This detailed exposition may suffice to show that there is no room for the view that Brahman is nescient, nor for the view that difference nin Brahman is due to upādhis. By the érutis themselves one can know that there is no contradiction between all srutis which, in the aforesaid nmanner, assert that essence and nature of God, cit and acit are differe ent, that they are at once cause and effect, and that cause and effect are eternal.

32. The body.

?Presently:it.will be summarily explained what a keetra is, which 3 elements depend on it, 520 to what transformations it it subject, to what e.end.it. has originated \$27 and what is its proper form; and what is the pproper, form, of the keetrajña and what powers it possesses. The reis, 4 Parāśara etc.,528, have worded this knowledge of ksetra and ksetrajña idin many, ways: Moreover they state that Vasudeva constitutes the atman opfilthe distinct kşetra and kşetrajna. 829 Many passages in the three Vedas ⁸³⁰ affirm very lucidly|that kṣetra and kṣetrajña exist separately and that Brahman is the atman of both. The Brahmasūtras, too, deal wwith this subject and their arguments carry conviction.531 This same knowledge, of ksetra, and ksetrajna will; now be briefly explained The keetra depends on the great elements - earth, water, fire, 5 by God.

⁵²⁴ Taitt Ar. 2,6,2; ChUp. 6,2,3; BAU; 3,4,7; 6,5,6; 7; 11.

^{500 3}ddrk G. = R. 3eşûm dîrayabhûtam. 501 = G. yatprabhûvah: yat = yato hetob, 10 3aimai prazojanaza.

⁵²⁸ R. quotes VP. 2,13, 64-66ab; 84; 96cd-98.

^{529 ·} MBh. 13,159,137.

expl. of the englanded by "resulting in positive knowledge"; the VS. car G. vinifeita- explained by "resulting in positive knowledge"; the VS. passages are 1. on kşetra; 2,3,1-18; 2. on kşetrajña; 2,3,19-39; 3. on God's being the atman of cit and acit inasmuch as he actuates them: 2,3,40.

wind, ether -, Ahamkara as the primeval element, Mahat 522 and Prakrti; 533 on the ksetra depend the tattvas, i.e. the 5 sensoreal senses, 5 motorial senses, the co-ordinating manas, and the 5 objects of the 6 senses; Desire and hatred, happiness and unhappiness, are the effects or the transformations of the ksetra. They are dharmas of the purusa,524 yet they are transformations of the k-etra because they originate from the conjunction of atman and ksetra and are therefore effectuated by the ksetra. The combination of these various elements is brought about by the cetana on which they ultimately depend.533 Summing up, the ksetra consists of substance, constitutes the basis of the senses, exists as a combination of elements subject to the transformations desire, hatred, happiness and unhappiness, and serves to be the substratum of the cetana's experience of happiness and unhappiness.

The qualities which one should possess in order to be able to know the atman in the effects of ksetra are the following; humility, sincerity, moffensiveness, patience, uprightness, attachment to one's guru, purity, detachment; impatience with regard to objects, discrimination between ātman and non-ātman, sence of the deficiency of bodily existence; dis-10 passionateness, equanimity; constant bhakti in solitude, dependence on 11 the knowledge of the atman, 536 and fixation on this knowledge. These qualities assist a person who is conjoined with prakiti in knowing the atman; all other qualities lead to ignorance, because they are incompatible with the knowledge of the atman.

3. The Ksetraiña.537

7

The proper form of the individual atman will now be explained; 12 by virtue of this knowledge - which is attainable with the assistance of the above qualities - one will reach the pure atman, the atman exempt from the dharmas of prakrti like birth, old age, death etc.

The individual atman is beginningless and endless; 538 it is subject

11

^{532 =} G buddhi-, not to be confounded with buddhi- as a psychological function, supra 2,41

⁵³³ G awakta-, not to be confounded with avyakta- = atman-,

⁶³⁴ R refers to G 13,20

⁵³⁵ R reads samghatas cetanadhrith, the latter word being a bahuvrihi adjectival to the former.

⁵³⁶ adhyātmajñānanityatvam G. = R -nişthatvam

R: that principle which knows, the keetra as said above (st. 1): ctad (sc. kşetram) 30 vetti ... 535 KathUp 2,18 is quoted, R. reads anadi matharam.

to God, of whom it is a veṣa, 518 it is a quantity 40 of the category ksetrajña, distinguishable from and not circumscribable by the body 541 It cannot be called sat or asat, because it is neither effect nor cause 512 The atman's conjunction with the conditions of effect and cause results, not from its proper form, but from its concealment by ignorance or karman, ksetra and ksetrajña conjoined may be called cause,543 but not the pure ksetrajña alone, because the condition of cause results from karman 544 The atman in its pure form is able to perform the 13 tasks of hands and feet, of eyes etc, completely, 544 it is present in everything because it cannot be determined by space etc. It is capable 14 of knowing the objects with and without the functioning of the senses, it is detached from all bodies, yet it can support all bodies. It is by nature exempt from the gunas sattva etc., yet it is capable of experiencing the gunas It can abandon the elements completely and exist 15 without a body, and it can exist within a body. In the spontaneous operations 540 of the body it is at once unmoving, because of its own stable nature, and moving, because it is in a body. Though existing in the ksetra, it cannot be comprehended by the samsarins because its uncommon subtlety sets it apart from the body Though present in the body, it is distant from those who are devoid of the above qualities, say and near to those who possess them Though present in all beings, the 16 atman is undivided because its only form is being a knower, to those, however, who dot no know this form, it appears to be distributed over all shapes of beings divine human etc

It has already been pointed out 545 that the atman can be known

GIO = G 11stpara11 that God is superior to the atman is proved by 12 for the atman as a sesa of God R cites BAU 3722 (M) CretUp 69 616

⁵⁴⁰ brahman G = R brhattvagunazogi ksetrajnatattvam for brahman Sorhant- cf CBh 1414 (Th p 385) see Gonda Brahman (passim) for the sense br = atman R refers to 1426-27 and 1854 see also Intr

or = aiman K refers to 1420-21 and 10-07 sec also and 10-11 R refers to CvetUp 59 sa education k halpate he adds that the diman

¹⁵ circumscribable in so far as it is subject to karman

to condition of effect R sat = effect for an entity is called sat in the condition of effect then it has the form of god man etc but it is asat or cause if it is not an effect he refers to TattAr 871 asod vå idam agra āsit / tato tai sad ajāyate 543 that is when in subtle condition in which they constitute Br s body

that is when in subtle condition in which they consultate an 3 2009 set the atman can be called cause in the above sense if conjoined with

³⁴⁵ R quotes CretUp 319 which refers to the Supreme Brahman but acc. to MundUp 318 and ChUp 7.26.2 the ind atman is similar to the Supreme Br, so that the same holds good for the atman

⁵⁴⁶ R such as eg those enumerated in ChUp 7,123

⁸⁴⁷ humility etc above 7 17

⁵⁴⁸ ad st 2

as being different from the body because it knows the body; now it is said that the same can be known on other grounds. Because it supports the elements combined in the shape of the body, it can be known as being different from the elements supported. Because it annihilates and originates food etc., which is constituted by elements, it can be known as different from these elements.

Knowledge, the light of the atman, 549 illuminates even luminaries - such as the sun, a lamp etc. - which dispel only that darkness which hinders the contacts of senses with objects. It is beyond prakrti. 550 Therefore the atman can be known as knowledge, because it has but one form; knowledge, and is attainable by the above means to knowledge. When a devotee of God has acquired this knowledge of the 18 ksetra and the knowledge of the means leading to the attainment of the atman in its proper form, distinct from the ksetra, 551 he is qualified to reach that state in which he is released from samsāra. 552

4. The natural conjunction of atman with praketi.

17

Prakrti and purusa, and the conjunction of both, have no beginning. 19 The transformations of the prakrti, desire, hatred etc., which cause a person to be tied to samsara, and the qualities of humility etc., which cause him to be released, originate from prakrti. So the beginningless prakrti conjoined with the atman and developed into ksetra is, through its own transformations and its own qualities, the cause alike of a person's bondage and of his release.

The activities of body and organs 553 - which are activities in 20 experiencing - depend on the prakrti developed into keetra. This prakrti is subservient to the person or purusa who is the agent, as stated in the Sütras.554 This agency of the purusa means that the purusa is the cause and initiator of all activities to which the subservient prakrti is instrumental. So all experience of happiness and unhappiness depends on the purusa conjoined with prakrti. The purusa itself finds no happiness except in self-experience. When however the purusa is conjoined

⁵⁴⁹ G. jyotih equated with "knowledge", for ātmaprabhārūpam jūdnam. 550 = G. tamas; cf. tamas in SubālUp. 2, which R. always explains by prakṛti (e g. supra n. 15).

⁵⁵¹ R. kşetra is treated of in 5-6; the means of knowledge in 7-11; the knowledge of kşetrajña in 12-18.

^{552 =} G. madbhāvāya, the ātman being similar to God in so far as it is not essentially subject to karman.

^{553 =} G. karya- and karana- resp. 554 VS. 2,3,33.

with prakrti, it has experiences of happiness, unhappiness etc, which are conditioned by its conjunction with prakrti and effectuated by the gunas sattva etc

The purusa, existing in a particular shape and nature — divine, human etc — which is a result of previous deleopments of prakrti, is attached to happiness etc which consi t of the gunas and are proper to that particular nature, and is active in performing the good and evil acts leading to that happiness etc. In order to experience the results of his acts he is born in a certain nature, good or evil, this new existence induces him again to be active and consequently to be born again in samsara, until he cultivates the qualities of humility etc by which he may attain the atman

The purusa, when existing in such a body and conniving at its activities, looks on and consents, therefore it is the lord of the body lin the same way it experiences the happiness and unhappiness resulting from the body s activities. So because it rules supports and exceeds set the body, it is a sovereign lord as compared to its body, senses and mind Likewise it is called the body sovereign atman, — sovereign as compared to the body —, and a most sublime purusa, ie a purusa whose knowledge and power are not to be circumscribed by the body, as has been said above sea. Nevertheless, so long as it is attached to gunas, the purusa is sovereign only as compared with the service body.

He who knows that the purusa and the prakrti have the aforesaid natures and who knows the nature of the gunas — which is still left to explain —, he knows discriminatingly. He will not be reborn conjointly with prakrti but attain the purified atman characterized by non circumscribable knowledge as soon as he dies.

Of those who possess this knowledge there are several categories 1 the bhaktas with perfect yoga who contemplate with their minds the atman in their bodies, ⁵⁵¹ 2 the jūanayogins with imperfect yoga, who contemplate the atman when they have qualified their minds for yoga, ⁵⁵⁸ 3 the karmayogins, — a unqualified to practise jūanayoga, b preferring an easier method, c compelled by their authoritativeness to practise karmayoga and who contemplate the atman, being qualified for yoga by karmayoga which implies jinanayoga, 4 karmayogins who are

sesitvena having the body as seşa subservient instrument

^{551 14} San G = R bhaktizogena almanı almanam = sarirasihilam al-

mānam ālmanā = manasā ⁶⁵⁸ R sāṃkhjayoga = mānajoga (cf sāṃkhja supra 2,39)

not qualified for any of the methods but listen to sages who know the truth and then worship the atman through acts they too will contemplate the atman and conquer death, 5 the traditionalists 559 who are unable to do more than listen to the sages these will contemplate the atman when they are purified of evil and practise karmayoga etc

When a being is born with a moving or an immovable atman, then 26 he is born from an interdependent combination of ksetra and ksetraiña. composite, never apart. He who perceives that the atmans in all com-27 posite beings of all dissimilar shapes are equal because they always have one and the same form knowledge, and that they do not perish when the bodies die, has an exact perception of the atman But a man who looks upon the atmans as unequal, simply because the shapes of the beings in which they reside are dissimilar, and as subjected to birth, death etc. is eternally doomed to samsara. When a person perceives 28 that the atmans, residing in all bodies and being the sesins, supports and rulers of all these bodies are equal because they all have the same form knowledge, then he saves the atman with his mind 560 from samsara As a result of his perception of the equality of all atmans he will attain the atman in its pure form, without this perception one will implicate one s atman in samsara

When a person perceives that all acts are performed by the prakrti, that therefore the atman is non-agent and that the atman has the form of knowledge, then he perceives that the atman's conjunction with prakrti its directing capacity and its experience of happiness and unhappiness all result from ignorance — effected by karman —, and then he has an exact perception of the atman. When a person perceives that all different modes of existence of all beings depend on one principle, prakţti and not on the atman, and that the varieties of new beings issuing from these beings again arise from prakrti, then he will attain the atman in its purest form

31 This sublime atman is not perishable like the body, for it has no beginning it does not act and is not bound by the body's modes of existences, because it is free from gunas

32 Question Granted that the atman does not act because it is free from gunas how can it be possible that the atman is not contaminated by the body s modes of existence which accompany the atman eternally?

Ansuer In this the atman may be compared to space albeit associated with all substances space is too subtle to be contaminated by the modes

^{559 =} G srutiparā\anāh 560 ātmanā G = R. manasā

of existence of all those substances; likewise, though present in all bodies, the atman is too subtle to be contaminated by their modes of existence

The keetrin illuminates the entire keetra, within and without, by its own light, like the sun illuminates the entire world. And, even as the illuminating sun is totally different from the illuminated world, so the knowing ātman is totally different from his kṣetra.

Those who discern the difference between keetra and keetrajña by 34 means of their knowledge of the existing difference, and who know how set they can be released from the prakrti of the elements, will attain the sovereign, i.e. the released atman in its purest form.

II THE GUNAS

1. The manner in which the gunas cause the bondage of the ātman.

Now another kind of knowledge will be dealt with, to wit the 14, 1knowledge of the gunas. This is the most important knowledge concerning prakṛti and puruṣa. Having acquired this knowledge a man is equal to God and no longer subject to origination and annihilation.

Before the rôle which the gunas play in the bondage of the âtman 3 is explained, it is said that the conjunction of purusa and prakṛti is brought about by God himself. God causes the purusa and prakṛti of all substances to be conjoined: He plants the mass of spiritual beings 562 as an embryo in the Mahad Brahma 563 which is the womb of the nonspiritual matter; in other words, God conjoins his spiritual prakṛti, constituted by experiencing purusas, with his non-spiritual prakṛti, constituted by the kṣetra of experience. From this conjunction all beings arise: the Mahad Brahma — the non-spiritual prakṛti — is the cause 4 of all these beings. God is the sowing father: He conjoins the spiritual beings with certain modes of existence in keeping with their karman. Now, what is the cause of our repeated births — as a god, a man etc. — 5 in conjunction with acit and in harmony with our previous karman since the beginning of creation? That is the three gunas which are

R.: moksyate 'neneti moksalı, these means being the qualities of humility etc. summed op supra st. 7-11.

⁻⁻ assummed op supra st. /-11.
569 or the jivabhūtaprakṛti of 7,5, here, acc. to R., called embryo because it is 685 by R. equated with the non-spiritual prakrti of 7.4, in contrast with the the seed (blja-) of all beings.

jivabhita (7,5); in the opposite mahad R. reads an indication to this equation, for the non-spiritual prakrit is the cause of Mahat, Ahamkara etc.; for Br. prakṛti R. refers to MundUp. 1,1,9.

inherent in the prakrti conjoined being and particularize its nature, and which can only be known through their effects - brightness etc -, which are not apparent in pure praketi but are apparent in its transformations, Mahat etc

The gunas bind the purusa, who is conjoined with a body in all its evolutions from the primordial prakrti but who in itself is not subjected to gunas they bind the purusa within the restrictions of his 6 corporeal existence 561 The sattva guna gives rise to light and happiness, for it is immaculate that light is enlightenment on the proper form of things, this guna causes health. Sattva causes a man to be attached to happiness and knowledge and binds him in this way. Once attached to knowledge and happiness, he will be actively engaged in realizing them by profane and Vedic means, and attach himself to them when realized 7 The rajas guna causes sexual desire general ambitiousness and affection for one's nearest 800 So by exciting his fesires it binds a dehin to acts. These acts may be good or evil and cause him to be born in a 8 nature in which he will experience the good or evil results. The tamas guna springs from false knowledge, it binds the dehin through neg-9 ligence laziness and sleep Summing up, sattva mainly causes an

attachment to happiness raiss to activity, and tamas to undutifulness by causing false knowledge 10 As has been said above, the gunas inhere in the prakrti which

gradually is developed into a body Question But then, how can they produce effects which contrast to one another?

Answer Although all three gunas are inherent in prakrti conjoined with atman they can, owing to previous karman and different nourishment,566 predominate over one another Sattva preponderates when raias and tamas are subdued rajas when sattva and tamas are subdued, 11 and tamas when sattva and rajas are subdued From the effects can

be seen which guna actually preponderates. When knowledge is ef-12 fectuated, then rajas preponderates When greed, final as well as

aimless activity,56° sensoreal activity 568 and desire are effectuated, then

expl of G a yavam (essentially) imperishable sus resp G rāga (R 30sithurusayor anyonyasprhā), trsnā (R sabdādisarvansayaspiha) and sanga (R putradişu sambandhişu samsleşaspiha </sac 'cling to)

the influence of nourishment will be dealt with in Lect 17 567 = G prarrtti arambha explained as esp 'final energy'

G a ama the non ceasing of sensoreal activity

rajas preponderates When no true knowledge,⁵⁶⁹ no activity, no sense of duty and false knowledge are effectuated, then tamas preponderates When at a man's death sattva preponderates, then he will be reborn in a family for of people who have true knowledge of the atman and be qualified to perform acts furthering the true knowledge of the atman When rajas preponderates at his death, he will be reborn in families who act to gain results and be qualified to perform acts leading to results—heaven and the like When tamas preponderates he will be reborn as a brute incapable of aspiring to man's major ends

According to those who know the developments of the gunas a person who has died while sattva preponderated and consequently has been reborn in a family of sages, will — in virtue of his disinterested action — gain more sattva in his next life and live without suffering and A person who has died while rajas preponderated will suffer in samsara, this suffering consists in endless activities for the sake of results A person who has died while tamas preponderated will suffer from perpetuated ignorance

Question To what results does the increase of sattva in subsequent 17

Answer When sattva increases, it will produce knowledge, ie true evidential knowledge of th atman ⁵¹² When rajis increases, then one s greed for results like heaven etc will increase too When tamas increases, it will produce negligence, consequently incorrect activity, consequently erroneous knowledge which will increase tamas again, and finally no knowledge at all Sattvika persons will gradually rise and attain release Rajasa persons who act for the sake of results and consequently will be reborn to continue acting, will remain in the middle which means general unhappiness because it will lead to ever new births Tamasa persons, stooping down to ever worse acts will gradually go down to the condition of sudra, of animals, insects, vegetables, immovable matter.

2 How people, whose sattva has gradually 11 creased, may rise beyond the aunas

A man's sattva will increase when he partakes of sattvika nourishment and performs disinterested acts to propitiate God and totally

prakāja- (in G aprakāsa-) 'enlightenment as above st. 6

sto G lokin expl by samahan, hence 'family'

sti mirmalam G = R. diikhagandharahitam

ninam G = R. diikhagandharahitam

suppresses his rajas and tamas. When in this favourable condition he perceives that the gunas are the agents of activities 573 corresponding to gunas and knows that the atman itself is non-agent, then he will attain the condition of God

20 Question. What is meant by the 'condition of God'? Answer Cognizance of the immortal atman, for a dehin who has risen beyond the three gunas which arise from praketi developed into a body, and who perceives that the atman is different from the three gunas and has the form of knowledge, will be released from birth, death and old age and cognize the ātman

Question By what signs can a man who has risen beyond the gunas 21 be recognized? How is his behaviour - which is a sign to recognize him by - and how his proper form? And how has he risen beyond the gunas?

Answer A man has risen beyond the gunas when he does not hate 22 the effects of the gunas,574 if they are present in undesirable things, and does not desire them if they are absent from desirable things different from the atman He takes no part in anything, for he desires

23 nothing but to contemplate the ātman. He is not disturbed by the gunas which may seduce or pester him by desire or hatred. His acts are not dictated by the effects of the gunas, for he perceives the gunas in their

24-25 effects He is equable in happiness and unhappiness, his love for the atman causing his equanimity because these effects are not the atman's; so a clod, a stone and a piece of gold are the same to him. He does not prefer the desirable to the undesirable. He knows how to discriminate between prakrti and ātman,575 so no blame or praise will impress him because they arise from virtue or lack of virtue which result from the delusion that the atman is just a human being So he is equable when honoured or when blamed, and consequently is indifferent to friends as well as enemies. Thus he renounces all activities resulting from his being a dehin

How is one to rise beyond the gunas? Not merely by realizing the 26 difference between atman and prakrti,576 for this realization can be sublated by a wrong vasana Only when a person pays homage to God through perfect bhaktiyoga, can he conquer the almost invincible gunas

⁵⁷³ cf supra 3,29
574 G prakāsa-, pra. rlii and moha- are taken resp as the effects of sativa, rajas and tamas (above st 11, 12 and 13)

bts expl of G dhira-, from Vdhr-, cf n 262 5"6 as set forth supra st 19

Only then is he qualified for brahman's condition, ie can he attain the otman as it really is, immortal and imperishable,577 for God is the 27 support of the atman, of the eternal dharma, 518 and of perfect felicity. 519

III GOD'S SUPERNAL MANIFESTATION

According to the sruti 580 the roots of the asvattha tree, which is eternal, are planted above and its branches reach downward. This asvattha tree is the samsara, beginning with Brahma who resides above the Universe, and ending below in men, cattle etc living on the earth It is eternal because its continuous flow cannot be stopped before a perfect knowledge is effected Again, the leaves of this tree are said to be the Hymns, for the samsara is made to increase by the desiderative acts which are explained by the srutis 581 He who knows this samsara to be thus knows the Veda, for the Veda sets forth the means by which the samsara may be stopred so one's knowledge of the samsara helps the knowledge of the means by which one may overcome the samsara The downward branches — men etc — which spring from the previous 2 karman of these men etc, sprout downward again into men etc and upward into gandharvas, yaksas, gods etc , ⁵⁸² all these beings flourish through the gunas and their shoots are the objects. The roots of the tree in the world of Brahma ramify in the world of men, according to

People in the samsara are unable to see this tree so as it has been 3 karman described A man can only perceive this much that he is a man, son of so-and-so, father of so and so, living in circumstances corresponding to his conditi n He does not see that the end of the tree is brought

582 1e., acc. to the nature of their karman.

brahma- (in brahmabhijaja st 26) is synonymous with brahmano amplassassas, or the atman in its pure form whom to reach is the ambition

⁸⁷⁸ R remarks that dharmasya being on a par with brahmanah and sukhasya of the knivalvarthin (which both are the aspirants objects), must also be an object to be attained, not merely a means of attaining an object it is synonymous with aisvarya, the aim

^{5 9} this felicity being the ambition of the third aspirant, the judinin, or perfect bhakta R. connects this line with the passage of prapatit (7.14 ff) where it was of the aisvaryarthin explicitly stated that the gunas can only be overcome, and the atman consequently

only attained by prapatti, for a discussion of this passage see Ch. IV. p. 25

so expl of G prahule, R quotes KaihUp 61, Taitta 12,52

so expl of G prahule, R quotes KaihUp 61, Taitta 12,52

sai R eg ApC 19163 and MairtS 1101, that the leaves are these
sair R eg ApC 19163 representations of the service of flourishes through the fulfilment of desires so a tree flourishes through its leaves.

about by detachment from enjoyments which consist of gunas, nor that the tree springs from attachment to gunas, nor that the tree is founded on ignorance 583 which is the misconception that atman is non-atmin 4 One should cut this deep-rooted asvattha down with the sword of detachment forged from perfect knowledge, and then one should find that place from which one will never return

Question How does the attachment to experiences which consist of gunas - an attachment dating back to beginningless times - cease to exist, and how does the erroneous knowledge the root of this attachment, cease to exist?

Answer To sublate this ignorance, one should take refuge 584 in the aforesaid primordial purusa 183 for He is the creator of everything and this active attachment to experiences which consist of gunas has originally arisen from him. This attachment is indeed an ancient one. for the ancient aspirants knew it. they took refuge in God and were consequently released from their bonds. So witch people have taken refuge in God, then his grace will facilitate all their activities they will no more be subject to the misconception 586 that atman is nonatman, they will conquer their attachment, and delight in meditating on the atman, their desire for things different from the atman will vanish, they will be released from the pair of opposites happiness and unhappiness, and they will know the natures of atman and non-atman Then they will attain the atman such as it is, in its form of unlimited knowledge

The light of the atman cannot be illuminated by sun or moon or fire It is God's supreme light from which one will never return it is God's because it is an amsa vs and a vibliuti of God, and it is supreme because it can illuminate even the sun etc, but cannot be illuminated by them for it is knowledge which illuminates all Yoga kindles the light of knowledge but yoga is impeded by beginningless karman That karman may, however, be stopped by detachment founded on prapatti

This atman an eternal amsa of God, will either become a jiva

paraphrases resp G anta, and sampratistha

R reads prapadied he seems to know the vulgate reading prapadie jatah, or at least the v1 prapadya yatah the latter of which he comments prapadye natah - if correct - should be interpreted prapadya natah ('in consequence of that (prapatti) alone) of however Intr Ch IV p 26 27 for ajnanamorttyadeh read nizyttave

⁵⁸⁵ for adya R refers to G 910 108 76

⁵⁸⁶ moha hence the meaning of amadha below

⁵⁸⁷ on amsa cf CBh 2342 (Th p 558 ff) and 3,2,26 27 (Th p 618 f), see Gonda Bhaktı generallı p 653 ff on R p 655 f

bhūta,589 darkened by ignorance and existing as a living being, and govern the senses and mind of the body, or it will exist in its proper form, released from ignorance in the aforesaid manner. Whatever 8 body 589 the atman enters and from whatever body it departs, it will always retain those senses with the subtle elements and roam with them like the breeze roams with the odours which it has carried from their original abodes. It will adapt those senses and mind to their 9 natural functioning in objects and thereby experience these objects.

Those who are perplexed by ignorance do not perceive that the ātman-with-guṇas is conjoined, forms a whole, with human nature etc., which are particular developments of prakrti consisting of gunas, nor do they perceive that this atman is either departing from a certain mass of prakrti, or existing in it and experiencing the objects, and that his atman might at some time be different from such a mass — human nature etc. — and have only one form, knowledge. They are unable to perceive this, for they have the misconception that the atman is akin to that mass to which it is conjoined. Those,500 however, who know the difference between mass and ātman and so perceive that the ātman, albeit present in all conditions, is different from whatever mass it is conjoined with, have a clear vision of the truth.

Karmayogins who practise prapatti will perceive through yoga that the atman, though existing in the body, is different from it and has a form of its own. But those who exert themselves without prapatti and whose minds are therefore unqualified and incapable of contem-. plating the atman 591 do not perceive it.

It has been said above 502 that knowledge can illuminate all luminaries, and that the ātman, whether released or existing in a body, is a vibhuti of God. But the light itself of the luminaries, which is a development of prakrti, is also a vibhūti of God: their light is God's light, for He has granted it to them. The carrying-capacity of the earth, 1.3 too, comes from God, for He enters into the earth and carries all beings and nourishes them. Likewise, by entering into soma, which consists of the juice of amrta, He becomes all herbs. By becoming the digestive fire in the bodies of living beings He digests their fourfold

i.e. conjoined with praketi- or strabhata- see G. 7,6.

read yoc chartram.

expl. of jilanacaksuşah. cf. G. 14, st. 26 and 27.

st. 6-7.

15 food, 503 for God rules everything by his own will and He exists as the atman within all existing beings, in their hearts from which the knowledge, which is the root of activity and mactivity of all beings, springs forth 501 Therefore, the memory 503 of all beings springs from God, and so does positive knowledge 504 and the sublation of ignorance 507 And therefore God may be known by all Vedas, although they deal only with divinities like Agin, because God is their atman and eternal ruler. So God grants the fruit 508 of the Vedas, and He knows the Vedas which expound him in the above manner. This is the only way in which the Vedas can be explained.

Therefore, one should hear from God what the essential meaning of the Vedas is There are two purusas which are known in the world, ksara, i e all beings created conjoint with acit and naturally transient, i e the jīvas, and aksara, i e the released ātman in its pure form, no longer conjoined with acit, which is kūtastha or having none of the characteristics of the body which is a development of prakrit ⁵⁹⁹

17 There is, however, a third purusa, differing from both the ksara and the aksara purusas that is the Supreme Atman, who pervades and supports the three categories 600 of cetana, conjoined cetana and released cetana, from which He is different, because He is the eternal Lord 18 Inasmuch as God transcends the ksara purusa, he transcends aksara and released ātman as well Therefore He is the Supreme Purusa or Person, and so He is styled by sruti and somt 601.

 503 R v12 $kh\bar{a}d\gamma acos3 alehyape3\bar{a}tmaka$ "to be chewed, sucked, licked and drunk

594 TantiAr 3111 BAU 37,3-22 (M) MahanarUp 117, ChUp 8,1,1, VP 111720 and Manu 12122 are quoted

595 defined purvānublūtivisasam anubhavasamskāramātrajaņi jūānam

defined indryalingagamayogajo tashuniscayah indriya "perception', linga inference', agama "scriptural authority' the three pramanas which R recognizes joga- in the sense of "intuitive presentation' is not a separate pramana (Lacombe, ASV p 281), but being memory (sinti-), is implied by perception, that here joga- is included among the pramanas is certainly, as Dasgupta points out an anomaly (III, p 214)

307 G apohana either annänansvytti- (<"removal"), or = ühana- = "conjectural knowledge (s v NK)

598 antakrt- G = R phalakrt

16

500 R both ksara and aksarapurusa- are generic names, the common characteristic of the former being the common upadhi of conjunction with prakṛti, and of the latter the common upadhi of separateness from prakṛti.

600 loka- in G lokatrayam expl by that which is perceived (lokyate)', so

lokatraya- means the three categories which are perceived

ool tedariha. alokandi loka tis singtir thocyale "loka- means singti- here because (through singti-) the purport of the Veda is seen', for sruti R quotes ChUp 8,1223, for singti VP 5,17,34

He who knows that God is the Supreme Purusa, differing in kind 19 from ksara and aksara purusas because his nature is imperishable and because He pervades, supports and rules all beings by nature, knows all that can be known as a means of attaining God He practises bhakti towards God in all the ways 602 which are said to lead to the attainment of God And his knowledge will excite God's love, the love which responds to the knowledge of God and that which responds to all kinds of bhaktı towards God Therefore one should cherish this knowledge of God's transcendence over both other purusas

Herewith has God revealed that most mysterious doctrine of his 20 transcendence to Arjuna whom He considers to be qualified to hear it because of his purity 603 Through this doctrine, which can be known by the sastras, a man who wishes to attain God may acquire the buddhi thereto and perform all tasks which should be performed

IV THE DIVINE AND THE DEMONIAC

The beings who are active in this karmayoga are divided into two 16, 6 classes, 1 the divine and 2 the demoniac To which class they belong is determined at their very birth by their own karman. It has already been explained in detail, to which conduct the divine are born as they submit themselves to God's commandment, they practise karma-, jñānaand bhaktiyoga in order to be released from their bondage and attain God 804

1 The Davine

A person belonging to the divine class of beings is free from fear, 1 his internal organ is purified of rajas and tamas, he is fixed on the discrimination of the atman as a principle different from prakrti, he is generous, performs sacrifices to propitiate God, is fixed on the practice of his Vedic task, being convinced that the entire Veda deals with God and his vibhutis and explains how God should be worshipped, and he performs austerities which favour his capability of acting He is sincere, 2 non-violent, tells the truth if the truth is not offensive, is free from anger, renounces property incompatible with the interests of the atman,

⁶⁰² sarvabhāsena G = R sarvabhasanaprakāraih

vexpl of vocative anagha.

604 so R explains 'oke 'smin karmayoga being the means by which a man, 603 vexpl of vocative anagha. living in the world, can attain release.

trains his senses to turn away from objects, 605 abstains from hurting speech, is compassionate, does not desire objects, is not harsh but worthy of associating with sādhus, has a sense of shame, and remains a unattracted by desirable objects. He resists all attacks of wicked persons, 606 is patient and persevering, and his internal and external organs are pure and qualified to perform their tasks, he does not interfere with the desires of others and he is not over-proud

2. The Demoniac

A person who belongs to the demontae class of beings because he has been born to infringe God's commandment like the demons do practises dharma for the fame of it, delights in experiencing objects and is consequently unable to discriminate between what ought and what ought not, he is conceited irascible, scandalizes sādhus for and is unable to discriminate between higher and lower reality, what ought to be done and what ought not

The above divine nature of submission to God's commandment leads to release from bondage and to the attainment of God. The demoniac nature of infringing God's commandment leads to bondage and degeneration Arjuna who was dubious of his own nature, is reassured being the son of Pandu, that leading Vaisnava, he belongs by birth to that class whose nature is divine

Whereas the Divine are born to practise karma-, jñāna- and bhaktiyoga, the Demoniac are ignorant of the Vedic dharma which leads
to prosperity and release ⁸⁰⁹⁵ Neither the purity nor the ability required
to perform the Vedic acts as prescribed by the Vedas are proper to t! ein
nature nor do they practise the twilight ceremonies which bring about
this purity. So no auspicious veracity is proper to their nature. Moreover they deny that the world is ensouled by Brahman ⁶⁰⁹ and that it is
8 ruled by God ⁶¹⁰ They deny that the entire creation can be understood
to originate from the association of prakti and purusa, and so they
do not understand it at all and contend that the world is caused by

⁶⁰³ santıh G = R ındrıyanam ınşayapras anyanırodhasamısılanam

⁶⁰⁶ tejas- being 'the power to overcome and rule others' (parabhibhavana-

^{607 =} G parus am contrasted to mardatam in st 2

⁶⁰⁸ prantiti- G = R abhyndaya mytti = mokşa-, both are effects of the observance of the Vedic dharma

oop satram here in its upanisadic sense (TaittUp 211) of Brahman = God, apratistham not being founded on Brahman, for which R refers to VP 2,5,27.

desire Therefore, by maintaining this contention they do not realize 9 that the atman differs from the body and they lack all discernment because they cannot conceive that the atman is different from the body masmuch as the atman knows the body Consequently they do much harm to everybody they are born to bring the world to ruin They resort to a desire which can searcely be realized, and to realize it they are driven by their ignorance to get money in unlawful ways, our and they parade their unorthodox views with arrogance, pride and presumption Although they may die today or tomorrow, they plan projects which extend beyond their limitations and whose materialization will take up all the time before they die, as ordinary persons will Therefore they think that man's supreme end is enjoyment, and they are convinced that no end could be more exalted Trapped by a hundred hopes and acting upon nothing but desire and anger, they aspire criminally to many things in order to enjoy their desires They are ignorant enough 13 to think that they have obtained all that they possess by their own efforts and not by virtue of an unseen cause, 612 that they have realized their ambitions and acquired their money of their own accord, without the assistance of an unseen factor, and that they may do it again They attribute to themselves the power to slay an enemy, and the bravery and perseverance to slay more They do not allow for an unseen factor. that is an invention of stupid weaklings. So by themselves they are independent and lords over others, by themselves they have experience, not through an unseen power Their success is due to themselves, and so are their power, their happiness, their riches, their pedigree. 15 Who in the world, they ask, is my equal? In their ignorance they believe that they sacrifice, give and enjoy by themselves, independently of the grace of God Distracted by all their conceited reflections, ensuared by 16 the net of bewilderment and given to the enjoyment of their desires, they die in the middle of it all and fall a prey to hell. They pay homage to themselves, regard themselves as perfect and come to nothing, for intoxicated by their money and their conceit of knowledge and descent they perform sacrifices for the fame of it, and not in the prescribed manner They support themselves by their egoism, power, pride, desire 18 and anger, and therefore they hate God within their own and others bodies and try to discover fallacies in the doctrine of God's existence

^{*** =} G grhitrdsodgrabds

*** = G grhitrdsodgrabds

** = G grhitrdsodgrabds

*** = G grhitrdsodgrabds

** = G grhitrdsodgrabds

*** = G grhitrdsodgrabds

*** = G grhitrdsodgrabds

** = G grhitrdso

- 19 by inconclusive sophisms 613 But God hurls these cruel, impure and meanest people into cycles of existence, revolving around birth, old age, death etc., there they will be born in circumstances incompatible with the attainment of God their buddhis will be depraved and cause their gunas to be active in functioning conformably to the circumstances in 20 which they are born. So gradually they will fall deeper and deeper, devoid of and never arriving at true knowledge of God, until they reach the nethermost level.
 - 3 The cause of the atman's decline in demoniac nature
 - 21 The demoniac nature that hell! 614 has a threefold cause which destroys the atman, viz desire, anger and greed Therefore 22 these three should be renounced When a man is released from these three, which cause erroneous knowledge of God, he will practise all that furthers the interests of the atman His knowledge of God will make him aspire to please God, and so he will reach the uppermost level 23 Lack of reverence for the sästras is the main cause of that hell for if a man rejects the sastra called Veda, and the instruction of God called Veda, 610 and acts merely to realize his wishes, following the lead of
 - Veda, ⁶¹³ and acts merely to realize his wishes, following the lead of his ambitiousness, he will never attain any siddhi in the next world, nor will he find any happiness in this world, let alone the attainment of the supreme end

V ON THE ACTS WHICH ARE ESTABLISHED BY THE \$ASTRAS

- 1 Acts which are not established by the sastras have not the desired results, for they are of a demoniac character.
- 17, 1 Arjuna does not know that acts which are not established by the sastras have no results, and he wants to know the various results various in so far as effected by the various gunas which follow acts which are not established by the sastras, but are performed in faith Question What is the position of those who neglect the regulations of the sastras but perform sacrifices in faith? Is that position sattva, rajas or tamas?
 - 2 Answer Sastric faith is of three kinds, and it is determined by one's own nature one's own preferences which are effectuated by previous

⁶¹³ paraphr of G abhyasiiyakāh

⁶¹⁴ narakasya G = R āsurasvabhāvarūtasya

^{615 -} idhih G = R zedākhyam madanusāsanam

vāsanās. 618 A man has faith in that act for which he has a preference. Faith is the zeal 617 in executing the means to fulfil a desire; so it presupposes confidence. Vāsanā, preference and faith all originate from that particular natural conjunction with prakrti which corresponds to the properties 618 of the atman. The factors which condition these properties are the gunas which inhere in body, senses and internal organ. The gunas can only be known by their effects, and these effects are the cognitions of body, senses and internal organ conjoined with gunas. Hence that faith, too, is of three kinds, it is either of a sattva, or a rajas, or a tamas nature.

Everybody's faith corresponds to the guna with which his internal 3 organ 618 is conjoined. When a person has a matured faith 620 in auspicious acts - which themselves are the eventual outcome of that faith ---, then he will gain the results of these auspicious acts; consequently faith, or zeal, is the first thing required to gain a result.

Those who have a faith of sattva nature sacrifice to the gods; so 4 the faithful zeal in sacrificing to the gods who cause supreme bliss unstained by unhappiness is of sattva nature. Persons of a rajas disposition sacrifice to yakṣas and rākṣasas; their faith brings about limited happiness interrupted by unhappiness. The faith of a tamas person brings about an extremely limited happiness which mostly is unhappiness.

However, no happiness at all will result from ritual acts which are 5 not enjoined by the sastras, because such acts are contrary to God's teaching. Moreover, they do harm to the performers: they who perform enjoined by the śāstras are subject to conceit, ahamkāra, desire, hankering and violence, and they 6 torture all embodied beings and the jivas, which are amsas of God, within their bodies. 221 They are of a demoniac persuasion: for demons

⁶¹⁶ R.: sväbhärah sväsädhärano bhävah präetnaväsanänimittas tattadrucivišesah.

⁶¹⁷ tvarā.

dharmah.

619 saltva G = R. antahkarana-; sarcassa purusassantahkarananurasa
raddha i iraddha bhacati / antahkaranan yadriagunaynktan tadriqoya raddha jayata ity arthali; acc. to R, the word sattva- or antahkarana- implies here body, sensoreal

oaus etc. as well.

920 fraddhafnayah G. = R. fraddhafnarinamah; the following is R's para112316 of C organs etc. as well.

mām G. = R. madamšabliūtam jit am.

act contrary to God's commandment Therefore they will find no happiness at all and fall a prey to a multitude of calamities

2 Acts which are established by the sastras are of three kinds, according to their determining guna

a Nourishment

- Nourishment 122 is of three kinds, as it may be determined by each of the three gunas. Consequently the sacrifice is also of three kinds, even as tapas and charity
- 8 1 Sattva kinds of nourishment please a man whose sattva preponderates. These kinds of nourishment increase lite and consequently knowledge, 3 power and health. They increase happiness while developing into fulness and they increase pleasure because they induce a person to perform acts which give him pleasure. These kinds of pourishment are sweet, uncy, substantial and agreeable to the eye.
 - 9 2 Food that agrees with a rajas person is bitter, sour, too salty, very hot, very biting, dry and burning Such food being of rajas nature increases unhappiness, sorrow, illness and eventually rajas
- 3 Food that is stale, spoiled, reeking, putrid, that consists of left-overs of persons who are not gurus and that does not consist of sacrificial remnants 624 agrees with a tanias person. It increases tamas

Therefore, people who in their own interest want to increase their sattva should partake of nothing but sattva food

b Sacrifices

- 11 1 sattva a sacrifice which is sanctioned by the sastras and is performed, not to gain a certain result, but as an end in itself, as a propitiation of God
- 12 2 rajas a sacrifice which is performed to gain a certain result and to win a reputation
- 3 tamas a sacrifice which is not performed with the sanction of virtuous brahmans who are familiar with the ritual, nor with mantra, daksina and faith, and the substance of which is not of the recommended kind.

^{6.2} R the expl of the varieties of ritual acts begins with that of the varieties of food because food lies at the root of all

⁶²³ R. equates here sattva with antahkarana (above n 619) and the latter with its effect knowledge

⁶²⁴ amedhyani G = R. ayajñārham ayajñasişļam ity arthah

c. Tapas.

Tapas may be of the body, the tongue and the mind Tapas of the body entails: worship of gods, gurus, sages, brahmans; purification by ablution in tirthas etc.; sincerity of bodily actions; chastity; nonviolence Tapas of the tongue entails: unhurting veracity; agreeable 15 16

and auspicious language; the practice of the Veda task Tapas of the mind entails: serenity; 625 benevolence; control over one's language; exclusive attention for the atman

1. sattva: the above threefold tapas, if in perfect faith performed by people who do not aim at any result but regard it as a propiliation

2. rajas: tapas performed to gain a result — e g to win a reputation 626 - and therefore motivated by concert; being a means of achieving a certain end it induces a person to keep moving lest he fall and be subject

3. tamas: tapas unreasonably performed by undesirous people who 19 thereby torture themselves because they do not investigate the possiblities of themselves; and tapas performed to destroy other people.

d. Charity.

1. sattva: charity disinterestedly done at the right time and in the 20 right place to the right person who has no profit to offer in return

2. rajas: charity, if that means the presentation of a not beautiful gift 21 made with a veiled hint at an expected reward

3. tamas: charity not done at the right time and in the right place to 22 the right person, and done without any ceremony of cleansing the feet etc and without courtesy.

3. Vedic acts are characterized by Om, Tat and Sat.

Om, Tat and Sat are the three words which accompany Vedic ritual 23 acts. 127 The syllable Om accompanies the sacrifice because it is a preliminary act 628 in itself, and is therefore used at the beginning of a Vedic sacrifice etc. The words Tat and Sat accompany the sacrifice because the first is a term for the object of worship and the latter expresses a property. Those who are connected with these three words,

manahprasadah G. = R manusah krodhidur,hilatiam

manahprasadah G. = R manasah krodhismuntatum.

R viz salkaramanagaji, resp. "homage paid by mind, tengue and body".

brahman- = Veda = Vedic act. anga-.

¹¹

1 e persons of the first three stations, s brahmans, ksatriyas and vaisyas who are entitled to perform Vedic sacrifices, and the Vedas and the sacrifices themselves have all been created by God in the beginning

- 4 How the three words are connected with the ritual
- 24 a Om The ritual acts prescribed by the Vedas, which are performed by persons of the first three stations, will always proceed after the syllable Om has been pronounced. Moreover the Veda is always remembered together with the word Om
- b Tat The ritual acts which are performed by persons of the first 25 three stations who do not aim at the results but aspire to release may be expressed by the word Tat - which is a word for Brahman - 630 because these acts constitute various means of attaining Brahman
 - c Sat The word Sat is, in Vedic and in common usage, used in the sense of "existing' and 'good' Therefore the devotion of Vedic persons of the first three stations to sacrifice, tapas and charity is said to be sat because it is good. The acts which are auspicious for these persons are also said to be sat or good

So the Vedas Vedic acts and Vedic persons are characterized by their connection with the three words Om. Tat and Sat, and thereby distinguished from what is not Veda or Vedic

Whatever ritual acts - sacrifices etc - are performed without 28 faith are asat, although they may correspond to the precepts of the sastras The reason for this is that these acts will never lead either to a result in samsara or, beyond samsara, to release

VI MISCELLANEOUS

- Tvāga and Samnyāsa are synonyms
- 18. 1 Question According to the sruti 631 both tyaga and samnyasa are means of attaining release Now what do both words exactly signify? If they are not synonymous what exactly is their difference?
 - 2 Answer Some sages hold that samnyasa being relinquishment of desiderative acts is as such synonymous with tyaga whereas others say that tyaga means relinquishment, not only of desiderative, but also

⁶²⁹ G brāhmana explained as qualified for Irahman or Vedic acts (cf

⁶³⁰ R quotes MBh 13 149 92 sa rah kali yat tat padam anuttamam' 631 R quotes TaittUp 4 12 and MundUp 226

of periodical and occasional acts. 632 So their difference would be one 3 in degree. Certain sages, adherents of Kapila, nay, even orthodox followers of Kapila,633 contend that all acts should be renounced by aspirants to release, because acts, like passion and other defects, only bind them. Others again maintain that these acts should not be relinguished.

Now, God's decision is this: tyaga is threefold, as has been said 4 before, 034 viz. the tyaga or renunciation of the result of the acts, the ātman's possessiveness with regard to the act, and the ātman's agency in acting. The acts themselves, sacrifice etc., should not be renounced 5 by the aspirant, but on the contrary be performed until his death, for they purify the worshipping 635 aspirant of his previous karman which is incompatible with his worship. God's supreme doctrine is that the 6 aspirant should perform the acts as propitiations of God, while renouncing his possessiveness and the result of his act. Samnyasa, or relin- 7 quishment, of periodical and occasional acts 636 is wrong, for without acts it is impossible even to sustain the body. 11 sustained by sacrificial remnants, the body enables the person to acquire perfect knowledge; if not, it will bring him to error. as The sruti states that food nourishes the mind and that the immediate presentation of Brahman depends on the purity of one's food. 59 So it is wrong to relinquish periodical and occasional acts, such as the mahāyajñas, because then one cannot sustain one's body by sacrificial food. The relinquishment of these acts because of the erroneous notion that they bind the performer is rooted in tamas, i.e. in the ignorance effected by tamas, 640 Still, although they recognize that the acts may gradually 8 lead to release, some fear lest they ruin the performer's mind, because the activities required for them — the earning of money etc. — cause

⁶³² R.: this means that sammydsa- and tydga- are in any case synchymous,

as will be amply proved by st. 4: 7 and 12 infra.

one on amply proved by st. 4; 7 and 12 infra.

633 montpinals G. = R. kapila caidskaf ca tenmedatustrinch. Kapila is the founder of Samkhya; the theory is that of the samkhyan Yoza and Advasta.

our of Samkhya; the theory is that of the samknyam avec and instill armama ou G 3,30: may i sarthii karmini samny asyddy Mausettal in instill armama of earlier bhate v. 5,30: mayi sartani karmani samnyasydanyamacessas a memoration of results, and sartanyadhyarra rigatajtarah 1/ where surasih means the rememoration of results, after the sartanyarra rigatajtarah sartanyarra sartany nirmomah that of possessiveness, and maji rammanja that of personal agency

as manifindm G. = R. mananaillindm: mananam upaimam

R's expl. of G. niyata-.

R. refers to G. J.S. .

⁴¹⁸ R. refers to G. 3,13.
418 R. quotes Chip. 6,5,4 annamayam hi samia manaf and fairly addessed the control of the control of

oungu nattrainddhih etc.

On R. refers to st. 32 infra where false knowledge is aicrated to the timasi iuddhau sattraiuddhih etc. buddhih.

suffering and because the acts themselves, requiring strenuous efforts, vex the body. If on account of some such fear a man decides to devote himself to the practice of knowledge and to relinquish his proper acts, then his relinquishment is rooted in rajas he will not acquire the result of his relinquishment, that is knowledge, for that would be contrary to the sastra 641 In fact, the acts do not clear the manas in a visible way, but (in an invisible manner) through the grace of God

One should justly hold that the periodical and occasional acts 642 proper to each man's station and stage of life, are to be performed for their own sake, as a propitiation of God, and consequently one should renounce possessiveness and result. This renunciation is rooted in sattva, ie true knowledge 643 of the purport of the sastras. Then one will be animated by sattva, possessed of true knowledge and no longer doubtful, and renounce the result and one's agency of and one's interest in one's acts. So one will not hate acts with undesirable results - which occasion negligence 644 - or like acts with desirable results 645

Summing up, the sastra prescribes that the interest in, and the result and the agency of, acts should be relinquished, but not the acts themselves a dehin possessed of a body that should be sustained cannot give up all acting, for acts are necessary to sustain the body, and consequently the mahayamas are necessary. Only he who renounces the results of his acts 646 is rightly called a tyagin 647

12

Question But do the sastras not prescribe the ritual acts in connection with their respective results? But then, when one is to perform these acts, one is at the same time bound to reap their fruits, whether tiey are aimed at or not! These fruits being incompatible with release, the aspirant must refrain from all acting

9

11

Answer No, for the results (which are of three kinds undesirable - hell etc -, desirable - heaven etc -, and mixed - son, cattle etc -) will come, at a time subsequent to the performance of the corresponding acts, only to those who are not tyagins in the above sense, but they will not come to the tyagins So, the results of periodical acts are avoided, if the performer acts only for the sake of release in the same way as he might have acted to sustain his body or to materia-

⁶⁴¹ R. refers to st. 31 infra,

⁶⁴² for G myata- as above n 636

⁶⁴³ R. refers to G 1417 and 1830

⁶⁴⁴ R. refers to KathUp 1,24

⁶⁴⁵ G kusala- and akusala resp.

⁸⁴⁶ R this implies the renunciation of interest and agency as well 047 R. as witness MahanarUp 105 tyagenaike amrtatiam asnute

lize his desires. It all depends on the end to which the acts are applied; that they may be applied to achieve release is proved by the śruti.648 Therefore, samnyasa is the renunciation of one's interest in, and of the results of and one's agency of, one's acts, and so is synonymous

with tyāga.

2. God is the agent of the acts.

It is now explained how one may realize that the atman is non-agent 13 by realizing that God, the Supreme Atman, the inner Ruler, is the agent; so that one may relinquish all possessiveness with regard to acts and results: for it is God who performs acts by means of the jivatman - which is his - and its organs - which are his - for the sake of his own sport; which proves that God's jīvātman is responsible for all

acts and results -Those who know the sāmkhya say, on the strength of their positive conviction which is formed by the Vedic buddhi concerning things as they really are, 649 that five causes bring about the success of all actions. These five causes are now set forth. In all actions, whether corporeal, 14-15 oral or mental, which are either enjoined or forbidden by the sastras, 500 there are five causes:

1

- the body, that conglomeration of gross elements which is governed by the jīvātman; 651
- the agent, which is the jīvātman; 652
- 3. the motorial senses with the mind, which work out in different ways to complete an action; 653
- 4. the different functions of the fivefold vital air; 654
- 5. the Supreme Atman as the inner Ruler who is the main cause.

The jīvātman derives its agency from the Supreme Atman, as

610 R. obviously derives samkhya- from samkhya = buddhi- (cf. G. 239). to samkhyo. "that which is realized by the vaidaki buddhib, the knowledge about Vedic to." 648' BAU. 6,4,22. Vedic lore" (R.: the Vedic buddhi realizes that God is agent by means of body, Vedic lore" (R.: the Vedic buddhi realizes that God is agent by means of body, ved Taintir, 1112); senses, pranas and jiVatman, as asserted by BAU. 3,722 (M.) and Taittar. 3,112); kritinie C. —

krianie G. = R. nirnoje which I interpret helou softont.

cdhi. Vithā, hence cdhishānam = body.

53 as is proved by VS. 23,19 jão, '12 cru and 2,1,11 kariā, fisirārikanut.

53 = G. karanam fithāgrīdham.

es. karanam pethagridham. es. R.: cephiabdena pascilmi tayur askidhyale. es. R. refers to G. 15,15 and 18,61.

witness the Sūtras aso The objection that in that case the jīvatman cannot be bound to act is disposed of by the author of the Sūtras in the explanation that God makes the jīvātman act, while considering its volitional effort as That means that the jīvātman itself makes, by his own will and by means of his body, organs etc and the powers inherent in them, an effort which depends on that body etc, that body etc are, however, granted by God So God actuates the jīvātman, within whom He resides, by granting it his permission, but it is the jīvātman itself which is the cause of its activity, and therefore it is subjected to the injunctions of the sāstras, because it acts by its own free will For example when a number of people co-operate in removing a rock, they together constitute the cause of the removal, but the one person for whose sake the rock is removed is alone responsible for the removal of the rock, though it be effected by many persons actually.

So, he who perceives that the atman alone is agent, whereas actually the ātman derives its agency from the permission of God, is mistaken, for he does not perceive the real agent because his knowledge of the real state of affairs is incomplete. He, however, who has rightly directed his mind to the difference in agency, because he realizes that God is the agent, and so is not subject to the misconception of egoity, that is, that he acts himself, and who has the insight that he, while acting, is not bound by the result because actually he is not agent, — he then, although he have slain all these hosts of enemies, does in fact not slay at all and is not bound to experience the results.

3 Description of the effects of sattva, rajas and tamas

The injunction to acts, like j) obstoma etc., is compounded by three elements 1 knowledge about the act which is to be performed, 2 the act to be performed, 3 the knower of that act The act itself—the object of the knowledge sub 1—is compounded by three elements 1 the required means, substance etc., 2 the action, sacrifice etc., 3 the performer

The knowledge of the act to be performed, the act to be performed, and the performer of the act are threefold, each of them being divided according to the three gunas Now one should hear, while the effects of the gunas are summed up, how the above elements, knowledge etc, are divided according to the gunas

17

⁶⁵⁶ VIZ VS 2340 parat tu, tac chruteh

⁶⁵⁷ VS 2341 kṛtaprayamāpekṣas tu, vihitapratiṣiddhāvaiyarthyādibhyah 658 cf Ch III, p 1516

1 Knowledge

- a sattva that knowledge by which all different beings --- different in 20 station and stage of life — are seen 6-8 to have in common an unitative principle, the atman, and therefore to be equal in spite of their differences, and imperishable, in spite of the transitoriness of their bodies, and untransformed and incapable of interest in the results of their acts
- b rajas that knowledge by which the atmans in all beings are, on 21 account of their existing in a plurality of forms, held to be manifold and different and to be capable of taking interest in results etc
- c tamas that knowledge which is directed entirely to one act 660 22 which will have an extremely limited result — as though it would have all possible results, which, consequently, is unreasonably directed; which has an erroneous content as it involves the above notion that the atmans are different, and which has but a limited result

2 Act

- a sattva an act proper to station and stage of life, not involving 23 interest because of the misconception that one is agent oneself, not performed to win fame or not to win notoriety, 1e without, conceit,
- b rajas an act, performed for the sake of the result on account of 24 the misconception that oneself is the agent and has performed the act
- c tamas an act, performed without consideration for the pains per- 25 tinent to the performance of acts, 681 for the loss of money, the hurting of living beings and for the atman's capacity of completing the act, this lack of consideration being due to ignorance of God's agency

a sattva a performer who is not interested in the result, has not the 26 idea that he is the agent, who, while acting, endures the pains which necessarily appear before the act is completed, who is energetic, and whose mind is not transformed by success or failure of the act and of the preparatory activities

eso R. 1e. at the time of undertaking an act, hence the connection of the knowledge with the ritual act, the judge of st. 18 supra "the knowledge of the act to be one." act to be performed", the same applies to rajas and timas knowledge.

oso R acts like sacrifices to ghosts, deceased ancestors etc. M. acts like sacrifices to ghosts, deceased automobile.
P. kyle karmany anubodhyamandukkham anubanduk.

- 27 b rajas a performer who aspires to fame, seeks the results, does not want to spend the money required for the act, who, while acting, hurts others, who is not pure and who rejoices in success and is grieved at failure
- 28 c tamas a performer who is not qualified to perform sastric acts, 602 who is uninstructed 603 and lazy, who has a preference for magic 604 etc, who is deceifful and lax even if the act has already been started, who is uninstructed 603 and lazy, who has a preference for magic, 604 pays malevolent attention to others for a long stretch of time
- The positive knowledge 605 which results from discrimination, as well as stubborn perseverance 600 when an action has begun, are also threefold according to the governing guna

1 Buddhi

- 30 a sattva knowledge of the dharma leading to fortune and the dharma leading to release, 607 of what ought and what ought not to be done of the respective practices of the above dharmas in different places, times and circumstances, of the fact that not observing the sastra is dangerous and observing the sastra is tranquillizing, and of the truth about sameara and release from sameara.
- 31 b rajas inexact knowledge of the above dharma and its opposite, of what ought and what ought not to be done of their respective practices in different places, times and circumstances
- 32 c tamas false knowledge by which all ends are misconceived dharma is mistaken for adharma and reversely advantage for non advantage and reversely, higher for lower truth and reversely

2 Dhrti

- 33 a sattva perseverance by which all activities of mind, prana and senses which are permanently directed to, and which are means to, the worship of God as a means of attaining release are endured
- 34 b rajas perseverance of a person desirous of results by which the

ayuktah G = R sästriyakarmāvogyah
 prākṛtah G = R anadingatavidyah

os prakrian G = R anaamgaavayan
saihah G = R abhicaradikarmarucih

⁶⁶⁵ G buddhi- is explained by wivekapfireakaniscayarfipam indinam

⁶⁰⁰ G dhitt- explained by perseverance (tidhdrana) when an action which is a means to release has been undertaken but meets with an obstacle 607 resp G prarytti and nityti- which are here taken in the sense of their cause dharma of n. 608.

activities of mind, prana and senses as means of attaining dharma, artha and kāma are endured 668

c tamas perseverance of the fool by which he persists in those ac- 35 tivities of mind etc which bring about sleep and perplexity and which are directed to objects that bring about fear, sorrow and despair

Now it is said which happiness — again threefold according to the 36 governing guna — results from the above knowledge, act and agency, in which happiness incomparable joy and the end of all grief in samsara may eventually be found after long practice

a sattva happiness which at the beginning of yoga is like unhappiness 37 because its realization requires strenuous efforts and because then the distinct proper form of the atman is not yet cognized, and which at the end is like elixir because the distinct proper form of the atman appears perforce as a result of practice, and which originates from the total cessation of the buddhi's focusing on everything but the atman as b rajas happiness which immediately when experienced is like clixir 38

because then the senses contact the objects, but which at the end, when the hunger etc — which first caused the agreeableness of the objects have ceased, is like poison because it will lead to hell etc

c tamas happiness which immediately when experienced and also at 39 the end causes the atman to be ignorant of the truth about things and which is brought about by sleep, laxity of sensoreal operation and negligence about one's task

All this signifies that an aspirant should suppress rajas and tamas and acquire sattva. There is no living being among men, in leaven or 40 among gods who is free from the three prakrti-born gunas

4 God can be attained by acts proper to one's station and stage of life

Tyaga or relinquishment — which is synonymous with samnyisa — 41 is a means to release 10 The relinquishment of result and act is brought about by the relinquishment of the agency of acts when acts are being Performed, and this latter relinquishment is brought about by the realization that God is the agent. Now it will be said that such an act, which is performed as a means to release, constitutes a propination of God and that it results in the attainment of God. To introduce this top of the proper form of the acts is presently set forth, together with its

ie, the purusarthas, in contrast with release which is parama, or with the G. atmost 2 to their A retail te, the purpositions, in contrast with release which is paramajor-parameters.

G dimediaddifferaside is the "clearance (of all concern f r other all rots)
of the buddhi which is solely concerned with the familiar

R refers to Mahanarup, 10.5

functions and differences according to the various gunas of the natures of their performers

The gunas originate from the nature, or the previous karman, of brahmans, ksatriyas, and vaisyas which has caused them to be born in their proper station. Sattva arises when rajas and tamas are suppressed and originates from the brahman's nature, rajas arises when sattva and tamas are suppressed and originates from the ksatriya's nature, tamas preponderates slightly in the vaisya and strongly in the satra from whose natures it originates when sattva and rajas are suppressed. The acts of these various stations, differing according to the governing guna, are expounded by the sastras.

- 42 a From the brahman's nature arises the act of control over the external and internal organs, ⁶⁷¹ sastric chastisement of the body, qualification to sastric acts, endurance, sincere behaviour, positive conviction that this is truly the purport of the Veda that God is the Supreme Brahman with all his divine attributes ⁶⁷²
- 43 b The acts proper to the ksatriya's nature are heroism, invincibility, stubborn perseverance, ⁰⁷³ competence, the holding out in a desperate fight, the sacrificing of possessions to bestow them on others, and the power to rule others
- 44 c The acts proper to the vaisya are agriculture, cattlebreeding and
 - d The natural task of the sudra is service to the above ranks

The acts and tasks summed up above are illustrative they imply acts like sacrifices etc., prescribed by the sastras, as well as the different functions of all four stations, the sacrifice, naturally, is proper to the first three stations, brahmans, ksatriyas and vaisyas alike, the various tasks of the brahman — viz control over external and internal organs etc. — are proper to the aspirants of all three stations. But they are here assigned to the nature of the brahman since it is easy for a brahman to accept these tasks because in him sattva naturally preponderates, whereas they are not assigned to the ksatriya and the vaisya because in them rajas and tamas naturally preponderate. — The brahman's functions are sacrificing for others, teaching and accepting the ksatriyas function is protecting the people, the vaisya's husbandry etc, and the sudra's service

^{611.} G sama and dama-resp 612. G Bithkya R. refers to G 1515, 10,8 7,7 529 17,46, and 10,3 0.3 for drift: see above n 666

A man devoted to his proper task attains the highest end How? 45 When by means of his proper acts he has worshipped God as the inner 46 Ruler of divinities like Indra etc — to whom his sacrifices are directed —, he will attain God, 674 who is the cause of the activity of all 47 beings and the pervader of the universe, 075 by the grace of God Therefore, one's proper dharma — relinquishment of agency etc — which constitutes a propitiation of God is very easy to perform for a person who is conjoined with prakrti because this dharma, or karmayoga, is sensoreal activity So, albeit defective, this proper ere dharma or karmayoga is better than the dharma of another, -1e of a person capable of controlling his senses — or jūanayoga, because the latter involves the risk of negligence Consequently, since action is natural to a person conjoined with prakriti because it means sensoreal activity, that person will not implicate himself in samsara when he acts. On the other hand, 48 since jñanayoga involves negligence because it can only be executed when the senses are restrained, a person devoted to jūanajoga maj implicate himself in samsara this doctrine of Lecture III is here resumed So, even if one is qualified for manayoga, one should not relinquish action, which is easy because it is natural and which does not involve negligence, even though it may bring about unhappiness All performances, of karman as well as of jūana, are accompanied by unhappiness, but still there is this difference between the two that karmayoga is easy and does not involve negligence in contrast to iñanavoga

When the buddhi is not attached to anything — result etc. — when 49 the mind is controlled, when one does not desire to be agent oneself because one realizes that God is the agent, and when, therefore, one has positive samnyāsa and so performs acts, one will, despite ores actions, reach the supreme state or dhyanayoga — which also results from jianayoga —, that is the cessation of sensoreal activity err Now 50 one should hear in what manner one will attain brahman, ess once ore has achieved the dhyanasiddhi which is attainable through lifelong daily Practice of karmayoga this brahman is the highest attainable end of that knowledge which is dhyana. One is qualified to be brahman, i.e. 51-53 to be referred to be to be released from all bonds and to experience the atman in its pure

ers R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. refers to G 7,6-7, 94 and 10 10.7

The D. side R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of God"

The R. siddhis means here the attainment of Go

R. refers to G 7,6-7, 94 and 10 100.

R. ie the dharma which ore should appropriate.

state of G manykermyunddair

ers to be taken in the sense of "the released siman", see believe

form, when one practices dhyanayoga in the following manner: 679 directing the buddhi to the pure atman; qualifying the mind by turning it away from the objects; removing the objects; renouncing the preference and aversion occasioned by the objects; living at a place where nothing can hinder the dhyana; eating neither too much nor too little; directing the operations of body, speech and mind to dhyana; being constantly intent on dhyanayoga until death; taking an aversion to all objects but the one entity to be meditated upon, by considering the defectiveness of the objects; giving up the misconception of egoity as well as the forcible influence of vāsanā which nourishes this misconception, and the resulting pride, desire, anger and possessions; being free from the notion that that which is not the ātman's is the ātman's; and finding one's sole happiness in experiencing the ātman.

Then, when the proper form of one's atman, whose nature is to 54 be a sesa of God 680 and whose form is unlimited knowledge, 681 has been revealed and this proper form is not contaminated by the klesas, 682 acts etc., one will not mourn over any being but God, nor desire any being but God, but be equal and indifferent towards all beings; not caring for anything, one will acquire bhakti towards God - supreme bhakti which is the experiencing of the most dearly beloved One. 55 Through such bhakti a man will know God in all the majesty of his proper form and nature, virtues and manifestations; and immediately after knowing, he will attain God in virtue of 683 his boundless supreme 56 bhakti. This is the crowning development of the disinterested performance of periodical and occasional acts proper to station and stage of life, which are performed to propitiate God. But it crowns also the desiderative acts: when one performs, not only the periodical and occasional acts, but also 684 the desiderative acts while relinquishing one's agency to God, then one will by God's grace completely attain 57 that eternal end which is God. Therefore, one should leave all acts together with agency and object - to God because one knows that one is ruled by God; and, while realizing that it is God who is to be

684 for G. reads "all acts" (sarvakarınani).

which proves that dhyana- here is not synonymous with bhakti, but means the knowledge in the contemplation of the atman as discussed in Lectures 4 and 5. 000 R. refers to G. 7,5.

ext expl. of G brahmabhatah.

the five klesas are ignorance (avidya), egoity (asmita), preference (raga-),

aversion (dieso-) and affection (abhinitesa-), VAK s.v. God, as witness G 11,54.

attained, and in that spirit performing one's acts and devoting oneself to this buddhiyoga, one should always keep God in mind. Then, while being absorbed in God and performing all acts, one will escape from all dangers of samsāra by the grace of God. When, however, one refrains from listening to God's word, because one thinks that one knows everything that ought and ought not to be done, then one will be lost, for God alone knows what all living beings ought and ought not to do, and He is their law-giver.

When Arjuna is under the delusion that he knows of his own 59 accord what is good for him and what not, and, not heeding God's command, decides not to fight, then this independent decision of his will be fruitless, for his prakrti will make him submit to God's command, although his fool's mind is grieved by the fact that independence is only of God 688 For heroism is the ksatriya's natural duty, and bound 60 by this duty he will be unable to suffer the enemies' insults and he will engage them in the battle which he now shirks out of ignorance All 61 beings are forced by the Lord to follow their praketi in accordance with their previous karman the Lord Vasudeva, who is wont to rule all, resides in the heart of all beings 650 — the heart from which arises all knowledge and on which all action and maction depends —, while actuating by means of his own māyā — which consists of gunas — all beings which are put in that mechanism which is called prakrti developed into body and senses For this reason Arjuna should be completely submitted to God, set the Lord of all If not, then he will still have to fight the battle, inevitably, for his ignorance, stimulated by God's maya, will make him do so Therefore he should fight the battle in the manner which God has explained, then God's grace will make him attain supreme santi — the release from all bonds of all acts — and the

Herewith the knowledge which is to be acquired by the aspirant 63 to release, that most secret knowledge of karma- and bhaktiyoga, is set to release, that most secret knowledge of karma- and bhaktiyoga, is set to release, that most secret knowledge of karma- and bhaktiyoga, is set to release, that most secret knowledge of karma- and bhaktiyoga it in its entirety, one should do forth in its entirety, and, considering it in its entirety, one should do forth in its entirety, and, considering it in its entirety, one should do forth in its entirety, and, considering it in its entirety, one should do forth in its entirety, and, considering it in its entirety, one should do forth in its entirety, and, considering it in its entirety, one should do forth in its entirety, and, considering it in its entirety, one should do forth in its entirety, and, considering it in its entirety, one should do forth in its entirety, and, considering it in its entirety, one should do forth in its entirety, and, considering it in its entirety, one should do forth in its entirety, and, considering it in its entirety, one should do forth in its entirety, and, considering it in its entirety, one should do forth in its entirety, and considering it in its entirety, one should do forth in its entirety.

on motivationity also oden groom anatom opinion.

R. refers to G 15,15, 10,8 and BAU 37,22 (M)

refers to G 15,15, 10,8 and BAU 37,22 (M)

refers to G 15,15, 10,8 and BAU 37,22 (M)

R. refers to RV 1,22,20 TaittS 47,131 RV 1164,50 RV 10,127,

AirUp 10,21, and ChUp 313,7

It has been said 680 that bhaktiyoga is the most secret of all secrets 64 because it is the best way to salvation Now Arjuna should hear God's final word on bhakti, God speaks this word to Ariuna's advantage, because Ariuna is very dear to him. He should practise that continuous 65 representation - synonymous with knowledge, worship, meditation etc - which has the form of contemplation and which is most dear to the worshipper 690 He should love God excessively and therefore practise the above representation while being completely absorbed in the worship of God. 861 and humiliate himself before God. If he does so, then, God promises, 692 he shall attain God, for he is held very dear by God, so that He cannot endure being separated from him and hence makes him reach 66 Himself While performing all dharmas - 1e karma-, jñana- and bhaktiyoga which are means of attaining supreme bliss - at his option according to his qualifications, and at the same time relinquishing their result, his agency etc., he should realize that God alone is the agent, the object, the end and the means ess Then God will release him from all evil incompatible with his attainment of God, evil piled up by endless wrong activities in beginningless times therefore he should not despair 694

67 This most secret doctrine should not be taught to someone who does not practise tapas, nor to someone who practises tapas but not bhakti, nor to someone who, though he practises bhakti, does not listen, nor certainly 695 to someone who discovers defects in God's proper 68 form, his sovereignty and his virtues. But he who explains it to bhaktas will, when he has practised supreme bhakti towards God,

⁶⁸⁹ G 9,1

eso interpretation of G manmand bhave, for this passage of CBh 1,1,1, p 8-10 (Th p 13-16) 991 = G madyāji R yajanam pūjanam | ārādhanam hi paripūrnasesavytith

propitiatory worship is the complete performance by a subservient person of all services which are due to his master on this passage of 9.34 092 R. remarks that this promise must be taken literally, not merely as a

flattering turn of speech (upacchanda)

⁰⁰³ R. this is the sastric relinquishment of all acts, see forth above 49 and 11 894 R gives an alternative expl of 66 cd Arjuna should not despair of being capable of bhaktiyoga he should forsake all various, endless and - on account of the brevity of life - impracticable dharmas (expiatory rites) corresponding to all various endless sins piled up in beginningless times which are incompatible with bhaktiyoga, and, in order to succeed in bhaktiyoga, he should take refuge in God then God will rescue him from all his sins, see my remarks Inte Ch. IV, p. 27
696 asamānourbhaktinirdešus taspālpantaporiharanīpatājnāpanāpa.

It has been said 689 that bhaktiyoga is the most secret of all secrets 64 because it is the best way to salvation Now Arjuna should hear God's final word on bhakti, God speaks this word to Arjuna's advantage, 65 because Arjuna is very dear to him. He should practise that continuous representation - synonymous with knowledge, worship, meditation etc - which has the form of contemplation and which is most dear to the worshipper 690 He should love God excessively and therefore practise the above representation while being completely absorbed in the worship of God. 691 and humiliate himself before God If he does so, then, God promises,692 he shall attain God, for he is held very dear by God, so that He cannot endure being separated from him and hence makes him reach 66 Himself While performing all dharmas - 1e karma-, jñana- and bhaktiyoga which are means of attaining supreme bliss - at his option according to his qualifications, and at the same time relinquishing their result, his agency etc., he should realize that God alone is the agent, the object, the end and the means eas Then God will release him from all evil incompatible with his attainment of God, evil piled up by endless wrong activities in beginningless times therefore he should not despair 694

This most secret doctrine should not be taught to someone who 67 does not practise tapas, nor to someone who practises tapas but not bhaktı, nor to someone who, though he practises bhaktı, does not listen, nor certainly 698 to someone who discovers defects in God's proper 68 form, his sovereignty and his virtues But he who explains it to bhaktas will, when he has practised supreme bhakti towards God,

on interpretation of G manmand bhava for this passage of CBh 1,11, p 8-10 (Th p 13 16)

^{991 =} G madyājī R. yajanam pujanam | ārādhanam hi paripūrņasesauyttih propitiatory worship is the complete performance by a subservient person of all services which are due to his master on this passage of 934 602 R. remarks that this promise must be taken literally not merely as a

flattering turn of speech (upacchanda)

⁹⁸³ R this is the sastric relinquishment of all acts see forth above 49 and 11 894 R. gives an alternative expl of 66 cd. Arjuna should not despair of being capable of bhaktiyoga he should forsake all various endless and - on account of the brevity of life - impracticable dharmas (expiatory rites) corresponding to all various endless sins piled up in beginningless times which are incompatible with bhaktiyoga and in order to succeed in bhaktiyoga he should take refuge in God then God will rescue him from all his sins, see my remarks Intr Ch. IV p. 27 696 asamanozabhaktınırdesas tasyatyantaporthoraniyataynaponaya.

indubitably attain God: no one has ever acted or will ever act more to God's pleasure then he does. He who will learn this dialogue of God and Arjuna on dharma will be regarded by God as if he had performed a sacrifice of knowledge. On A man who with faith and without criticism just listens to it will thereby be released from all evil which is incompatible with bhakti and join the hosts of the bhaktas.

Then God questioned Arjuna: "Have you listened attentively to 72 all that I have said? Has your perplexity of ignorance which made you refuse to do battle vanished?"

Arjuna answered that by God's grace his delusion has vanished and 73 that he had acquired true knowledge of things as they really are, i.e. the delusion that the atman is prakṛti, that all spiritual and non-spiritual substances which constitute God's body are not ensouled by God, and that action, periodical and occasional, which actually is a propitiation of God and therefore a means to attain him, could implicate its performer in samsāra, has now given way to the knowledge that the atman is different from prakrti and so has a different nature — the nature of being the knower of prakrit — and is essentially a sesa of God and is ruled by God, as well as to the discriminating knowledge est of the truth about God the Supreme Brahman, that of the higher and lower Truth about the atman, and the knowledge that God is the Supreme Person who can be known by the Vedanta and who can only be attained by worship through bhakti which is to be achieved by means of the virtues of the atman — control over external and internal organs etc. 688 and of cautious performance of periodical and occasional acts which presuppose the regular practice of the former knowledge and are accumulated day after day — whose sole reward is the gracious love of God. Therefore Arjuna is now freed from his despair which resulted from error nourished by his love and compassion for his relatives, and, being no longer doubtful, he has been restored to his old self. Henceforth he will observe God's words, dealing with the necessity of fighting etc.: he will enter into battle.

Then Samjaya related to Dhṛtarāṣṭra that he had heard this moving 74 and wondrous dialogue of Kṛṣṇa and Arjuna, possessed of great buddhi, 200 who had resorted to Kṛṣṇa, in this very form in which he

see R.: this jianayajia- is the complete dialogue of the G. by learning it one offers this jianayajia-.

tijkāna-, those summed up above st. 42.
mahātmanah G. = R. mahābuddheh-

has repeated it, Having obtained divine vision and hearing through the favour of Vyāsa, he heard this supreme secret called Yoga from Kṛṣṇa — that ocean of knowledge, force, sovereignty, perseverance and glory
 — personally. As often as he recalls this auspicious and miraculous dialogue which he has heard with his own ears, he is moved by joy; and

77 whenever he remembers that most wondrous sovereign form of God which He revealed to Arjuna and which Samjaya witnessed, he is struck with amazement and stirred by joy.
 78 But words fall short. Suffice it to say that wherever God, the Lord

But words fall short. Suffice it to say that wherever God, the Lord of the conjunctions of spiritual and non-spiritual substances ⁷⁰⁰ — which constitute his higher and lower natures —, He, on whose volition depend the different proper forms, existences and activities of all substances different from God, He, Kṛṣṇa, Vasudeva's son, is present, and wherever Arjuna the Archer, the sister's son of Kṛṣṇa's father, who resorted to Kṛṣṇa feet, is present, there — to his mind — will happiness, victory, prosperity and unswerving morality be found.

expl. of 30gesvara-, 30ga- in the sense of "combination, association etc.".

APPENDIX

Yāmuna's Gitārthasamgraha 1

(vigālie Yāmunam tīrtham sadhuvriidāvane sthitam mrastanhmagasparse yatra Krsnah krtadarah) svadharmajñānavairagyasādhvahhaktyekagocarah Nārāyanah param brahma Gitāsāstre samīritah 1 jñānakarmātmike nisthe yogalakse susamskrte ätmänubhütisiddhyarthe pürvasatkena codite 2 madhyame bhagavattattvayāthātmyavaptisiddhaye jñānakarmābhinirvartyo bhaktivogah prakirtitah 3 pradhanapurusay\aktasarvesvarayiyecanam karmadhir bhaktır ityadıpüryaseso 'ntimoditah 4 asthānasnehakārunyadharmadharmadhiyākulam Pārtham prapannam uddisya sāstravataranam krtam 5 nityātmāsangakarmehagocarā samkhyayogadhīh dvitīye sthitadhīlaksyā proktā tanmohasantaye 6 asaktyā lokaraksāyai gunesy āropya kartrtām sarvesvare vä nyasvoktä trtive karmakärvatä 7 prasangat svasvabhavoktih karmano 'karmatasya ca bhedā jūanasya māhātmyani caturthadhyāya ucyate 8 karmayogasya saukaryam saighryam kāscana tadvidhāh brahmajñānaprakāras ca pañcamādhyāya ucyate 9 yogābhyāsavidhir yogī caturdhā yogasādhanam Jogasiddhah 2 svayogasya pāramyam sastha ucyate 10 svayāthātmyam prakrtyāsya tirodhih saranāgatih bhaktabhedah prabuddhasya sraisthyam saptama ucyate 11 aısvaryāksarayāthatmyam 3 bhagavaccaranarthinām vedyopādeyabhavanām astame bheda ucyate 12

¹ I reproduce here Apte's text as given in his edition of Rămanuja's Gităbhasya (Yinandasrama Skt, Series vol 92, Bombay 1923) and add in notes the variant readings which appear in the parts of the GAS printed in Govindacărya's translation of the GBh, all of them are to be preferred to Apte's readings.

Gov soganddhih
Gov -väthälmvabhagavac-

svamahatmyam manusyatve paratvam ca mahātmanam viseso navame yogo bhaktırupalı prakirtitalı. 13. svakalyānagunānantyakrtsnasvādhinatāmatīh bhaktyutpattivivrddhyarthä vistīrnā dasamoditā 14. ekādase 'sya yāthātmyasāksātkārāvalokanam dattamuktā * vidiprāptyor 5 bhaktyekopāyatā tathā. 15. bhaktısaıghryam upāyoktır asaktasyātmanisthatā tatprakārās tv atipritir bhakter dvādasa ucyate 16. dehasyarupam atmäptihetur atmavisodhanam bandhahetur vivekas ca travodasa udīryate 17. gunabandhayidhau tesam kartrtyam tanniyartanam gatıtravasyamülatyam caturdasa udıryate 18 acınmısrad visuddhac ca cetanat purusottamah vyāpanād bharanat svāmyād anyalı pañçadasoditalı 19. deväsuravibhagoktipürvika sastravasyatā tattvānusthanavijňanasthemne sodasa ucyate 20 asastram äsuram krtsnam sastrıyam gunatah prthak laksanam sästrasiddhasva tridhä saptadasoditam 21 isvare kartrtäbuddhih sattyopädeyatantime svakarmaparınāmas ca sāstrasārārtha ucyate 22 karmayogas tapastirthadanavaıñādisevanam jñānayogo jitasvāntaih parisuddhātmani sthitih 23 bhaktıyogah paraikantyapritya dhyanadisu sthitih trayanam apı yoganam tribhir anyonyasamgamah 24 nityanaimittikänam ca pararadhanarupinam atmadrstes trayo 'py ete yogadvärena sädhakāh 25 nırastanıklılajñāna drstvatmānam parānugam pratilabhya param bhaktım tayaivāpnoti tatpadam 26 bhaktıyogas tadarthi cet samagraisvaryasadhanam ātmārthī cet trayo 'py ete tatkaivalyasya sādhakah 27 aıkāntyam bhagavaty esām samanam adhıkārınām våvatprapti pararthi cet tad evätyantam asnute 28 ıñānī tu paramaikānti tadayattatmajivanah tatsamslesaviyogaikasukhaduhkhas tadekadhih 29 bhagavaddhyanayogoktavandanastutikirtanaih labdhatmā tadgatapranamanobudohindriyakriyah 30 nıjakarmadıbhaktyantam kuryat prityaiva karıtah

⁴ Gov taltvam uktā

⁵ so, curiously, both Apte and Gov this irregular form should be entended vitte, cf Yamuna, AS, p 19 (sl) samunitie, p 47 (sl) tadvitteh and arthuritter

upāyatām parityajya nyased deve tu tāmabhīh. 31. aikāntyātyantadāsyaikaratis tatpadam āpnuvāt tatpradhānam idam śāstram iti Gītārthasamgrahah. 32.

Translation

(I bathe in the holy tīrtha of the river Yamunā which is situated in the blessed Vrndavana, where no snakes are to be met with and where homage is paid to Krsna.) 6

- It is the doctrine expounded by the Bhagavadgitā that Nārāyana, who is the Supreme Brahman, can only be attained by means of bhakti which is brought about by observance of the dharma, acquisition of knowledge and renunciation of passion.
- 2. In the first six Lectures it is commended to gain well-founded positions in knowledge and action directed to Yoga, in order to succeed in the presentation of the atman.
- 3. In the Lectures 7-12 the bhaktiyoga, which can (only) be brought about by knowledge and action, is treated of as a means of attaining exact knowledge of God such as He is.7
- 4. The Lectures 13-18 deal with topics supplementary to the foregoing, such as matter, atman, the evolved creation, the sovereign Lord, action, knowledge, bhakti etc.
- 5. The whole teaching is set forth for the sake of Arjuna who, overcome by misplaced love, compassion and anxiety about dharma and adharma, has taken refuge in God.
- 6. The 2nd Lecture treats of the knowledge s of samkhya and yoga, concerning the eternal atman and disinterested activity respectively and culminating in mental fixation.
- 7. The 3rd Lecture demonstrates that acts should be performed, not for the sake of retribution, but to save the world, and that the agency which those acts involve should either be attributed to the gunas or imposed on God.
- 8. Apart from an incidental discussion of God's nature, the 4th Lec-

⁶ this sloka contains an elaborate pun; it might be read "I betake myself to the venerable Yamuna who has his place among the hosts of saints, among whom no crooked people are to be encountered and homage is paid to Kṛṣṇa"; the śloka is not Yamuna's.

tattva- "quiddity".

3 dhi is synonymous with G. buddhi- (2,39) to which it corresponds to the results of one's acts".

and it synonymous with G. outaint (Lary) to which it cores acts asakt)d "not because of one's interest in the results of one's acts".

ture deals with non-agency in activity, the divisions of acts and the enimence of knowledge

- 9 The 5th Lecture sets forth the easy practicability and quick efficacy
- of karmayoga, some similar topics and the knowledge of brahman
- 10 The 6th Lecture treats of the practice of Yoga the fourfold division of yogins, the means to Yoga, the succeeding of Yoga, ¹⁰ and the perfect state of Yoga with God ¹¹
- 11 The 7th Lecture discusses the exact knowledge of God, its concealment by prakrti, the resorting to God the divisions of devotees and the superiority of the enlightened one
- 12 The 8th Lecture deals with the three divisions of aspirants, viz aspirants to 1 sovereignty, 2 knowledge of the itman, 3 attainment of God, 1 and with the knowledge to be obtained and the qualities to be acquired by each
- 13 The 9th Lecture treats of the enumence of God and his divine superiority in human embodiment of the excellent character of the mahatmans and of the bhaktiyoga
- 14 To further the origination and increase of blakti, the 10th Lecture sets forth in detail the knowledge of the infinite variety of God's beautiful qualities and the utter dependence of the universe on God
- 15 The 11th Lecture describes the immediate presentation of God and teaches that the quiddity of God can only by bhakti be known and attained ¹²
- 16 The 12th Lecture treats of the rapid efficacy of blakti and of the means of practising blakti, directs the unqualified aspirant to the attainment of the atman and discusses its modes, and explains how very dear the blakti is to the devotee
- 17 The 13th Lecture discusses the proper form of the body, the reason why the atman can be attained the purification of the atman, the reason of the atman's bondage, and the discrimination between atman and body
- 18 The 14th Lecture deals with the way in which the gunas bind the atman, the agency of the gunas and their elimination, and explains how God is the basis of the three ends 14
 - 10 I translate Gov a reading yogasiddhili
 - 11 stra- refers to God, the Teacher of the Gita
 - 12 I translate Gov's reading
 - 13 I translate Gov's reading tall.com ukid t. 15 the object governed by the nomina verbi titlipraptyor
- 14 the three ends and objectives summed up in 1457 brahman, dharma and sukha.

- 19 The 15th Lecture argues that the Supreme Person is different from the spiritual creation, whether conjoined with the non spiritual or in its pure state, because He pervades, maintains and governs the Intverse
- 20 The 16th Lecture, after setting forth the division of the godly and the demoniac, contends submission to the sastra in order to corroborate the knowledge and the observance of the truth 15
- 21 The 17th Lecture treats of the difference in qualities between all demoniae or non sistric acts and sastric acts and concludes by expounding the three characteristics of all acts which are sastric
- 22 The last Lecture deals with the knowledge in virtue of which all agency is attributed to God, and of the necessity of cultivating sattva sets forth how personal acts develop (into the attainment of God) and concludes by stating the fundamental doctrine of the Bhagavadgita
- Karmayoga is the observance of austerities, pilgrimages, charities sacrifices etc Ifianayoga is the fixation on the completely purified ātman with all inner emotions subdued
- Bhaktiyoga is the fixation on meditation etc, born from love for exclusive concentration on the Supreme One All three yogas are inter connected
- 25 All three yogas serve to success in periodical and occasional sacri fices which are propitiations of God as well as in the presentation of the atman by means of Yoga
- 26 When all nescience has vanished and one has perceived the atman which is attendant on God, then one may acquire perfect bhakti and thereby attain God's paradise
- 27 The bhaktiyoga serves to gain complete sovereignty 10 if that is desired All three yogas serve to attain the atman in its pure state,17
- 28 The exclusive concentration on God is the same for all adhik irins 18 until they have achieved their ends. When one aspires to the Supreme One, then one will fully attain Him
- 29 The Jñanin, however, is exclusively concentrated on God the life of his atman depends on Him, his happiness and unhappiness are

¹⁵ tatteanusthanajnanasthenne, tattea must refer to the topic of Lecture 15 (vs 19), so essentially R.

the objective of the so-called aisvaryarthin.
the objective of the kaivalyarthin.

¹⁸ i.e. aisvaryārthins kaivalyarthins and juanies.

brought about by his union with and separation from Him; his mind is focused on Him alone.

- 30. When he has attained the ātman by means of salutations, litanies and glorifications which are called the yoga of meditation on God, then all operations of his prāṇas, mind, spirit and senses are directed towards God, and
- 31. urged upon by his love, he practises everything, from the performance of his personal duties to the cultivation of bhakti, as a means of attaining God; renouncing all personal interest he dedicates all to God in holy terror.²⁰
- 32. Having found his sole happiness in exclusive concentration and perfect humility, he attains God's paradise.

This is the chief matter of the doctrine; and herewith ends the Summary of the Bhagavadgitā.

10 or bhaktivoga.

²⁰ tāmabhīh; this terror I take to signify the aspirant's fear of remaining in samsāra by cherishing his interest in the results of his acts.

INDEX I

Quotations from the Bhagavadgītā in the Gitābhāṣya (cross-references)

	# 21 . 0 22
2,1 GBn. 11,1	8,14 7,23; 8,22
2,1 2,16	8 20-21
	01 18,04
2,12 2,10; 5,16; 11,1	0.3
2 12-30	9,4
217-18 2,10	9,4
2,22	9,7-8
2,29	
2.39	
	10.7
2,47	10,13
2.49	10,17, 10,10
255	10,7 10,13/18; 11,14; 15,4; 10,8 16,7, 18,47/46/61
3,8	16,7; 18,42/46/61
3,13 3,8; 18,7	8,14
3,30	10,10-11 8,14
3,304.4	10,10-11
4,1 4,4	
45	
4.7	
49-11	
4.19	
5 10 4,35; 5,7; 0,25	
5,29	
5,29	
6,10 6,29	
6,19 6,29	12,2 8,21
6,29	12.2
640	12,7 2,18 13,1 13,18
6,46	13,1 13,18
7.4	13,1
7,4-5 1953- 143	13,5-6
	13,7-11
76	13,12-18
7,6-12 922- 18.42/46	13,19
77	13,20
7,13	13.26 15.16
7,13	13,26
	14.2 4.35; 12.4; 13.10; 13.2 14.3 1.30
7,17	
7,17-18	14.17 14.26
	14,17 14,26 14,19 13,12
	14,19 13,12 14,25-27
8,11 8,3	• =
0,11	

15.6	18.9 3.20
15.7 15,12	18,12 18,2
15,8 13,2	18,31 18,8
15,10 13,1	18,32 18,7
15 15 10,20; 18,15/42/61	18,43 2,31
15,16 8,21; 12,4; 13,2	18,46 3,3; 7,0; 12,11; 18,42
15,17 12,4	18,53 7,0
15,18	18,54 7,0; 12,11; 13,12
16,16 17,6	18,61 3,30; 10,20; 18,15
18,4 18,2/66	18,66 2,10
18,7 18,2	

INDEX II

śruti and smṛti quotations in the Gītābhāṣya

Aităr.	5,10,1 8,22/26
3,2,3 *) 10,33	5,15,6 8,22
	5,24,3 10,12
λpÇ.	6.2,1
19,16,3 15,1	6,2,3
	6,3.2
BXU.	6.5.4
1,4,7 13,2	
1,4,15 7.0	6.8,6-7
2,3,6 4,6	6.16,2
2,4,5 7,0	7,26,2 3,8; 13,14; 18,7
3,7,3 13.2	8.1,1 15,15
3,7,3-22 13.2- 15.15	8.1,5
3,7,22 3,30; 8,22; 9,4; 10,20;	8.3.4 3,0; 13,14; 18,7
13,12; 19,13; 18,61	8,7,1 3,0
3,8,9 9,6	8,12,2 3,0; 15,17
4,4,5 2.18	8,12,3 13,5; 15,17
4,4,16 10.12	GAS.
4,4,24	
4,5,6-7	5 2,10
4.5,11	6 2,72
4.5,15	Hariv.
15,2	
ChUp.	123,63 *) 10,13/14
166 46	KåthakUp.
3.13.7 10,12; 18,62	
3,14,2 4,6	2,23 11,54
4.14.3 10.12	KathUp.
4,15,6	212
5.2,15	2.12
5.9.1	2.18 3,0; 11,37; 13,2
	2.20

^{*)} I have not been able to verify these quotations, which I borrow from Gov. in the text-editions available to me.

2,22-23 3,0	8.7.17 9.7
2,23	10,129,3 9,7
	10,129,7
2,24 9,30	10,129,7 10,02
3,9 3,0	
4,10-11	SubālUp.
6.1 15,1	2 7,6; 8,3; 13,2
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7 9,7; 13,2
37 14 4 77	7
Mahānār Up.	
1,3 11,24	ÇvetUp.
1,8 4,6	1,6/9
10,5 3,3; 10,31	1,10 13,2
11,4	1.12
11,7 15,15	3,8 4,6: 7,0: 11,18
11,7 13,13	3,8
	4.5/6
MantrUp.	4.7
1	49 9,10; 13,12
	110 7.14: 9.10: 13,12
Manu	6,7 3,31; 5,29
	6,9
1,5/8 9,7	6.13
3,76 3,14	6,13
12,122 3,30: 15,15	6,16
	6,18 2,12; 10,3; 13,2
MBh. *)	6.19 10.3; 13,13
2,38,23 4.4	
3,88,23-24	m 17
3,00,23-24	TaittĀr. 4,6
12,171,63-64 9,26	1.6.1
13,149,92	2,6,1-3
13,159,137	3,11,1 3,30: 13,2: 15,15: 18,13
MaitrS.	
1,10,1 15,1	

	3,16,1 13,12
MundUp.	8,7,1
1,1,4	
1.1.5 12,4	TaittBr. 241
110 2.12; 13,2	3.5.10,4
2.2.7	3,7,7,14
22.8	
311	TaittS. 2.2.12.5
3,1,3 6,30; 12,4; 13,13	22125 40
3,2,6	
3,2,9	TaintUp.
3,2,9 20,22	
NārUp.	8.1 9,6
4,12	8.1
611	n
10,21	VP. 1234
	1224
Nighantu 4.6	1,431-32 7,17
- Bundly	1,17,19
RV.	1.19.20 2.16
1 22 20 18,62	21241
1,164,50 13,62	211.95
4,107,30	

2,13 32-33 2,13 64-66 2,13 84 2,13,95 2,13,95 98 2,14,23 24 44,39 40 5,3,10/12 6 7,72-73	8 5 , 13,4 13,4 2,16 13 4 2,16 7,6 11,50 261	VS 2 1 34/35 2 1,34 2 3,1-18 2 3 19 39 2 3 19/33 2 3,40 2 3,41	9,9 4,14 13,4 13,4 18,15 . 13,4 18,15
--	--	---	--

INDEX III

amsa- 152 akarman- 80 aksara- 38, 70, 110 f, 128 agnisoma- 57 anga- 66, 161 adrsta- 157 adhyayasaya- 59, 134 anumatı 27 aprthaksiddhi- 137 apohana 154 abhava- 122 abhimana- 19 65, 68, 133 abhyasa- 96 108 f artha 30 avidhipurvaka- 118 avyakta 56 111, 132 f 142 asat - 143 asattva- 55 ahamkara- 68 atman passim atmatattva- 55 86 atmanistha 67 atmayoga 131 134 atmavalokana 22 arambha 148 ısıtavya- 50 upadesa 17 50 upādhi- 50 ekarasa- 35 ekavakyadhikarana- 29 f aıkarthya- 29 aisvarya- 3, 23 f aıśvaryārthın 12 15 31 f, 103 ff kamalāsanastha- 128 karman 31, 108 karmamımamsa 29 f kalyānagunas 37, 45 passim kāpila- 163 kala 112 130

kūtastha- 93, 133 kaivalva- 22 ff kaivalyarthin- 15, 31 f, 103 ff krodha- 63 klesas 172 ksetrajña- 50 iaramaranamoksa- 106 ıfiana- 154 passım mana- - viiñana- 76 93, 100 jñanin- 12, passim jyotis 144 trsnā 148 tejas- 126, 156 daharavidya 65, 112 dharmabhutajñana- 89 dhatr- 116 dhaman- 123, 131 dhıra- 150 dhrti- 94, 170 dhyana 99, 135 145, 172 Narayana 47 nirvana- 84 mscaya 59, 74 nistha 66 naışkarmya- 67, 171 pancagnividya 31, 107 paramapuruşartha- 47, 58 paravidya 66 112 purusarthas 47, 58 prakṛtı- 19, passım prajapati- 69 prajñávada- 49 pradaršanartham 37 prapatti- 24 f praptr atman 65 137 prabhava- 121, 135 prabhu- 87 prasada- 64, 161, 169 bādhitānuvṛtti- 51 f

buddhi- 59, 100, 102, 122, 134, 142 brahman- 34 f brahmanıryana- 65, 90 bhakti- 6, 22 f, 66, 99 bhava- 63 hhavana- 122 bhāva- 122 f. bhūta- 70 1., 122 bhedābheda- 50 bhoktr- ätman- 137 Mahat- 100, 147 mātras 54 māyā 36, 77, 102, 106 mukha-83 muni- 36, 63 mrtyu- 139 yāthātmyajñāna- 49 yāthārthyajñāna- 49 yoga- 59 ff, 92, 109 passim yogaksema- 36, 61 yogeśvara- 127, 176 rasa- 64 rāga- 63, 148 lıla 46, 102 loka- 149, 154 f.

vāsanā 64, 107 vihhu- 87 f. vıbhütı- 3, 124 vīrya- 129 Vaikuntha- 46 vairāgya- 96 vvavasāva- 120 śānti- 65, 84, 87, 120 śubhāśraya- 64 śraddha 159 sat- 143 sattva- 55 satkāryavāda- 37, 57 satya- 156 samnyāsa- 85 śabdabrahman 98 samādhı- 60, 63 sāksātkāra- 21, 83, 126 sāmānādhikaranya- 101, 125 f samkhya- 20 f., 37, 59, 66, 85, 145, 165 siddhi- 67, 171 sūrı- 46 smṛtı- 7, 99, 154 svabhāva 159